Non-splitting bi-unitary perfect polynomials over F 4 with less than five prime factors

Olivier Rahavandrainy

Non-splitting bi-unitary perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$ with less than five prime factors

Olivier Rahavandrainy
Univ Brest, UMR CNRS 6205
Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Bretagne Atlantique

January 24, 2025

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 11T55, 11T06.

Abstract We identify all non-splitting bi-unitary perfect polynomials over the field $\mathbb{F}_4$, which admit at most four irreducible divisors. There is an infinite number of such divisors.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we work over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_4$ of 4 elements:

$$\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\} \text{ where } \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0.$$

As usual, $\mathbb{N}$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}^*$) denotes the set of nonnegative integers (resp. of positive integers).

Throughout the paper, every polynomial is a monic one.

Let $S \in \mathbb{F}_4[x]$ be a nonzero polynomial. A divisor D of S is called unitary if $\gcd(D, S/D) = 1$. We designate by $\gcd_u(S, T)$ the greatest common unitary divisor of S and T. A divisor D of S is called bi-unitary if $\gcd_u(D, S/D) = 1$. We denote by $\sigma(S)$ (resp. $\sigma^*(S)$, $\sigma^{**}(S)$) the sum of all divisors (resp. unitary divisors, bi-unitary divisors) of S. The functions σ, σ^* and σ^{**} are all multiplicative. We say that S is *perfect* (resp. *unitary perfect*, *bi-unitary perfect*) if $\sigma(S) = S$ (resp. $\sigma^*(S) = S$, $\sigma^{**}(S) = S$).

Finally, we say that A is *indecomposable* bi-unitary perfect if A has no proper divisor which is bi-unitary perfect.

Several studies are done about perfect, unitary and bi-unitary perfect polynomials (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [7], [8], [9] and references therein).

In this paper, we are interested in non-splitting polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$ which are bi-unitary perfect (b.u.p.) and divisble by r irreducible factors, where $r \leq 4$.

The splitting case is already treated in ([11], Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2). However, we better precise these results in Theorem 1.1.

We consider the two following sets:

$\Omega_1 := \{P \in \mathbb{F}_4[x] : P \text{ and } P+1 \text{ are both irreducible}\}$
$\Omega_2 := \{P \in \mathbb{F}_4[x] : P, P+1, P^3+P+1 \text{ and } P^3+P^2+1 \text{ are all irreducible}\}$.

We see that $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$, Ω_2 contains the four (monic) monomials of $\mathbb{F}_4[x]$ and it is an infinite set ([6], Lemma 2). For example, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_k := x^{2 \cdot 5^k} + x^{5^k} + \alpha \in \Omega_2$.

We get the following two results related to the fact that A splits or not.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A = x^a(x+1)^b(x+\alpha)^c(x+\alpha+1)^d \in \mathbb{F}_4[x]$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ are not all odd. Then, A is b.u.p if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*
i) $a = b = c = d = 2$,
ii) $a = b = 2$ and $c = d = 2^n - 1$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
iii) $a = b = 2^n - 1$ and $c = d = 2$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
iv) a, b, c, d are given by Table (1).

a	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6
b	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	6	6	6	6
c	3	4	3	4	5	6	4	6	4	5	3	4	5	6
d	4	3	5	4	3	6	4	6	5	4	5	4	3	6

(1)

Theorem 1.2. *Let $A = P^aQ^bR^cS^d \in \mathbb{F}_4[x]$, where A does not split and a, b, c, d are not all odd. Then, A is b.u.p if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*
i) $a = b = c = d = 2$, $P, R \in \Omega_1$, $Q = P + 1$ and $S = R + 1$,
ii) $a = b = 2$, $c = d = 2^n - 1$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P, R \in \Omega_1$, $Q = P + 1$ and $S = R + 1$,
iii) $a = b = 2^n - 1$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c = d = 2$, $P, R \in \Omega_1$, $Q = P + 1$ and $S = R + 1$,
iv) $P \in \Omega_2$, $Q = P + 1$, $R, S \in \{P^3 + P + 1, P^3 + P^2 + 1\}$ and $(a, b, c, d) \in \{(7, 13, 2, 2), (13, 7, 2, 2), (14, 14, 2, 2)\}$.

