



HAL
open science

An assessment of marine atmospheric boundary layer roll detection using Sentinel-1 SAR data

Chen Wang, Douglas Vandemark, Alexis Mouche, Bertrand Chapron, Huimin Li, Ralph Foster

► **To cite this version:**

Chen Wang, Douglas Vandemark, Alexis Mouche, Bertrand Chapron, Huimin Li, et al.. An assessment of marine atmospheric boundary layer roll detection using Sentinel-1 SAR data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 2020, 250, pp.112031. 10.1016/j.rse.2020.112031 . hal-03102268

HAL Id: hal-03102268

<https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-03102268>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

An assessment of marine atmospheric boundary layer roll detection using Sentinel-1 SAR data

Chen Wang^{a,b,*}, Douglas Vandemark^c, Alexis Mouche^a, Bertrand Chapron^a, Huimin Li^d,
Ralph C. Foster^e

^aIFREMER, Univ. Brest, CNRS, IRD, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale (LOPS), Brest, France

^bIMT Atlantique, Lab-STICC, UBL, Brest, France

^cOcean Processes Analysis Laboratory, University of New Hampshire, New Hampshire, USA

^dSchool of Marine Sciences, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China.

^eApplied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, USA

Abstract

The ability of high-resolution synthetic aperture radar (SAR) to detect marine atmospheric boundary layer (MABL) roll-induced roughness modulation of the sea surface wave field is well known. This study presents SAR measurements of MABL rolls using global coverage data collected by the European Space Agency's C-band Sentinel-1A satellite in 2016-2017. An automated classifier is used to identify likely roll events from more than 1.3 million images that were acquired at two incidence angles of 23° and 36.5° in either VV or HH polarization. Characteristics of the detected rolls are examined for different wind speeds, polarizations, incidence and relative azimuth angles. Roll detection counts are much higher at the higher incidence angle and nearly equivalent for VV and HH polarizations. Detection depends strongly on the relative azimuth with roll detection rates at crosswind being 3-10 times lower than for up- or downwind. All data show a low wind speed threshold near 2 m·s⁻¹ and that rolls are most commonly observed at wind speeds near 9 m·s⁻¹. For all viewing configurations, we find that rolls induce a wide range of mean surface wind speed modulation with the most frequent value being 8% ($\pm 3.5\%$). Roll detection at crosswind is associated with stronger roll-induced surface wind enhancement. Dependencies of roll detection on the incidence and relative azimuth angles are consistent with rapid short-scale wind-wave adjustments to the roll-induced surface wind gusts. These cm-scale waves are highly directional and provide limited crosswind backscatter at shallower incidence angles. The same roll-induced surface forcing is thus not equally detectable at all viewing geometries or polarizations. Stronger and possibly longer-duration wind forcing is likely needed to produce detectable roll-induced modulations at crosswind.

Keywords: Marine atmospheric boundary layer rolls, Surface wind perturbation, Synthetic

13 **1. Introduction**

14 The mean flow in the marine atmospheric boundary layer (MABL) frequently includes an or-
15 ganized secondary circulation in the form of long helical rolls that are approximately aligned along
16 the mean wind direction. They are sometimes made visible by the low-level cloud streets that form
17 in the organized updrafts between rolls (Weston, 1980; Hein and Brown, 1988; Rowe and Houze,
18 2015). However, rolls are a common feature of the MABL when shear production of turbulence
19 plays an important role and are thus frequently present in the absence of clouds. Investigation
20 of the phenomenon extends back decades, including field, theoretical, numerical, and experimen-
21 tal efforts (Kuettner, 1959; LeMone, 1973; Brown, 1980; Etling and Brown, 1993; Atkinson and
22 Wu Zhang, 1996; Young et al., 2002), and there is evidence that these coherent structures have
23 a measurable impact on turbulent fluxes of heat and momentum across the MABL (Glendening,
24 1996; Zhang et al., 2008; Zhu, 2008; Brilouet et al., 2017). This inhomogeneous contribution is
25 seldom included in the standard boundary layer parameterizations used in weather forecast and
26 climate models. This is largely because the process occurs at small horizontal length scales that
27 are within the so-called numerical modeling grey zone of 1-10 km (Shin and Hong, 2013; Bauer
28 et al., 2015). Moreover, because they are often invisible to standard remote or in situ sensors, even
29 basic measures of roll characteristics over the oceans have not been established. The fundamental
30 particulars are the frequency of occurrence, strength, wave length, alignment direction, and forma-
31 tion conditions (Levy, 2001; Weckwerth et al., 1997; Zhao et al., 2016; Atkinson and Wu Zhang,
32 1996; Young et al., 2002).

33 Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) ocean imagery is able to resolve the parallel backscatter streaks
34 that are associated with the roll-induced surface wind stress changes in day-and-night and most
35 weather conditions (Gerling, 1986; Alpers and Brümmer, 1994; Young, 2000; Vandemark et al.,
36 2001). Case studies using ocean SAR measurements have been conducted to examine MABL
37 rolls in numerous air-sea investigations (Alpers and Brümmer, 1994; Li et al., 2013; Zhao et al.,
38 2016; Babin et al., 2003; Sikora et al., 2011; Alpers et al., 2016). These applications have been
39 limited in scope and mostly dedicated to coastal regions because wide-swath ocean SAR imagery
40 is not acquired routinely nor globally. But a narrow swath option with nearly global coverage,

*Corresponding author

Email address: Chen.Wang@ifremer.fr (Chen Wang)

41 sufficient resolution and scene size has been available from the Sentinel-1 SAR satellites since
42 2014 (Torres et al., 2012). The SAR Wave Mode (WV) extends a legacy of global ocean surface
43 wave monitoring from previous satellite SAR missions. For the purposes of MABL studies, the
44 two most important differences of S-1 WV compared to the legacy data is the increase in image
45 size to 20 by 20 km, while retaining a high spatial resolution of 5 m pixels, and the addition
46 of a higher incidence angle sample. The European Space Agency (ESA) currently operates two
47 identical Sentinel-1 (S-1) satellites (A&B) for Copernicus that routinely collect ~130,000 images
48 each month over most of the ocean surface. At the time of this writing, more than six million
49 images have been acquired.

