



HAL
open science

Lyapunov-based stability of delayed linear differential algebraic systems

Ann Al Sawoor, Miloud Sadkane

► **To cite this version:**

Ann Al Sawoor, Miloud Sadkane. Lyapunov-based stability of delayed linear differential algebraic systems. Applied Mathematics Letters, 2021, 118, pp.107185. 10.1016/j.aml.2021.107185 . hal-04306761

HAL Id: hal-04306761

<https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-04306761v1>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Lyapunov-based stability of delayed linear differential algebraic systems

Ann Al Sawoor , Miloud Sadkane *

Univ Brest, CNRS - UMR 6205, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Bretagne Atlantique. 6, Av. Le Gorgeu. 29238 Brest Cedex 3, France

Abstract

The Lyapunov stability theorem for linear systems is extended to linear delay-differential algebraic systems of index one. In particular, bounds on the decay of the solution are established in terms of solvability of a certain Lyapunov-type matrix equation.

Key words: Linear differential algebraic systems, time delay, Lyapunov stability, decay rate

1. Introduction

It is known that if A is a stable matrix (i.e., all of its eigenvalues have negative real parts), then the linear ordinary differential system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

is asymptotically stable, that is, $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

The Lyapunov theory can be used to inform about the quality of stability and to measure the decay rate of the solution (see, e.g., [8]). Indeed, under the assumption that A is stable, the Lyapunov matrix equation

$$C = -(A^T H + H A) \quad (2)$$

has the unique solution $H = \int_0^\infty e^{tA^T} C e^{tA} dt = H^T > 0$ (i.e., symmetric positive definite) for all matrices $C = C^T > 0$. Conversely, if the equation (2) is satisfied with some matrices $C = C^T > 0$ and $H = H^T > 0$, then A is stable and, using the quadratic function

$$v(x(t)) = x(t)^T H x(t), \quad (3)$$

* Corresponding author.

Email addresses: Ann.AlsawoorMaskoni@univ-brest.fr (Ann Al Sawoor),
miloud.sadkane@univ-brest.fr (Miloud Sadkane).

we obtain the bound (see, e.g., [9])

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\|H\| \|H^{-1}\|} e^{-\frac{\lambda_{\min}(C)}{2\|H\|}t} \|x(0)\|, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the 2-norm for vectors and matrices and $\lambda_{\min}(C)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of C . See also [25] for a comparison with other bounds. Although this bound is not sharp, it measures the decay rate of the solution (because $\lambda_{\min}(C) > 0$) by involving quantities based on the computation of eigenvalues of symmetric (positive definite) matrices for which reliable algorithms are available [10]. Moreover, the norm of H can be used in preference to the spectral criterion " $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ for all eigenvalues of A " for assessing the stability of A : the larger the norm of H , the less stable the matrix A . For related issues, see, e.g., [18,20,24].

Our goal in this note is to study to what extent a bound similar to (4) holds for linear differential algebraic systems with time delay, of the form

$$\begin{cases} E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau), & t > 0, \\ x(t) = \psi(t), & -\tau \leq t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where E, A, B are real $n \times n$ matrices with E singular and τ is a fixed positive delay. Time delay systems arise generally in applications where a transport phenomenon occurs. A wide range of examples can be found in [7,15]. Solution theory for (5) is established for example in [2,11,22,21,23] and the references therein.

Stability analysis of systems of type (5) has been investigated by many authors; for example, in [5,6] the analysis is based on a careful choice of a Lyapunov-Krasovskii function (a generalization of (3)), and sufficient conditions for stability are given in terms of linear matrix inequalities. In [17], the stability is based on the computation of eigenvalues of certain matrix pencils. In [3], sufficient conditions for asymptotic stability are formulated with the help of the characteristic equation of the system. In [16], a spectrum-based approach is developed for the stability analysis and stabilization of systems described by delay differential algebraic equations. In [4], necessary and sufficient conditions for exponential stability of classes of systems of the form (5) are derived using the roots of the associated characteristic equation. In the present note, using a Lyapunov-Krasovskii type function, bounds on the decay of the solution, analogous to (4), are established in terms of solvability of a certain Lyapunov-type matrix equation.