Note that if a, b, c and d are all odd, then $\sigma^{**}(A) = \sigma(A)$. So, A is b.u.p. if and only if A is perfect. We also see that there exists no b.u.p. polynomial A with $\omega(A) = 3$.

Since Ω_1 and Ω_2 are infinite sets, we see that there are infinitely many indecomposable and odd b.u.p. polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$, even if there are only three 4-tuples available exponents.

2 Preliminaries

Some of the following results are obvious or (well) known, so we omit their proofs. See also [10].

Lemma 2.1. *Let T be an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_4$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, $\gcd_u(T^k, T^l) = 1$ (resp. T^k) if $k \neq l$ (resp. $k = l$).*
In particular, $\gcd_u(T^k, T^{2n-k}) = 1$ for $k \neq n$, $\gcd_u(T^k, T^{2n+1-k}) = 1$ for any $0 \leq k \leq 2n+1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_4[x]$ be irreducible. Then*
i) $\sigma^{**}(T^{2n}) = (1+T)\sigma(T^n)\sigma(T^{n-1})$, $\sigma^{**}(T^{2n+1}) = \sigma(T^{2n+1})$.
ii) For any $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $1+T$ divides $\sigma^{**}(T^c)$ but T does not.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $A = P^h Q^k R^l S^t$ be such that h, k, l and t are all odd. Then, A is b.u.p. if and only if it is perfect.*

Lemma 2.4. *If $A = A_1 A_2$ is b.u.p. over $\mathbb{F}_4$ and if $\gcd(A_1, A_2) = 1$, then A_1 is b.u.p. if and only if A_2 is b.u.p.*

Lemma 2.5. *If A is b.u.p. over $\mathbb{F}_4$, then the polynomial $A(x+\lambda)$ is also b.u.p. over $\mathbb{F}_4$, for any $\lambda \in \{1, \alpha, \alpha+1\}$.*

Lemma 2.6. *i) $\sigma^{**}(x^{2k})$ splits over $\mathbb{F}_4$ if and only if $2k \in \{2, 4, 6\}$.*
ii) $\sigma^{**}(x^{2k+1})$ splits over $\mathbb{F}_4$ if and only if $2k+1 = N \cdot 2^n - 1$ where $N \in \{1, 3\}$.

Remark 2.7. We get from Lemma 2.6 and for an irreducible polynomial T:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma^{**}(T^2) = (T+1)^2 & (i) \\ \sigma^{**}(T^4) = (T+1)^2(T+\alpha)(T+\alpha+1) & (ii) \\ \sigma^{**}(T^6) = (T+1)^4(T+\alpha)(T+\alpha+1) & (iii) \\ \sigma^{**}(T^{2^n-1}) = (T+1)^{2^n-1} & (iv) \\ \sigma^{**}(T^{3\cdot 2^n-1}) = (T+1)^{2^n-1}(T+\alpha)^{2^n}(T+\alpha+1)^{2^n} & (v) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We sometimes use the above equalities for a suitable T.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This theorem is already stated in [11]. We do not rewrite its proof.

Lemma 3.1 below completes Theorem 3.4 in [4], where one family of splitting perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$ was missing.

See [5] and [6] for the non-splitting case.