50 A required first step for MABL roll studies using SAR data is event detection (Weckwerth et al.,
51 1997; Young et al., 2008). To date, visual inspection has been used to determine the presence of roll
52 imprints in SAR images (e.g. Levy, 2001; Zhao et al., 2016). Given the large number of S-1 WV
53 scenes, an automated method is required. A machine learning tool for S-1 WV image classification
54 was developed from the Inception-v3 convolutional neural network (CNN) to classify each WV
55 image into one of the ten different geophysical categories (Wang et al., 2019b). Note that this
56 classifier very rarely tags non-roll events as rolls but can miss-categorize roll events into other
57 classes. The present study uses only the images that are classified as roll events. More than 1.3
58 million WV SAR scenes collected in 2016-2017 were analyzed for the presence of MABL rolls,
59 resulting in ~155,000 roll cases in total. This far surpasses the largest previous SAR MABL roll
60 study of Levy (2001), for which, 7150 SAR images were examined.

61 The S-1 WV SAR images are acquired at two fixed incidence angles of 23° (WV1) and 36.5°
62 (WV2), and with two transmit and receive linear polarization configurations, VV (default) and HH
63 (experimental). This provides an opportunity for rigorous evaluation of C-band SAR detection and
64 imaging of MABL rolls for varied wind speeds and radar viewing geometries. These characteris-
65 tics have received limited attention in most previous SAR-based MABL roll investigations (Alpers
66 and Brümmer, 1994; Young, 2000; Sikora and Ufermann, 2004; Li et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2016),
67 and in ocean SAR studies where the surface wind direction is inferred from roll imprint analyses
68 (Gerling, 1986; Koch, 2004; Christiansen et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2008; Li and Lehner, 2014; Zec-
69 chetto, 2018). Alpers and Brümmer (1994) proposed that SAR backscatter due to the roll-induced
70 wave-roughening can be interpreted using the empirical geophysical model functions (GMFs) that
71 relate 20-40 km scale radar scatterometer measurements to surface wind speed and radar viewing
72 geometry. However, field measurements have shown that surface wind-wave and radar backscatter
73 changes during roll impacts are associated with short-duration and short length-scale wind forcing

74 (Vandemark et al., 2001). These roll-induced forces primarily affect the shortest and highly direc-
75 tional wind waves, and not the whole spectrum of surface waves (LeMone, 1973; Lemone, 1976;
76 Young, 2000; Mourad et al., 2000; Vandemark et al., 2001). This interpretation is used to explain
77 the results regarding MABL roll detection and sea surface modulation using the global S-1 WV
78 SAR data that are presented in this paper, and to explain the differences between WV observations
79 and a GMF applied to these observations.

80 The paper is organized as follows. Data and methods are described in Section 2, includ-
81 ing the S-1 WV SAR data, supporting surface environmental variables, and a description of the
82 methods used to classify WV images and to estimate the radar backscatter modulation related to
83 roll-induced wind perturbations. Statistics of the identified MABL roll events are given in Section
84 3. Section 4 provides analyses of the extracted roll modulation parameters, and compared them to
85 a GMF simulation. Discussion and conclusions follow in Section 5.

86 2. Data and methods

87 2.1. Sentinel-1 WV

88 Sentinel-1 is a polar-orbiting, sun-synchronous SAR satellite constellation mission designed
89 for long-term operation extending into the next decades. Currently, two satellites (A&B), which
90 share the same orbital plane offset by a 180° phase difference, were launched in April of 2014 and
91 2016, respectively (Torres et al., 2012). The satellites are equipped with identical C-band SAR
92 instruments that operate in four pre-programmed imaging modes: Interferometric Wide swath,
93 Extra Wide swath, Strip Map and WaVe mode (WV). WV is the default mode over the world's
94 ocean except in the Arctic, closed seas and coastal areas, or when S-1 has not been programmed
95 to one of the other imaging modes. There is no WV data acquisition over land except the Amazon
96 rainforest for calibration purposes. WV acquires small SAR image scenes (termed imagettes) at al-
97 ternating incidence angles of 23° (WV1) and 36.5° (WV2). Both usually operate in linear vertical
98 (VV) transmit and receive polarization and, during special phases, in horizontal (HH) polarization.
99 Each WV image size is 20 km by 20 km, with 5 m pixel resolution. Neighboring images are spaced
100 by 100-120 km. The orbital repeat cycle is 12 days. Approximately 65,000 imagettes per month
101 are collected by each satellite. The S-1 WV SAR data used in this paper are the Level-1 Sin-
102 gle Look Complex (SLC) repository, which are managed at IFREMER ([http://www.ifremer.](http://www.ifremer.fr/datavore/exp/dvor/#/s1quicklook)
103 [fr/datavore/exp/dvor/#/s1quicklook](http://www.ifremer.fr/datavore/exp/dvor/#/s1quicklook)), and are also freely available at ESA's Sentinel Open
104 Access Hub (<https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/sentinel-data-access>).

105 This study uses S-1A WV SAR data in VV polarization spanning 2016-2017, and S-1B WV
 106 data in HH polarization from 15 March to 01 July 2017. Inland, near-coastal and poleward of 55°
 107 to avoid possible sea ice images are removed. Fig. 1 illustrates the sample population for the VV
 108 and HH datasets on a 5° by 5° global spatial grid. The typical S-1 WV coverage is nearly complete
 109 over the Pacific, Indian and south Atlantic oceans. There is partial coverage for the eastern north
 110 Atlantic because, by default, other standard imaging modes are in operation. The total numbers of
 111 image evaluated from these S-1A and S-1B datasets are 1,182,540 and 197,442, respectively.

112 Each image is co-located in time and space with surface variables from the ERA5 hindcast
 113 model, including 10 meter wind components, sea surface temperature, 2 meter air temperature,
 114 2 meter dew-point temperature and surface pressure. ERA5 is the latest generation ECMWF
 115 reanalysis product and provides these environmental variables hourly on a global spatial grid of
 116 0.25° by 0.25°. The data are publicly available at: <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu>.
 117 From these variables, we estimate the bulk Richardson number Ri_B at 10 meter height, using the
 118 COARE 3.0 air-sea flux algorithm (Fairall et al., 2003).