2. Lyapunov-based stability analysis

We assume throughout this note that the pencil $\lambda E - A$ is regular (i.e., there exists λ such that $\det(\lambda E - A) \neq 0$) and of index 1 (this is the index of the nilpotent matrix in the Weierstraß canonical form of $\lambda E - A$, see, e.g., [19]). Since the matrices E and A are real, the real Weierstraß canonical form can be used to decompose E and A as

$$E = W \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T, \quad A = W \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} T, \quad (6)$$

where W and T are real nonsingular matrices, the symbol I_k denotes the identity matrix of order k , the matrix J is in real Jordan form (see, e.g., [12, Section 3.4]) and corresponds

to the finite eigenvalues of the pencil $\lambda E - A$ and $r = \text{rank}(E)$. Alternatively, the quasi-Weierstraß form or a block-diagonalization via the real generalized Schur form may also be used (see [1], [14]).

The spectral projection onto the right deflating subspace of $\lambda E - A$ corresponding to the finite eigenvalues is given by

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} (\lambda E - A)^{-1} E d\lambda, \quad (7)$$

where Γ is a closed Jordan curve surrounding the finite eigenvalues. Using (6), we obtain

$$\mathcal{P} = T^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T. \quad (8)$$

As a first step, a bound on $\mathcal{P}x(t)$ analogous to (4) is obtained in Theorem 2.1. Then, Theorem 2.2 extends the bounds to $x(t)$. In these theorems, the assumption that the pencil has index 1 plays an essential role (see the function $v(t, y(t))$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and the property (16)).

From (6) and (8) the system (5) can be written

$$\begin{cases} \hat{E}\dot{y}(t) = \hat{A}y(t) + \hat{B}y(t - \tau), & t > 0, \\ y(t) = \hat{\psi}(t), & -\tau \leq t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \hat{E} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix}, \hat{B} = W^{-1}BT^{-1}, \\ y(t) = Tx(t), \hat{\psi}(t) = T\psi(t). \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

With this notation, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that there exists*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } H_{11} = H_{11}^T > 0, \quad H_{22} + H_{22}^T + \frac{1}{\tau}I_{n-r} < 0$$

such that

$$C = - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}^T H + H^T \hat{A} + \frac{1}{\tau}I_n & H^T \hat{B} \\ \hat{B}^T H & -\frac{1}{2\tau}I_n \end{pmatrix} = C^T > 0.$$

Then

- (i) the pencil $\lambda E - A$ is stable (i.e., the eigenvalues of J lie in the open left-half plane).
- (ii) The following bound holds for $t \geq 0$

$$\|\mathcal{P}x(t)\| \leq \alpha e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta}{\|H_{11}\|} t},$$

where

$$\alpha = \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \sqrt{\|H_{11}^{-1}\| (\|H_{11}\| + \ln 2)} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|\mathcal{P}x(\nu)\|,$$

$$\beta = \min \left(\lambda_{\min}(C), \frac{\|H_{11}\|}{2\tau} \right).$$

Proof

- (i) Let (λ, x_1) be an eigenpair of J and $\hat{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $x = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be respectively of size n and $2n$. Then, denoting by x^* the conjugate transpose of x , we obtain

$$-x^*Cx = 2x_1^*H_{11}x_1 \operatorname{Re}\lambda + \frac{\|x_1\|^2}{\tau}$$

which shows that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$.

- (ii) Consider the Lyapunov-Krasovskii type function

$$v(t, y(t)) = y^T(t)\hat{E}Hy(t) + \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{\|y(\nu)\|^2}{t-\nu+\tau} d\nu, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If we set $y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$, then $y^T(t)\hat{E}Hy(t) = y_1^T(t)H_{11}y_1(t) \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}(t, y(t)) &= y^T(t)H^T\hat{E}\dot{y}(t) + (\hat{E}\dot{y}(t))^THy(t) + \frac{\|y(t)\|^2}{\tau} - \frac{\|y(t-\tau)\|^2}{2\tau} \\ &\quad - \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{\|y(\nu)\|^2}{(t-\nu+\tau)^2} d\nu \\ &= y(t)^T H^T \left(\hat{A}y(t) + \hat{B}y(t-\tau) \right) + \left(\hat{A}y(t) + \hat{B}y(t-\tau) \right)^T Hy(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{\|y(t)\|^2}{\tau} - \frac{\|y(t-\tau)\|^2}{2\tau} - \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{\|y(\nu)\|^2}{(t-\nu+\tau)^2} d\nu \\ &= - \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-\tau) \end{pmatrix}^T C \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-\tau) \end{pmatrix} - \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{\|y(\nu)\|^2}{(t-\nu+\tau)^2} d\nu \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-\tau) \end{pmatrix}^T C \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-\tau) \end{pmatrix} &\geq \lambda_{\min}(C) \left\| \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-\tau) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \geq \lambda_{\min}(C) \|y(t)\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(C)}{\|H_{11}\|} y^T(t)\hat{E}Hy(t) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$t-\tau \leq \nu \leq t \Rightarrow (t-\nu+\tau)^2 \leq 2\tau(t-\nu+\tau). \quad (13)$$