Lemma 3.1. *The polynomial $x^h(x+1)^k(x+\alpha)^l(x+\alpha+1)^t$ is perfect over $\mathbb{F}_4$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*
i) $h = k = 2^n - 1,\ l = t = 2^m - 1$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
ii) $h = k = l = t = N \cdot 2^n - 1$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \{1, 3\}$,
iii) $h = l = 3 \cdot 2^r - 1,\ k = t = 2 \cdot 2^r - 1$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$,
iv) $h = k = 3 \cdot 2^r - 1,\ l = 6 \cdot 2^r - 1, t = 4 \cdot 2^r - 1$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Put $A = x^h(x+1)^k(x+\alpha)^l(x+\alpha+1)^t$. The sufficiency is obtained by direct computations.

For the necessity, we recall the following facts in ([4], Lemma 2.7):

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a \in \{h, k, l, t\}$. Then, $a = 3 \cdot 2^w - 1$ if $a \equiv 2 \mod 3$ and $a = 2^w - 1$ if $a \not\equiv 2 \mod 3$, with $w \in \mathbb{N}$.*
In particular, $a = 2$ if (a is even and $a \equiv 2 \mod 3$).

We take account of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.6 and 2.7 in [4], for the congruency modulo 3 of each exponent. We get 16 possible cases according to $a \equiv 2 \mod 3$ or not.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 in [4], the 3 maps $x \mapsto x+1$, $x \mapsto x+\alpha$ and $x \mapsto x+\alpha+1$ preserve "perfection".

Therefore, h and k (resp. h and l, h and t) play symmetric roles. It remains 4 cases:

i) $h, k \not\equiv 2 \mod 3$
ii) $h, l \equiv 2 \mod 3$ and $k, t \not\equiv 2 \mod 3$
iii) $h, k, l, t \equiv 2 \mod 3$
iv) $h, k, l \equiv 2 \mod 3$ and $t \not\equiv 2 \mod 3$.

The first three of them are already treated in the proof of ([4], Theorem 3.4). We got the families i), ii) and iii) in Lemma 3.1.

Now, for the case iv), we may write:

$h = 3 \cdot 2^r - 1,\ k = 3 \cdot 2^s - 1,\ l = 3 \cdot 2^u - 1$ et $t = 2^v - 1$, where $r, s, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$.

Compute $\sigma(A) = \sigma(x^h) \cdot \sigma((x+1)^k) \cdot \sigma((x+\alpha)^l) \cdot \sigma((x+\alpha+1)^t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(x^h) = (x+1)^{2^r-1} \cdot (x+\alpha)^{2^r} \cdot (x+\alpha+1)^{2^r} \\
&\sigma((x+1)^k) = x^{2^s-1} \cdot (x+\alpha)^{2^s} \cdot (x+\alpha+1)^{2^s} \\
&\sigma((x+\alpha)^l) = (x+\alpha+1)^{2^u-1} \cdot x^{2^u} \cdot (x+1)^{2^u} \\
&\sigma((x+\alpha+1)^t) = \sigma((x+\alpha+1)^{2^v-1}) = (x+\alpha)^{2^v-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(A) = A$, by comparing exponents in A and those of in $\sigma(A)$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^u + 2^s - 1 = h = 3 \cdot 2^r - 1 \\
&2^u + 2^r - 1 = k = 3 \cdot 2^s - 1 \\
&2^r + 2^s + 2^v - 1 = l = 3 \cdot 2^u - 1 \\
&2^u + 2^s + 2^u - 1 = t = 2^v - 1
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $s = r$, $u = r+1$ et $v = r+2$. Thus, $h = k = 3 \cdot 2^r - 1$, $l = 3 \cdot 2^{r+1} - 1$ and $t = 2^{r+2} - 1$. We obtain the family iv). □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The sufficiency is obtained by direct computations. Propositions 4.1, 4.4 and 4.15 give the necessity.

As usual, $\omega(S)$ denotes the number of distinct irreducible factors of a polynomial S.

4.1 Case $\omega(A) = 2$

Put $A = P^h Q^k$ with $\deg(P) \leq \deg(Q)$.