Fig. 1. Ocean SAR data coverage for S-1 study datasets, (a) S-1A in VV polarization in 2016-2017 and (b) S-1B in HH polarization, from 15 Mar to 01 Jul in 2017. Color denotes the number of WV images within each 5° by 5° spatial bin. The total number of imaggettes in these datasets is 1,182,540 and 197,442, respectively.

119 2.2. Automated WV image classification

120 Oceanic SAR images have been used to identify numerous oceanic, atmospheric, and sea ice
121 features (e.g. Jackson et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2019a,b). The automated image classifier method
122 applied to this WV data catalogue is briefly detailed here, with an emphasis on MABL roll iden-
123 tification (Wang et al., 2019a,b). We first defined the ten most commonly observed geophysical
124 phenomena in the WV image data (Wang et al., 2019a). These phenomena were ocean swell,
125 wind streaks (induced by MABL rolls), micro-convective cells, rain cells, biological slicks, sea
126 ice, icebergs, low wind areas, atmospheric fronts, and oceanic fronts. Visual selection was used to
127 build a large representative collection for each class, leading to an open-access labelled database
128 called TenGeoP-SARwv (Wang et al., 2018). We then developed an automated classification tool
129 based on a deep learning pattern recognition approach. The tool, namely CMwv, was created by
130 fine-tuning the Inception-v3 deep convolutional neural network (CNN) to discriminate between
131 the ten input training sets (Szegedy et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019b). Separate CMwv models
132 were built for VV-pol WV1 and WV2 data, which are applicable for both S-1A and S-1B WV
133 SAR data. Although the model skill has only been formally evaluated for the VV-polarized SAR
134 images, results suggested that it performs similarly for the HH SAR data, at least for the task of
135 MABL roll identification and analyses presented in this study.

136 CMwv assigns each WV image probability scores for the ten pre-defined classes. These prob-
137 abilities add up to 1, and a WV image is considered to represent a case of visually-distinct MABL
138 roll impacts (i.e. wind streaks) if the roll class score is the largest among the ten. The quantified
139 skill for this approach has a Recall (sensitivity) of 83% for both modes (WV1 and WV2), and
140 Precision (positive detection rate) of 77% and 96% for WV1 and WV2, respectively (Wang et al.,
141 2019b). The precision difference between WV1 and WV2 is likely due to a weaker MABL roll
142 imprint in WV1 images, which is one focus of this study. Wang et al. (2019a,b) documented that
143 image contrasts due to roll imprints for WV2 were qualitatively stronger than for WV1 during the
144 visual labelling procedure. Specific to the CMwv machine learning approach, the ability of this
145 deep CNN model to differentiate between phenomena in each SAR image relies on the efficient
146 extraction of optimal features into convolutional layers, and then to amplify feature differences
147 through pooled layers (LeCun et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). That is, distinct image features
148 cannot be extracted if roll imprints are insufficiently clear. Even with these caveats, the overall
149 CMwv precision scores are high. Potential study limitations due to the classification model are
150 discussed in section 5.

151 2.3. Extraction of roll-induced backscatter modulation amplitude and direction

152 Prior to estimation of roll-induced SAR backscatter modulation for varying wind conditions, an
 153 objective SAR backscatter recalibration method is used to correct S-1 SAR normalized radar cross-
 154 section (NRCS, σ^0) as described in Li et al. (2019b). Specific details are provided in Appendix
 155 A.




Fig. 2. Analysis of roll modulations in S-1 WV sea surface roughness images. Panel (a) is a typical roll image after smoothing to a 200-m pixel resolution σ^0 image. White, blue and red arrows indicate North, the ERA5 wind direction and extracted wind streak orientation, respectively. (b) 2-D FFT spectrum, $S(k, \phi)$, of the full resolution image within the expected wavenumber range of MABL patterns ($\lambda = 0.8$ and 4 km). Angle ϕ is in SAR image coordinates, i.e. clockwise rotating from the azimuth to range direction. (c) Integral of S at each ϕ , maximum marked as a red dot. (d) SAR-estimated wind speeds derived using the C-SARMOD GMF. (e) σ^0 transect profile along the black scan line in (a): from A to B. The profile (dashed line) has been smoothed with a 1 km length Hanning window. Red and blue dots indicate the detected local maximum and minimum. (f) similar to (e) but for SAR-retrieved local wind speed U .

156 Fig. 2 illustrates the process used to extract the roll-induced NRCS modulation from each WV
 157 roll imagette. The full resolution σ^0 image is box averaged to 200 m as shown in Fig. 2 (a), in order

158 to filter out most of the ocean swell features. The chosen 200 m scale follows recommendations
 159 from previous studies (Koch, 2004; Horstmann and Koch, 2005; Christiansen et al., 2006). White
 160 and blue arrows in Fig. 2 (a) indicate North and the ERA5 10-meter wind direction, respectively.

161 An image modulation spectrum $S(k_x, k_y)$ is calculated using a 2-D FFT over the full resolution
 162 σ^0 image. The spectrum $S(k_x, k_y)$ is converted from Cartesian to Polar coordinates, $S(k, \phi)$. Fig.
 163 2 (b) displays the partial spectrum in the 0.8-4.0 km wavelengths band. The angle ϕ is in SAR
 164 image coordinates. $\phi = 0^\circ$ is in the increasing SAR azimuth direction along the satellite heading.
 165 $\phi = 90^\circ$ is in the increasing SAR range direction (S-1 looks to the right). Since there is a 180°
 166 direction ambiguity in wind streak orientation, the spectral energy peak maximises near $\phi=140^\circ$
 167 and 320° . Note that multiple peaks are visible, principally associated with irregularities in the main
 168 linear features throughout the image scene. Still a dominant azimuthal peak direction is apparent
 169 and similar FFT methodologies have been used to extract the dominant orientation of MABL rolls
 170 (Gerling, 1986; Mourad and Walter, 1996; Li et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018). For the example
 171 case of Fig. 2, panel (c) shows the corresponding profile of $S(\phi) = \int_{k=2\pi/4000}^{k=2\pi/800} S(k, \phi) dk d\phi$ with the
 172 maximum shown as a red dot. The red arrow in Fig. 2 (a) defines this dominant roll direction ϕ_{WS}
 173 (with 180° ambiguity).