Using (11), (12) and (13) we obtain

$$\dot{v}(t, y(t)) \leq -\frac{\beta}{\|H_{11}\|} v(t, y(t)), \quad \beta = \min \left(\lambda_{\min}(C), \frac{\|H_{11}\|}{2\tau} \right).$$

Therefore

$$v(t, y(t)) \leq e^{-\frac{\beta}{\|H_{11}\|}t} v(0, y(0)).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t, y(t)) &\geq y^T(t) \hat{E} H y(t) = y_1^T(t) H_{11} y_1(t) \\
&\geq \lambda_{\min}(H_{11}) \|y_1(t)\|^2 = \|H_{11}^{-1}\|^{-1} \|y_1(t)\|^2, \\
v(0, y(0)) &= y^T(0) \hat{E} H y(0) + \int_{-\tau}^0 \frac{\|y(\nu)\|^2}{-\nu + \tau} d\nu \\
&\leq \|\hat{E} H\| \|y(0)\|^2 + \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|y(\nu)\|^2 \ln 2 \\
&\leq (\|H_{11}\| + \ln 2) \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|y(\nu)\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$\|y_1(t)\|^2 \leq \|H_{11}^{-1}\| (\|H_{11}\| + \ln 2) \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|y(\nu)\|^2 e^{-\frac{\beta}{\|H_{11}\|} t}.$$

The proof terminates by noticing that

$$\|y_1(t)\| = \|T \mathcal{P} x(t)\| \text{ and } \|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \|\mathcal{P} x(t)\| \leq \|T \mathcal{P} x(t)\| \leq \|T\| \|\mathcal{P} x(t)\|.$$

□

Remarks

- In Theorem 2.1, the connection between H and C is the analogue of equation (2). In particular, if $E = I$, $B = 0$, then the bound in (ii) reduces to (4).
- Similar to the ordinary case, the bound on $\|\mathcal{P} x(t)\|$ depends on the solvability of the Lyapunov-type equation involving C and H .
- In the expression of α , the coefficient $\ln 2$ results from our simple choice of the function v . Of course, more sophisticated choices can be considered.
- The factor α depends essentially on the condition number of T , which is an indicator of the quality of the spectral projection (8), and on $\|H_{11}\| = \|\hat{E} H\|$, which is an indicator of the stability of J and therefore of the pencil $\lambda E - A$. A large value of α can result in a transient growth of $\mathcal{P} x(t)$. The factor β depends on $\lambda_{\min}(C)$ and $\|H_{11}\|$ and is responsible for the decay and asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{P} x(t)$.
- The assumption of the theorem results in constraints mainly on the matrix B . Indeed, by considering the Schur complement, the positive definiteness of C is equivalent to

$$\hat{A}^T H + H^T \hat{A} + \frac{1}{\tau} I_n < 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{B}^T H \left(\hat{A}^T H + H^T \hat{A} + \frac{1}{\tau} I_n \right)^{-1} H^T \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2\tau} I_n > 0. \quad (15)$$

If we assume that the pencil $\lambda E - A$ is stable, then the condition (14) is easily satisfied. Indeed, by considering, for example, the matrix $K = \frac{c}{\tau} I_r$ of size $r \times r$ with $c > 1$, the Lyapunov equation $J^T H_{11} + H_{11} J + K = 0$ has a unique solution $H_{11} = H_{11}^T > 0$. Then

$$\hat{A}^T H + H^T \hat{A} + \frac{1}{\tau} I_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-c}{\tau} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & H_{22} + H_{22}^T + \frac{1}{\tau} I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} < 0.$$