Proposition 4.1. *If A is b.u.p., then $Q = P + 1$ and either $(h = k = 2)$ or $(h = k = 2^r - 1$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N})$.*

Proof. We get:

$$\sigma^{**}(P^h)\sigma^{**}(Q^k) = \sigma^{**}(A) = A = P^h Q^k \text{ and } \omega(\sigma^{**}(P^h)) = 1 = \omega(\sigma^{**}(Q^k)).$$

If h and k are both odd, then A is perfect so that $Q = P + 1$ and $h = k = 2^r - 1$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now, we may suppose that h is even. If $h = 2$, then $\sigma^{**}(P^h) = (1+P)^2$. Since P does not divide $\sigma^{**}(P^h)$, one has $Q^k = (1+P)^2$ and thus $Q = P+1$ and $k = 2$. If $h \geq 4$, then $\omega(\sigma^{**}(P^h)) \geq 2$, which is impossible. □

4.2 Case $\omega(A) = 3$

Put $A = P^h Q^k R^l$ with $\deg(P) \leq \deg(Q) \leq \deg(R)$. Suppose that

$$\sigma^{**}(P^h)\sigma^{**}(Q^k)\sigma^{**}(R^l) = \sigma^{**}(A) = A = P^h Q^k R^l.$$

Lemma 4.2. *The polynomial $P + 1$ is irreducible and $Q = P + 1$.*

Proof. The polynomial $1 + P$ is divisible by Q or by R, since it divides $\sigma^{**}(P^h)$ (Lemma 2.2). We may suppose that $Q \mid (1 + P)$. So, $\deg(Q) = \deg(P)$ and $Q = P + 1$. □

Lemma 4.3. *One has $\omega(\sigma^{**}(P^h)) \leq 2,\ \omega(\sigma^{**}(Q^k)) \leq 2,\ \omega(\sigma^{**}(R^l)) \leq 2$. Moreover, if h is even (resp. odd), then $h = 2$ (resp. $h = 2^r - 1$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$).*

Proof. Since P does not divide $\sigma^{**}(P^h)$, at most Q and R divide it. Hence, $\omega(\sigma^{**}(P^h)) \leq 2$. Similarly, we get $\omega(\sigma^{**}(Q^k)) \leq 2$ and $\omega(\sigma^{**}(R^l)) \leq 2$.
- If $h = 2n$ is even, then $2 \geq \omega(\sigma^{**}(P^{2n})) = \omega((1 + P)\sigma(P^n)\sigma(P^{n-1}))$. So, $n = 1$.
- If h is odd. Put $h = 2^r u - 1$, with u odd. One has:

$$2 \geq \omega(\sigma^{**}(P^{2^r u - 1})) = \omega((1 + P)^{2^r - 1}\sigma(P^{u-1})).$$

So, $u = 1$ because $\omega(\sigma(P^{u-1})) \geq 2$ if $u \geq 3$. □

Proposition 4.4. *If h, k and l are not all odd, then A is not b.u.p.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, one has $Q = P + 1$ and so $A = P^h(P + 1)^k R^l$.
- If h, k are all even, then $h = k = 2$. Therefore,

$$(1 + P)^2(1 + Q)^2\sigma^{**}(R^l) = \sigma^{**}(A) = A = P^2 Q^2 R^l.$$

Hence, $\sigma^{**}(R^l) = R^l$. It is impossible.
- If h is even, k odd and l even, then $h = l = 2$, $k = 2^r - 1$. Therefore,

$$Q^2 P^{2^r-1}(1+R)^2 = (1+P)^2(1+Q)^{2^r-1}(1+R)^2 = \sigma^{**}(A) = A = P^2 Q^{2^r-1} R^2.$$

Hence, R divides PQ. It is impossible.
- If h is even, k and l odd, then $h = 2$, $k = 2^r - 1$, $l = 2^s - 1$. One has:

$$Q^2 P^{2^r-1}(1+R)^{2^s-1} = (1+P)^2(1+Q)^{2^r-1}(1+R)^{2^s-1} = \sigma^{**}(A) = A = P^2 Q^{2^r-1} R^{2^s-1}.$$

Hence, R divides PQ. It is impossible. □

4.3 Case $\omega(A) = 4$

Put $A = P^hQ^kR^lS^t$ with $\deg(P) \leq \deg(Q) \leq \deg(R) \leq \deg(S)$.