174 For each image, an estimate of the roll-induced σ^0 modulation along a 15 km transect is ex-
 175 tracted. This σ^0 transect is located at the center of SAR scene and normal to the dominant wind
 176 streak direction, as shown in Fig. 2 (a). Five parallel lines (1 km width) of the backscatter are av-
 177 eraged and then smoothed using a Hanning window. As depicted in Fig. 2 (e), quasi-periodic σ^0
 178 variations along the cross-roll transect are shown. The local maxima (red dots) and minima (blue
 179 dots) correspond to the alternating bright and dark bands on the backscatter image. The distance
 180 between adjacent bright or dark (roll wavelength) varies from 1 to 3 km. It reveals local irregular
 181 roughness modulations with local changes of the surface wind intensity and/or direction, consis-
 182 tent with the multiple peaks present in the 2-D image spectrum. The modulation depth is defined
 183 as the difference between the mean bright (σ_B^0) and dark (σ_D^0) NRCS. The relative modulation
 184 depth, or contrast, is obtained after normalization by their average $(\sigma_B^0 + \sigma_D^0)/2$.

185 As shown in Fig. 2 (d), fine-scale (~ 200 m) surface wind speed is also retrieved from each
 186 down-sampled WV σ^0 imagette using the C-SARMOD GMF (Mouche and Chapron, 2015). Here
 187 we used the co-located ERA5 wind direction instead of the extracted roll direction as input to the
 188 GMF. Similar to above, a SAR-retrieved wind speed (U) cross-roll modulation transect is extracted
 189 and smoothed in Fig. 2 (f). The obtained wind variations range from 0.5-1.5 $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$.

190 In summary, the following parameters relevant to roll-induced impacts on sea surface rough-

191 ness are extracted from each CMwv-identified MABL roll WV SAR scene:

- 192 1) ϕ_{WS} [$^{\circ}$]: Roll orientation with 180° ambiguity in image coordinates: clockwise rotating from
 193 azimuth to range.
 194 2) σ_B^0 [linear]: Mean NRCS for brightest roll modulation peaks.
 195 3) σ_D^0 [linear]: Mean NRCS for the darkest roll modulation troughs.
 196 4) $d\sigma^0 = \sigma_B^0 - \sigma_D^0$ [linear]: Modulation depth.
 197 5) $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0 = d\sigma^0/[(\sigma_B^0 + \sigma_D^0)/2]$ [linear]: Roll-induced NRCS perturbation.
 198 6) U_B [ms^{-1}]: Mean of the wind speed peaks.
 199 7) U_D [ms^{-1}]: Mean of the wind speed troughs.
 200 8) $dU/U = (U_B - U_D)/[(U_B + U_D)/2]$: SAR-derived wind speed perturbation due to rolls.

201 3. MABL roll occurrence rates

202 3.1. Occurrence statistics




Fig. 3. Monthly statistics of detected roll events from all S-1A WV VV SAR imageries. The top and bottom panels provide the percent-detected and the total number of imageries examined in each month.

203 The automated classification considers a SAR imagerie as a MABL roll event if clear evidence
 204 of organized quasi-linear wind streaks can be distinguished, and they dominate scene compared
 205 to other possible geophysical phenomena. Fig. 3 shows the percentage of MABL roll events

206 relative to the total number of WV scenes acquired in each month. The image count per month
 207 of approximately 20k is about the same for WV1 and WV2. The overall fraction of identified roll
 208 events in WV2 is $\sim 15\%$, while that for WV1 is $\sim 9\%$ with no apparent monthly variability in roll
 209 frequency observed for either WV1 or WV2. The higher identification rate for WV2 compared
 210 to WV1 is likely related to higher visibility of the roll-induced wind speed changes at the larger
 211 incidence angle. The percentages of CMwv-classified roll events using the much smaller S-1B HH
 212 SAR dataset are approximately 11% and 6% for WV2 and WV1, respectively (not shown). Note,
 213 these detection rates are significantly lower than the reported average of 40-50% using visually-
 214 selected images in coastal studies (Levy, 2001; Zhao et al., 2016).




Fig. 4. Wind speed distributions of all S-1A WV VV SAR data and the CMwv-identified roll WV1 and WV2 data.

215 The probability density functions (PDFs) of the ERA5 surface wind speed when rolls were
 216 identified in the VV SAR data are shown in Fig. 4, along with the distribution for the entire S-1A
 217 WV dataset. The distributions for WV1 and WV2 roll events are similar. The most likely wind
 218 speed when rolls are identified is $9\text{-}10\text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, which is higher than the $7\text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ mode for the entire
 219 dataset. The low wind speed threshold for roll detection is near $2\text{ to }3\text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ for both WV1 and
 220 WV2. This is consistent with a postulated threshold of $3\text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (Weckwerth et al., 1997; Young
 221 et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2016). The probability for roll detection rises rapidly with wind speed
 222 above this threshold. This is consistent with theoretical models that rolls usually form when shear
 223 production is an important aspect of the MABL dynamics (Brown, 1980; Etling and Brown, 1993).

224 MABL roll identification versus wind speed and relative azimuth, which is the angle between

225 the radar beam and surface wind direction, is shown in Fig. 5. Data are averaged in 20° relative
226 azimuth angle bins. Angles 0°, 90°/270° and 180° are indicative of upwind, crosswind and down-
227 wind radar viewing directions. The bottom panel of Fig. 5 shows the S-1A WV sampling as a
228 function of relative azimuth. Because S-1 SAR is right-side-looking and in a polar orbit, more
229 data are acquired in upwind and downwind looks compared to crosswind due to the prevailing
230 low-latitude easterlies and mid-latitude westerlies. However, thousands of roll images are col-
231 lected at crosswind, which are mostly associated with the meridional flow around low and high
232 pressure centers in the mid-latitudes.