In particular, the choice $H_{22} = -\frac{c}{2\tau} I_{n-r}$ leads to $\hat{A}^T H + H^T \hat{A} + \frac{1}{\tau} I_n = -\frac{c-1}{\tau} I_n < 0$. The condition (15) can be written $(W^{-1} B T^{-1})^T H H^T (W^{-1} B T^{-1}) - \frac{c-1}{2\tau^2} I_n < 0$ and will be satisfied if $\|B\| \leq (\|H\| \|T^{-1}\| \|W^{-1}\|)^{-1} \left(\frac{c-1}{2\tau^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

For example if $n = 4$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$, $E = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tau = 1$, then the equation $J^T H_{11} + H_{11} J + 2I_2 = 0$ has the unique solution $H_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{15} & \frac{1}{15} \\ \frac{3}{15} & \frac{15}{15} \end{pmatrix}$. Taking

$H_{22} = -I_2$ and letting $H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ leads to $A^T H + H A^T + \frac{1}{\tau} I_4 = -I_4$ and hence the condition (14) is satisfied. The condition (15) will be satisfied for any matrix B such $-2B^T H^2 B + I_4$ is positive definite, and, in particular if $\|B\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\|H\|} = 0.70711$.

Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, the pencil $\lambda E - A$ is stable and hence the matrix A is nonsingular. Multiplying equation (5) on the left by $(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}$ and noting that $(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}E = 0$, we obtain

$$0 = (I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t) + (I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}Bx(t - \tau), \quad t > 0, \quad (16)$$

which will be used to derive a bound analogous to (4).

Theorem 2.2 *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, let $t = k\tau + \mu$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau$, $k \geq 0$. Then*

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^j e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t} + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|,$$

where α and β are defined in Theorem 2.1.

Proof For $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, the equality (16) gives

$$\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t)\| \leq \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \quad (17)$$

and for $t = \tau + \mu$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau$, it gives

$$\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t)\| \leq \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| [\|\mathcal{P}x(t - \tau)\| + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t - \tau)\|].$$

From Theorem 2.1 and the inequality (17) we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{P}x(t - \tau)\| \leq \alpha e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}\mu}, \quad \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t - \tau)\| \leq \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|.$$

Therefore

$$\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t)\| \leq \alpha \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}\mu} + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^2 \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|. \quad (18)$$

Continuing this way we easily obtain for $t = k\tau + \mu$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau$ a generalization of (17) and (18) as follows

$$\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t)\| \leq \alpha \sum_{j=1}^k \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^j e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|(t-j\tau)} + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x(t)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{P}x(t)\| + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})x(t)\| \\
&\leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^j e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}(t-j\tau)} + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \\
&= \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^j e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t} + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, let $t = k\tau + \mu$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau$, $k \geq 0$.

(i) If $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} < 1$, then

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}}} + \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t}.$$

(ii) If $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} = 1$, then

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \left(\alpha \left(\frac{t}{\tau} + 1 \right) + \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t}.$$

(iii) If $1 < \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}}$ and $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| \leq 1$, then

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \left(\frac{\alpha e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}}}{\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} - 1} + \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \right) e^{-\ln\left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}\right)t}.$$

Proof For the three cases we use Theorem 2.2.

(i) If $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} < 1$, then

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^j e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t} + e^{-\frac{\beta\tau(k+1)}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|$$

and the desired inequality follows from $(k+1)\tau \geq t$.

(ii) If $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} = 1$, then

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha(k+1) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t} + e^{-\frac{\beta\tau(k+1)}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\|$$

and the desired inequality follows from $t \leq (k+1)\tau \leq t + \tau$.

(iii) If $1 < \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}}$ and $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x(t)\| &\leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^{j-k} \left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^k e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t} \\
&\quad + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \\
&\leq \alpha \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^{-1} \right)^j \left(\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} \right)^k e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\|H_{11}\|}t} \\
&\quad + \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1} \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \\
&\leq \left[\frac{\alpha e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}}}{\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| e^{\frac{\beta\tau}{2\|H_{11}\|}} - 1} + \max_{-\tau \leq \nu \leq 0} \|x(\nu)\| \right] \|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\|^{k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

and the desired inequality follows again from $t \leq (k + 1)\tau$.