We suppose that A is b.u.p. and indecomposable (i.e., neither P^hQ^k nor R^lS^t are b.u.p).

Lemma 4.5. *One has:* $Q = P + 1, 1 + R = P^{u_1}Q^{v_1}, 1 + S = P^{u_2}Q^{v_2}R^z$ *where* $u_1, v_1 \geq 1$ *and* $u_2, v_2, z \geq 0$.
Moreover, if $\deg(R) = \deg(S)$ *then* $u_2, v_2 \geq 1$ *and* $z = 0$.

Proof. The polynomial $1 + P$ divides $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$, so Q divides $1 + P$ and thus, $Q = 1 + P$ because $\deg(P) \leq \deg(Q)$.
Now, $1 + R$ divides $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$, so $1 + R = P^{u_1}Q^{v_1}S^{u_3}$ and $u_3 = 0$ because $\deg(R) \leq \deg(S)$. Since $R = P^{u_1}Q^{v_1} + 1$ is irreducible, we conclude that $u_1, v_1 \geq 1$ and $\gcd(u_1, v_1) = 1$. By the same reason, $1 + S = P^{u_2}Q^{v_2}R^z$ where $u_2, v_2, z \geq 0$ and z may be positive. □

4.3.1 Case $\deg(P) = 1$

We may suppose that $P = x$. Lemma 4.2 implies that $Q = x+1$. Moreover, $\deg(S) = 1$ if $\deg(R) = 1$. So, $\deg(S) \geq \deg(R) > 1$.
We write: $A = x^h(x + 1)^kR^lS^t$. The exponents h and k play symmetric roles.

Lemma 4.6 ([5], Lemma 2.6)**.** *If* $1 + x + \cdots + x^{2w} = UV$, *then* $\deg(U) = \deg(V)$ *and* $U(0) = 1 = V(0)$.
Moreover, if R *and* S *are both of the form* $x^{u_1}(x + 1)^{v_1} + 1$, *then* $2w = 6$ *and* $U, V \in \{x^3 + x + 1, x^3 + x^2 + 1\}$.

Lemma 4.7. *If* h *is even, then* $h \in \{2, 14\}$. *Moreover,* $R, S \in \{x^3 + x + 1, x^3 + x^2 + 1\}$ *if* $h = 14$.

Proof. • If $h \in \{4, 6\}$, then $x + \alpha$ and $x + \alpha + 1$ both divide $\sigma^{**}(x^h)$ and thus, they divide $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$. So, A splits, which is impossible.
• If $h = 2n \geq 8$, then $\sigma^{**}(x^h) = (1 + x)\sigma(x^n)\sigma(x^{n-1})$.
- If $n = 2w \geq 4$, then $\sigma(x^n) = RS$ because it divides $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$ and neither x nor $x + 1$ divide $\sigma(x^n)$. So, by Lemma 4.6, $\deg(R) = \deg(S)$ and $R(0) = 1 = S(0)$. From Lemma 4.5, we may put $1 + R = x^{u_1}(x + 1)^{v_1}$, $1 + S = x^{u_2}(x + 1)^{v_2}$, where $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \geq 1$. Therefore, $2w = 6$ and $h = 12$. But, the monomials $x + 1, x + \alpha$ and $x + \alpha + 1$ all divide $\sigma^{**}(x^{12})$. It contradicts the fact that A does not split.
- If $n = 2w + 1$ is odd, then $\sigma(x^{n-1}) = RS$ and as above, $n - 1 = 2w = 6$. So, $h = 14$, $\sigma^{**}(x^{14}) = (x+1)^8RS$ where $R, S \in \{x^3+x+1, x^3+x^2+1\}$. □