233 Fig. 5 (a, b) show that, for wind speeds above 6 m·s⁻¹, roll event detection rates are dramati-
234 cally lower at crosswind for both incidence angles. Note that the roll detection rates for either
235 WV1 or WV2 can reach 25-35%, which are above the average 9% and 15% rates shown in Fig. 3
236 and are closer to the preciously reported detection rates (Levy, 2001; Zhao et al., 2016). While not
237 shown, the detection rates of rolls from the smaller S-1B HH SAR dataset show similar behavior
238 as S-1A VV for various wind speeds and relative azimuth. It is thus clear that the identification
239 of roll events in S-1 SAR WV data depends strongly on all of incidence angle, wind speed and
240 relative azimuth.

241 3.2. Case study investigation

242 To examine the MABL roll detection differences between WV1 and WV2, a section of Pacific
243 Ocean (15°S-30°N, 170°E-180°E) data is extracted from a descending S-1A pass on Feb 2, 2017
244 (Fig. 6). The SAR look direction is 287° clockwise from North. Wind information from ERA5
245 shows that the wind field is fairly homogeneous at 9.5 m·s⁻¹ and about 80° direction in meteoro-
246 logical convention, so the relative azimuth is 333°. The central locations of the WV1 and WV2
247 imagettes reflect the standard leap-frog acquisition pattern. Concentrating on the 5°N to 18°N re-
248 gion, seven consecutive WV2 imagettes are classified as rolls. The atmospheric stability parameter
249 Ri_B is slightly unstable at about -0.006, which indicates that conditions are favorable for MABL
250 roll development (Brown, 1980; Etling and Brown, 1993; Young et al., 2002). Thus, one would
251 expect S-1 to observe clear roll imprints in both WV1 and WV2. However, only one WV1 case is
252 classified as a roll event.

253 Fig. 6 shows the three pairs of WV1 and WV2 images that were acquired within a 2 minute
254 span. WV2 images are displayed on the left column, and all these show clear periodic linear im-
255 prints of rolls. They have the same orientation, which is close to the ERA5 surface wind direction
256 (blue arrows on images). In contrast, roll imprints are almost invisible on the three neighboring




Fig. 5. Statistics of identified roll events from S-1A VV WV SAR images for different relative azimuth angles. (a) and (b) show the WV1 and WV2 percentages of identified rolls for selected wind speed ranges, and (c) gives the total of all images collected in each mode, respectively.

257 WV1 images shown in right column. Although one roll event was identified, the linear features on
 258 that image are weaker than those in the nearby WV2 images.

259 The computed image modulation parameters for these six cases are given in Table 1. The
 260 SAR-estimated wind speeds U_B and U_D , corresponding to σ_B^0 and σ_D^0 , are close to the mean ERA5
 261 wind speed and approximately the same dU levels are found in all six WV imageries. However,
 262 the modulation depths, $d\sigma^0$, extracted from WV1 images are larger than that from WV2 data.
 263 When the modulation depth is normalized to contrast, $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$, the three WV2 images have larger
 264 values than the three neighboring WV1 cases. This indicates that even though a smaller NRCS
 265 modulation is induced in WV2, it has a better roll detection capability than WV1 for the same
 266 wind conditions. Visually, roll imprints are more easily visible in WV2 than WV1 images as
 267 shown in Fig. 6. Roll detection is apparently sensitivity to the modulation contrast, which depends
 268 on the relative change in NRCS induced by small wind perturbation and the mean NRCS. These




Fig. 6. Center panel shows center points of WV1 and WV2 acquisitions along an S-1A descending pass on 2017-02-02. Images identified by CMwv as roll events are shown with red circles. Three neighboring pairs WV2 and WV1 images (green box in the middle panel) are shown in the left and right panels. The blue and red arrows on the images indicate the ECMWF ERA5 surface wind and SAR backscatter-estimated roll directions, respectively.

269 two variables both vary as a function of wind speed, incidence and relative azimuth angles. Note,
 270 however, that the sole WV1 image classified as rolls has the least $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ among the six cases. It
 271 implies that the explanation for different roll detection rates between WV1 and WV2 is not simple.
 272 A statistical analysis of roll-related modulation parameters is thus necessary and given in Section
 273 4.

Table 1 Environmental variables and extracted roll modulation parameters for the six S-1A WV1 (23°) and WV2 (36.5°) image cases in Fig 6. U_{10} and $\phi_{U_{10}}$ are the ERA5 10 meter wind speed and direction in meteorological convention. Ri_B is the atmospheric stability parameter estimated from the ERA5 variables. ϕ'_{WS} is the extracted roll orientation in the same coordinate as $\phi_{U_{10}}$. σ_B^0 and σ_D^0 are the mean NRCS over roll-induced bright and dark on SAR images. $d\sigma^0$, $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U represent the roll-induced NRCS variation, relative NRCS variation (image contrast) and surface wind perturbation.

Case ID	Swath	U_{10} [m·s ⁻¹]	$\phi_{U_{10}}$ [°]	Ri_B [×10 ⁻³]	ϕ'_{WS} [°]	σ_B^0 [linear]	σ_D^0 [linear]	$d\sigma^0$ [linear]	$\frac{d\sigma^0}{\sigma^0}$	U_B [m·s ⁻¹]	U_D [m·s ⁻¹]	$\frac{dU}{U}$
Fig 6 (1)	WV1	10.0	84	-6.98	96	0.346	0.326	0.021	0.06	10.35	9.82	0.05
Fig 6 (3)	WV1	9.6	75	-5.56	93	0.339	0.307	0.032	0.10	10.44	9.61	0.08
Fig 6 (5)	WV1	9.5	70	-6.99	55	0.302	0.278	0.024	0.08	9.54	8.99	0.06
Fig 6 (2)	WV2	9.0	84	-7.38	67	0.051	0.046	0.005	0.10	9.58	9.05	0.06
Fig 6 (4)	WV2	10.2	77	-6.29	70	0.053	0.047	0.006	0.12	10.09	9.39	0.07
Fig 6 (6)	WV2	9.9	77	-6.36	62	0.056	0.049	0.007	0.12	10.34	9.68	0.07

274 4. S-1 WV NRCS response to roll imprints

275 In this section, we take advantage of the large dataset to address the question of roll detection
276 systematically. The parameters $d\sigma^0$, $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U are extracted from all the WV images that
277 were identified as rolls by CMwv. The distributions of these quantities are binned as functions of
278 ERA5 surface wind speed and relative azimuth for the different incidence angles and polarizations.