□

As a consequence we have the following

Corollary 2 *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, the solution of (5) is asymptotically stable if $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| < 1$ and stable if $\|(I_n - \mathcal{P})A^{-1}B\| = 1$.*

In particular, when $E = I$ and hence $\mathcal{P} = I_n$, Corollary 2 implies that the solution of (5) is asymptotically stable, see also [13, Proposition 5.3].

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the reviewers for useful remarks and suggestions.

References

- [1] T. Berger, A. Ilchmann, S. Trenn, The quasi-Weierstraß form for regular matrix pencils, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436, 4052–4069 (2012).
- [2] S.L. Campbell, Nonregular 2D descriptor delay systems, *IMA J. Math. Cont. Inf.* 12, 57–67 (1995).
- [3] S.L. Campbell, V.H. Linh, Stability criteria for differential-algebraic equations with multiple delays and their numerical solutions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 208, 397–415 (2009).
- [4] N.H. Du, V.H. Linh, V. Mehrmann, D.D. Thuan, Stability and robust stability of linear time-invariant delay differential-algebraic equations, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 34, 1631–1654 (2013).
- [5] E. Fridman, New Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals for stability of linear retarded and neutral type systems, *Systems & Control Letters* 43, 309–319 (2001).
- [6] E. Fridman, Stability of linear descriptor systems with delay: A Lyapunov-based approach, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 273, 24–44 (2002).
- [7] E. Fridman, *Introduction to Time-Delay Systems, Analysis and Control*, Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- [8] Z. Gajić, M. T. J. Qureshi, *Lyapunov Matrix Equation in System Stability and Control*, Mineola, N. Y., Dover, 2008.
- [9] S. K. Godunov, *Modern Aspects of Linear Algebra*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 175, American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [10] G. H. Golub, C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd ed., The Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1996.
- [11] P. Ha, *Analysis and Numerical solutions of Delay Differential-Algebraic Equations*, Technische Universität Berlin, Dissertation, 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14279/depositionce-4385>.
- [12] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, 2nd Edition. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013.
- [13] K. Gu, V.L. Kharitonov, J. Chen, *Stability of Time-Delay Systems*. Boston, MA : Birkhuser, 2003.
- [14] B. Kågström, P. Poromaa, Computing eigenspaces with specified eigenvalues of a regular matrix pair (A, B) and condition estimation: theory, algorithms and software, *Numerical Algorithms*, 12, 369–407 (1996).
- [15] W. Michiels, S.-I. Niculescu, *Stability and Stabilization of Time-Delay Systems: An Eigenvalue-Based Approach*, *Advances in Design and Control*, vol. 12, SIAM Publications, Philadelphia, 2007.
- [16] W. Michiels, Spectrum-based stability analysis and stabilisation of systems described by delay differential algebraic equations. In: *IET Control Theory Appl.* 5, S. 1829–1842 (2011).
- [17] S.-I. Niculescu, P. Fu, J. Chen, On the Stability of Linear Delay-Differential Algebraic Systems: Exact Conditions via Matrix Pencil Solutions, In: *Proc. 45th IEEE Conf. Decision Control*, 2006.
- [18] M. Sadkane, R.B. Sidje, Efficient computation of the spectral projections of regular matrix pairs, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 298, 72–81 (2016).
- [19] G.W. Stewart, J.-G. Sun, *Matrix Perturbation Theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, California, 1990.
- [20] L.N. Trefethen, M. Embree, *Spectra and pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005.
- [21] S. Trenn, B. Unger, Delay regularity of differential-algebraic equations, In: *Proc. 58th IEEE Conf. Decision Control*, 2019, Nice, France, S. 989–994 (2019).

- [22] B. Unger, Discontinuity propagation in delay differential-algebraic equations, *Electron. J. Linear Algebr.* 34, 582–601 (2018).
- [23] B. Unger, Well-Posedness and Realization Theory for Delay Differential-Algebraic Equations, Technische Universität Berlin, Dissertation, 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14279/depositonce-10707>.
- [24] C.F. Van Loan, How near is a stable matrix to an unstable matrix, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 47, 465–477 (1985).
- [25] K. Veselić, Bounds for exponentially stable semigroups, *Lin. Alg. Appl.*, 358, 309–333 (2003).