Lemma 4.8. *If h is odd, then $h = 2^r u - 1$ where $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $u \in \{1, 7\}$.*

Proof. Put $h = 2^r u - 1$ with u odd. One has:

$$\sigma^{**}(x^h) = \sigma(x^h) = (1+x)^{2^r-1}[\sigma(x^{u-1})]^{2^r}.$$

If $u \geq 3$, then $\sigma(x^{u-1}) = RS$. So, as we have just seen above, $u - 1 = 6$ and $R, S \in \{x^3 + x + 1, x^3 + x^2 + 1\}$. □

Lemma 4.9. *If l is even (resp. odd), then $l = 2$ (resp. $l = 2^s - 1$, with $s \geq 1$).*

Proof. • If l is even and $l \geq 4$, then put $l = 2n,\ n \geq 2$. As above, $\sigma(R^n)$ and $\sigma(R^{n-1})$ divide A.
- If n is even, then we must have $\sigma(R^n) = S^z$ because P, Q divide $1 + R$, R does not divide $\sigma(R^n)$ and $\gcd(1 + R, \sigma(R^n)) = 1$. Hence $z = 1$ and $S = \sigma(R^n)$ is irreducible. It is impossible.
- If n is odd, then $\sigma(R^{n-1}) = S$ which is impossible, as above.
• If $l = 2^r u - 1$ is odd, with u odd, then $\sigma^{**}(R^l) = \sigma(R^l) = (1+R)^{2^r-1}[\sigma(R^{u-1})]^{2^r}$.
If $u \geq 3$, then $\sigma(R^{u-1}) = S$, which is impossible. □

4.3.2 Case $\deg(P) > 1$

Several proofs are similar to those in Section 4.3.1. As above, Lemma 4.2 implies that $Q = P + 1$. We write: $A = P^h(P+1)^k R^l S^t$.

Lemma 4.10. *If $1 + P + \cdots + P^{2w} = RS$, then $\deg(R) = \deg(S)$, $2w = 6$, $P \in \Omega_2$ and $R, S \in \{P^3 + P + 1, P^3 + P^2 + 1\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $1+P+\cdots+P^{2w} = RS$. One has $1+x+\cdots+x^{2w} = UV$ where $U(P) = R$ and $V(P) = S$. By Lemma 4.6, one has: $U(0) = 1 = V(0)$, $\deg(U) = \deg(V)$. So, $\deg(R) = \deg(S)$.
Moreover, U and V must be of the form $x^u(x+1)^v + 1$. Indeed, if $1 + U = x^{u_1}(x+1)^{v_1}L^z$, with $z \geq 0$, then $1 + R = P^u(P+1)^v L(P)^z$, $L(P) = S^y$, $y \geq 1$, $\deg(S) = \deg(R) = u\deg(P) + zy\deg(S)$, $zy = 0$. Thus, $z = 0$ and $1 + U = x^{u_1}(x+1)^{v_1}$. Analogously, $1 + V = x^{u_2}(x+1)^{v_2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.6, $2w = 6$ and $R, S \in \{P^3 + P + 1, P^3 + P^2 + 1\}$. □

Lemma 4.11. *If h is even, then $h \in \{2, 14\}$.*

Proof. • If $h \in \{4, 6\}$, then $P + \alpha$ and $P + \alpha + 1$ both divide $\sigma^{**}(P^h)$ and thus, they divide $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$. So, P, $P + 1$, $R = P + \alpha$ and $S = P + \alpha + 1$ are all irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_4$, which is impossible.