279 4.1. Wind speed dependence

280 The roll-induced modulation depth $d\sigma^0$ as a function of ERA5 wind speed for WV1 and WV2
281 in VV and HH polarization states are shown in Fig. 7 (a1,2). Box-plots are used to show the $d\sigma^0$
282 distribution in 2 m·s⁻¹ bins from 3 to 19 m·s⁻¹. Beyond this wind speed range, data are sparse
283 particularly for HH. For both incidence angles and polarizations, $d\sigma^0$ increases with wind speed.
284 WV1 $d\sigma^0$ values are clearly larger than for WV2 for both VV and HH measurements. For winds
285 larger than 13 m·s⁻¹, VV $d\sigma^0$ values exceed HH $d\sigma^0$. These observations consistently follow the
286 fact that the implied slope, $\partial\sigma^0/\partial U$, is on average larger at the lower incidence angle for both VV
287 and HH.

288 The SAR backscatter modulation contrast $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ is shown in Fig. 7 (b1,2). This roll-induced
289 NRCS contrast is much less sensitive to surface wind speed than the modulation depth. One
290 exception is the slightly larger values at low winds (3-7 m·s⁻¹), particularly for HH data. This
291 is likely because σ^0 can be very low in light winds even $d\sigma^0$ remains unchanged. A second
292 observation is a likely roll identification threshold. For both WV1 and WV2 in either VV and
293 HH polarization, the 10th percentile of $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ is almost constant near 0.04. We hypothesize that
294 this value corresponds to the effective roll detection floor for the end-to-end S-1 SAR WV and




Fig. 7. Box plots of $d\sigma^0$, $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U for identified roll events from WV1 and WV2 in VV polarization (left panel) and HH (right panel). Statistics were conducted within each $2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ bin from 3 to $19 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Boxes indicate the 25th to 75th percentiles in each bin. Data mean and median are denoted using the point and line. The 10th and 90th percentiles are given by whiskers. The red line and shaded red in bottom panels represents the overall average and standard deviation of dU/U .

295 automated CMwv model system. Roll cases with image contrasts below this 4% level might be
 296 discernible by trained eyes, but by design, the non-supervised CMwv model was trained to only
 297 identify clearly visible and delineated roll cases (Wang et al., 2019b).

298 Referring again to Fig. 7 (b1,2), it is clear that WV2 $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ levels are generally higher than
 299 these for WV1 in both VV and HH measurements. This difference is consistent with the detection
 300 rate differences shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5. This indicates that roll-induced SAR backscatter
 301 contrast is greater at the higher incidence angle, which improves the chances of CMwv to identify
 302 roll events. Regarding polarization dependencies in the S-1 data, there is little $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ difference
 303 between VV and HH measurements.

304 As noted earlier, ocean radar backscatter can be converted to wind speed using a GMF, which
 305 provides a means to normalize the results across the four WV mode incidence angle and polar-
 306 ization combinations. It also provides a geophysical quantification of MABL impact in terms of
 307 the surface wind speed perturbation. Global statistics of SAR-derived wind modulations (dU/U)
 308 versus mean wind speed are shown in Fig. 7 (c1) and (c2). Similar to the $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ measurements,
 309 dU/U mean values for WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH are relatively constant with wind speed.
 310 The average level of wind perturbation is 8% (standard deviation of 3.5%). This value is con-
 311 sistent with previous field measurements of 7-10% obtained using low-level aircraft observations
 312 (Vandemark et al., 2001). The global ocean estimates show a slightly wider range of roll-induced
 313 wind perturbations, and the maximum wind perturbation seldom exceeds 15%. For both VV and
 314 HH, the dU/U levels are slightly larger at low winds ($3-7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$), which is similar to the $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$
 315 behavior. For wind speeds above $13 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, the detected rolls in WV1 (WV2) tend to be those with
 316 stronger (weaker) roll-induced wind perturbations.

317 4.2. Dependence of roll detection on relative azimuth




Fig. 8. Average $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U data from MABL roll events at up-, cross- and downwind SAR viewing angles as a function of wind speed. Data are from the 2016-2017 period with VV polarization. Statistics were computed for a $\pm 15^\circ$ bin about the three relative azimuth angles, and within each $2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ wind speed bin from 3 to $19 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. The line and shaded areas indicate the mean and one standard deviation.

318 For a given wind speed, MABL roll event data show that the observed SAR backscatter and

319 wind speed perturbation estimates depend on the relative azimuth. Image contrast and wind pertur-
 320 bations within $\pm 15^\circ$ of the up-, cross- and downwind sectors for different wind speeds are shown in
 321 Fig. 8. Up- and downwind $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U are comparable for all wind speeds. When the SAR
 322 view is crosswind, both parameters markedly increase, particularly for WV1 measurements. For
 323 winds below $10 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, the identified crosswind roll events are associated with perturbation levels
 324 twice these for up- and downwind. These differences are largest at lower wind speeds. In terms of
 325 wind perturbation, detected crosswind roll events suggest a level twice that for up/down looks at
 326 speeds of $6\text{-}8 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. It is worth noticing that the limited S-1B HH SAR data show similar results
 327 with S-1A VV and thus not shown in the paper. The explanation for this is mostly a combination
 328 of roll dynamics, SAR sampling and image processing. Most of the crosswind WV images come
 329 from the flow around mid-latitude highs and lows and hence are in different thermal advection
 330 regimes, which are known to affect the rolls differently (Foster and Levy, 1998). However, about
 331 25% of crosswind roll detection with the strongest relative perturbation strength occur at very low
 332 latitudes.

333 It is likely that this strong difference in directional radar sensitivity is related to short wind-
 334 wave variability and Bragg-scattering from these waves, which is polarization dependent. Fig.
 335 9 shows $d\sigma^0$ distributions in VV and HH for both WV1 and WV2 at $9\pm 1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. As expected,
 336 the difference between polarizations is much more distinct at 36° (WV2) than at 23° (WV1),
 337 and with larger NRCS variability at VV than at HH. The mean polarization difference (PD =
 338 $\sigma_{VV}^0 - \sigma_{HH}^0$) is greater for WV2 observations (Quilfen et al., 1999; Kudryavtsev et al., 2013).
 339 This is consistent with the increasing impact of resonant small scatters that have short space-time
 340 relaxation scales, with increasing incidence angle (e.g. Mouche et al., 2007; Kudryavtsev et al.,
 341 2014). The differences between $d\sigma^0$ distributions at VV and HH are much less pronounced for
 342 crosswind configurations in the WV2 results.

343 Quantitatively, Fig. 9 shows that VV downwind $d\sigma^0$ levels are 3 times those of HH $d\sigma^0$ at 36°
 344 (WV2). This is consistent with the pure-Bragg wave scattering theory prediction of a four times
 345 VV-HH σ^0 difference according to typical scattering coefficient formulations (e.g. Eq. 3 and 4
 346 in Kudryavtsev (2003)). For WV1, pure-Bragg wave growth predicts a factor of 1.75 between
 347 VV and HH σ^0 , which is consistent with the global downwind WV1 observations in Fig. 9. But
 348 at crosswind, the statistical distributions of HH and VV $d\sigma^0$ are similar, and the mean levels are
 349 much lower than the along-wind data. So for crosswind, the dominant radar scattering mechanism
 350 must be almost scalar. That is because non-polarized scatters control the C-band radar-detected
 351 contrasts at crosswind. These waves are likely to be steeper intermediate scale (10-50 cm) gravity

352 waves that require much stronger and longer duration wind forcing than for cm-scale Bragg waves
 353 (Kudryavtsev, 2003; Kudryavtsev et al., 2014). The data then suggest that the roll-induced wind
 354 perturbations must be significantly enhanced when the S-1 SAR detects roll events in crosswind.
 355 This implication is that the crosswind roll detections are biased toward the strongest events.




Fig. 9. $d\sigma^0$ distributions at up-, cross- and downwind ($\pm 15^\circ$ bin) for WV1 (left panel) and WV2 (right panel) in VV and HH with wind speed of $9 \pm 1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Azimuth averaging is the same as for Fig. 8

356 4.3. Comparison with C-band GMF simulations

357 To further examine the C-band SAR NRCS response to rolls with respect to wind speed and
 358 relative azimuth, we interpret the S-1 WV $d\sigma^0$ measurements with a simple GMF simulation in the
 359 right column of Fig. 10. C-SARMOD calculations were performed assuming an 8% roll-induced
 360 wind speed change (dU/U) at each wind speed and direction for incidence angles of 23° and 36.5°
 361 over a wind speed range of 4.5 to $13.5 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ across the full range of relative azimuth angle.

362 Corresponding SAR measurement statistics are collected in 20° relative azimuth and $3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
 363 wind speed bins (left column of Fig. 10). The modulation depth $d\sigma^0$ is largest at upwind and
 364 downwind. In light winds (3 - $6 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$), WV1 and WV2 $d\sigma^0$ are nearly constant for both VV and




Fig. 10. Observed vs. predicted $d\sigma^0$ for roll events as function of wind speed and relative azimuth for WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH. Left panel are S-1 SAR WV results. Mean values are calculated for each 20° relative azimuth angle. For clarity, estimates of the standard deviation (shaded) are only shown for one wind speed in each panel. The right panel provides the C-SARMOD simulations under an assumed 8% wind speed change due to roll impacts.

365 HH with WV1 around 0.02 and WV2 about 0.002. With increasing wind speed, both VV and
 366 $d\sigma^0$ increase, with largest variations at up- and downwind.

367 The observed $d\sigma^0$ variations are generally similar, but there are some significant differences. In
 368 light winds ($3-6 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$), C-SARMOD $d\sigma^0$ is also nearly direction independent, but at a lower mag-
 369 nitude than the SAR measurements. For moderate wind speeds, C-SARMOD predicts a stronger
 370 $d\sigma^0$ dependence on the relative azimuth than we observe. For instance, within the $9-12 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ wind

371 speed range, WV1 VV $d\sigma^0$ varies from 0.036 at upwind to about 0.026 at crosswind, and 0.037
372 at downwind. The corresponding C-SARMOD VV $d\sigma^0$ are 0.042, 0.013 and 0.046, respectively.
373 Similar discrepancies are found for other wind speed ranges. These differences between S-1 WV
374 and C-SARMOD simulations are larger for WV1 than for WV2 in both VV and HH, and increase
375 with increasing wind speed.

376 As might be expected from the results discussed in section 4.2, crosswind data show the largest
377 differences with C-SARMOD. For WV1, the SAR $d\sigma^0$ is larger than 0.02, and increases with
378 wind speed. In contrast, the C-SARMOD $d\sigma^0$ is less than 0.02, with no obvious wind speed trend.
379 For WV2 at crosswind, both C-SARMOD and WV $d\sigma^0$ increase with wind speed, although C-
380 SARMOD increases less rapidly. It should be noted that the constant 8% wind modulation due to
381 rolls will not be valid across the full scope of the model-data comparison.

382 5. Discussion and Conclusions

383 The combination of S-1 SAR WV data with automated image classification provides thousands
384 of new MABL roll observations across most of the global ocean. Assessment results show that the
385 36° incidence angle measurements have a clear benefit for MABL roll investigations and improve
386 on wave mode data from the earlier ERS and Envisat SAR ocean missions. In particular, there is
387 a nearly 50% increase in event detection for WV2 compared to WV1. While more roll events are
388 detected at the larger incidence angle, the two incidence angles show several important similarities
389 for MABL roll remote sensing. First, they share a lower detection threshold near 4% in NRCS
390 contrast at wind speeds from 3 to 19 m·s⁻¹. Estimates of the roll-induced surface wind speed
391 perturbations at both incidence angles fall in the range of 5-10%. Finally, WV1 and WV2 SAR
392 roll event detection rates are 3-10 times greater for up- and down-wind compared to crosswind
393 (Fig. 5). These results generally hold for both VV and HH, although WV2 VV is slightly more
394 sensitive than HH to wind streak signatures as seen in Figs. 7 and 9. It is thus apparent that the
395 best option for S-1 SAR measurements of this process is the WV2 VV-pol configuration. These
396 findings suggest that any ocean SAR investigations of MABL rolls should carefully consider the
397 relative azimuth and SAR incidence angles.