• If $h = 2n \geq 8$, then $\sigma^{**}(P^h) = (1+P)\sigma(P^n)\sigma(P^{n-1})$.
- If $n = 2w \geq 4$ is even, then $\sigma(P^n) = RS$, $\deg(R) = \deg(S)$. We obtain $2w = 6$ and $h = 12$.
But $P+1, P+\alpha$ and $P+\alpha+1$ all divide $\sigma^{**}(P^{12})$. As above, it is impossible.
- If $n = 2w+1$ is odd, then $\sigma(P^{n-1}) = RS$ and $n-1 = 2w = 6$. So, $h = 14$ and $R, S \in \{P^3+P+1, P^3+P^2+1\}$. □

Lemma 4.12. *If h is odd, then $h = 2^r u - 1$ where $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $u \in \{1, 7\}$.*

Proof. Put $h = 2^r u - 1$ with u odd. One has:

$$\sigma^{**}(P^h) = \sigma(P^h) = (1+P)^{2^r-1}[\sigma(P^{u-1})]^{2^r}.$$

If $u \geq 3$, then $\sigma(P^{u-1}) = RS$ and as we have just seen above, $u-1 = 6$ and $R, S \in \{P^3+P+1, P^3+P^2+1\}$. □

We also get the analoguos of Lemma 4.9.

Lemma 4.13. *If l is even (resp. odd), then $l = 2$ (resp. $l = 2^s - 1$, with $s \geq 1$).*

4.3.3 End of the proof

We recapitulate below, for $P \in \Omega_2$, $Q = P+1$, $R = P^3+P+1$ and $S = P^3+P^2+1$, the expressions of $\sigma^{**}(T^z)$, for $T^z \in \{P^h, Q^k, R^l, S^t\}$.

Keep in mind that h, k, l and t are not all odd.

h	$\sigma^{**}(P^h)$
2	Q^2
14	Q^8RS
2^r-1	Q^{2^r-1}
$7\cdot 2^r - 1$	$Q^{2^r-1}R^{2^r}S^{2^r}$

k	$\sigma^{**}(Q^k)$
2	P^2
14	P^8RS
2^s-1	P^{2^s-1}
$7\cdot 2^s - 1$	$P^{2^s-1}R^{2^s}S^{2^s}$

(3)

l	$\sigma^{**}(R^l)$
2	P^2Q^4
2^e-1	$P^{2^e-1}\cdot Q^{2\cdot(2^e-1)}$

t	$\sigma^{**}(S^t)$
2	P^4Q^2
2^f-1	$P^{2\cdot(2^f-1)}\cdot Q^{2^f-1}$

(4)

We compare from Tables (3) and (4), the exponents of P, Q, R, S in $\sigma^{**}(A)$ and in A. Instead of considering several possible cases, we give an upper bound to each exponent $a \in \{h, k, l, t\}$. We use `Maple` computations to determine those which satisfy $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$. We obtain the following results.

Lemma 4.14. - *If h and k are both even, then $h, k \in \{2, 14\}$ and $e, f \leq 3$. So, $l, t \in \{1, 2, 3, 7\}$.*
- If h is even and k odd, then $h \in \{2, 14\}$ and $s, e, f \leq 3$. So, $k \in \{1, 3, 7, 13, 27, 55\}$ and $l, t \in \{1, 2, 3, 7\}$.
- If h and k are both odd, then $(h, k, l, t) \in \{(3, 7, 2, 2), (7, 3, 2, 2)\}$.

Proof. - If h is even, then $h \leq 14$. Each exponent of P in the tables equals at most 14. So, $s, e, f \leq 3$.
- If $h = 2^r - 1$ and $k = 2^s - 1$, then $\sigma^{**}(P^h Q^k) = P^k Q^h$, $h = k$ and $P^h Q^k$ is b.u.p. Hence, $R^l S^t$ is also b.u.p. and $l = t = 2$.
- If $h = 2^r - 1$ and $k = 7 \cdot 2^s - 1$, then only R^{2^s} and S^{2^s} divide $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$. So, $s = 1$, $l = t = 2$, $k = 13$. Thus, $\sigma^{**}(R^l S^t) = P^6 Q^6$. By comparing the exponents of Q in the tables, we get $6 + 2^r - 1 = k = 13$. So, $r = 3$ and $h = 7$.
Analogously, if $h = 7 \cdot 2^r - 1$ and $k = 2^s - 1$, then $h = 13, k = 7, l = t = 2$.
- If $h = 7 \cdot 2^r - 1$ and $k = 2^s - 1$, then only $R^{2^r+2^s}$ and $S^{2^r+2^s}$ divide $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$. So, $l = t = 2$ and we get the contradiction: $2^r + 2^s = 2$ with $r, s \geq 1$. □

We also remark that the values of the exponents h, k, l and t do not depend on the choice of $P \in \Omega_2$. Therefore, for the computations with `Maple`, we took two values of P: $P = x$ and $P = x^2 + x + \alpha$.

Proposition 4.15. *If $A = P^h Q^k R^l S^t$ is b.u.p and indecomposable, where h, k, l and t are not all odd, then*

$$P \in \Omega_2,\ Q = P + 1.\ R, S \in \{P^3 + P + 1, P^3 + P^2 + 1\},$$

and $(h, k, l, t) \in \{(7, 13, 2, 2), (13, 7, 2, 2), (14, 14, 2, 2)\}$.

4.3.4 Maple Computations

We search all $A = P^h Q^k R^l S^t$ such that h, k, l, t are not all odd, $\omega(A) \geq 3$ and $\sigma^{**}(A) = A$, by means of Lemmas 4.8, 4.9 and 4.14. We get the results stated in Proposition 4.15.
• **$\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4$ is defined as follows:**

```
> alias(alpha = RootOf(x^2 + x + 1)):
```

• **The function σ^{**} is defined as** Sigm2star

```
> Sigm2star1:=proc(S,a) if a=0 then 1;else if a mod 2 = 0
then n:=a/2:sig1:=sum(S^l,l=0..n):sig2:=sum(S^l,l=0..n-1):
```

```
Factor((1+S)*sig1*sig2,alpha) mod 2:
else Factor(sum(S^l,l=0..a),alpha) mod 2:fi:fi:end:
> Sigm2star:=proc(S) P:=1:L:=Factors(S,alpha) mod 2:k:=nops(L[2]):
for j to k do S1:=L[2][j][1]:h1:=L[2][j][2]:
P:=P*Sigm2star1(S1,h1):od:Expand(P) mod 2:end:
```

References

[1] J. T. B. Beard Jr, *Unitary perfect polynomials over $GF(q)$*, Rend. Accad. Lincei **62** (1977), 417–422.

[2] J. T. B. Beard Jr, *Bi-Unitary Perfect polynomials over $GF(q)$*, Annali di Mat. Pura ed Appl. **149(1)** (1987), 61–68.

[3] E. F. Canaday, *The sum of the divisors of a polynomial*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 721–737.

[4] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *On perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$*, Port. Math. (N.S.) **62(1)** (2005), 109–122.

[5] L. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *Perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$ with less than five prime factors*, Port. Math. (N.S.) **64(1)** (2007), 21–38.

[6] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *All perfect polynomials with up to four prime factors over $\mathbb{F}_4$*, Math. Commun. **14(1)** (2009), 47–65.

[7] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *On unitary splitting perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$*, Math. Commun. **15(1)** (2010), 159–176.

[8] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *On splitting perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p^p}$*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra **9** (2011), 85–102.

[9] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *Unitary perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_4$ with less than five prime factors*, Funct. et Approx. **45(1)** (2011), 67–78.

[10] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *All bi-unitary perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_2$ only divisible by x, $x+1$ and by Mersenne primes*, arXiv Math: 2204.13337 (2022).

[11] L. H. Gallardo, O. Rahavandrainy, *On splitting bi-unitary perfect polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$*, arXiv Math: 2310.05540v3 (2023).