398 The central geophysical explanation for the observed radar dependencies under MABL roll
399 forcing appears to be the combination of surface wind stress impacts and adjustment to this forc-
400 ing by highly directional short wind waves. As discussed in Section 4.2, roll imprints are best
401 captured by WV2 VV in the up and downwind configurations. It demonstrates that the local $d\sigma^0$
402 changes due to the roll field are significantly polarized. Short-scale polarizing surface structures

403 correspond to the cm-scale Bragg waves. These waves have short relaxation times, and they quasi-
404 instantaneously adjust to wind changes. Thus in the majority of cases, SAR-imaged rolls are likely
405 to reflect this rapid adjustment in cm-scale waves for km-scale regions under the helical roll vortex
406 field (Alpers and Brümmer, 1994; Young, 2000; Vandemark et al., 2001). Using a C-band radar
407 GMF as a first-order model for this wind-wave adjustment, Fig. 7 (c1) and (c2) show that the
408 global-averaged 8% (± 3.5) level for wind speed fluctuations does a reasonable job of explaining
409 the roll-induced NRCS modulation depth. This appears to be a robust observation, valid across
410 most wind speeds, and consistent with previous aircraft wind measurements showing a range of
411 7-10% (Vandemark et al., 2001). Results also indicate that the strength of the coherent secondary
412 circulation scales with the intensity of the mean flow. These satellite-derived estimates of sur-
413 face perturbation magnitude may help guide analytical and numerical models of eddy-impacted
414 boundary layers.

415 An explanation for the largest difference of MABL roll detection between up and crosswind
416 SAR viewing angles (see Figs. 5 and 8) is more nuanced. It is asserted that the dominant crosswind
417 NRCS modulation mechanism under roll forcing must come from changes in steeper intermedi-
418 ate scale breaking or nearly breaking surface scattering facets (Kudryavtsev, 2003; Kudryavtsev
419 et al., 2013). This conclusion is consistent with VV and HH pol differences observed in Fig. 9.
420 Accordingly, and particularly at lower incidence angles, roll-induced surface wind variations must
421 be increasingly vigorous in magnitude and duration for wind streak detection under crosswind
422 conditions, as seen in Fig. 8.

423 Looking forward, this discrepancy in roll-field detection may be related to other environmental
424 conditions that accompany events classified as MABL rolls using CMwv. Because S-1 is polar-
425 orbiting and right-looking, roll observations at crosswind will be primarily associated with flows
426 around mid-latitudinal lows and highs. These conditions are associated with particular thermal
427 advection regimes that induce first-order modulations of the rolls. So, crosswind rolls present
428 complication in both remote sensing and in geophysical interpretation. More generally, this re-
429 inforces the hypothesis that the forcing conditions needed to generate sufficient surface waves
430 for wind streak detection may change, and be convolved with the SAR look direction and inci-
431 dence angle. Further work is required to clarify this issue as it pertains to MABL roll process
432 studies using S-1 data. Future investigations may also take advantage of these findings to focus
433 on radar measurements under highly unstable atmospheric conditions, possibly using dual- and
434 quad-polarized SAR measurements (Kudryavtsev et al., 2014, 2019; Fan et al., 2019).

435 It is certain that observed detection rates and thresholds depend to some extent on the per-

436 formance of the CMwv automated image recognition algorithm. In the case of MABL rolls, the
437 model was intentionally trained to find scenes that were clearly visible by eye. Given the con-
438 sistent roll detection statistics and results in Fig. 7, this visibly-evident criterion corresponds to a
439 4 % threshold in backscatter modulation. This implies that conditions with weaker, less visible,
440 roll imprints are not captured in the present analysis and datasets. This limitation suggests that
441 the overall percentage of occurrence rates for MABL rolls over the ocean seen in Figs. 3 and 5
442 represent conservative or lower-end estimates. In principle this may also affect the SAR-derived
443 estimate of the low wind threshold for observed rolls ($\sim 2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) shown in Fig. 4, but this value is
444 consistent with previous estimates from theory and observations (Etling and Brown, 1993; Weck-
445 werth et al., 1997). CMwv model limitations are not expected to impact the study conclusions
446 drawn above pertaining to radar sensitivity to MABL roll impacts with changing incidence angle,
447 relative azimuth, or polarization.

448 These new S-1 WV observations open avenues for further studies. On one hand, the revealed
449 differences in SAR sensitivity to waves generated by roll impacts, particularly for crosswind views,
450 should be further investigated. This may lead to new approaches for identifying unstable condi-
451 tions, and Ri_B retrieval methods. In that context, dual- and quad-polarized SAR observations (Fan
452 et al., 2019), might be favored. In particular, short-scale polarized scatter contributions can be
453 isolated to more precisely analyze local roll signatures. The growing number of quad-polarization
454 observations, from Radarsat-2, Gaofen-3 and the new Radarsat Constellation Mission (RCM), are
455 expected to serve this purpose. On the other hand, though the weak roll imprint cases are excluded
456 in the present classified dataset, this S-1 SAR database is still state-of-the-art in terms of providing
457 an overall global view of roll field characteristics (wavelength & orientation) as well as the ability
458 to relate these data to near-surface forcing from the tropics to high latitudes. This massive classi-
459 fied WV SAR images can thus be used to support boundary layer studies over the world’s ocean
460 to advance understandings of km-scale MABL coherent roll structures on turbulent momentum
461 fluxes.

462 6. Acknowledgements

463 The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments
464 that improved the paper. This study is supported by ESA Sentinel-1 Mission Performance Center
465 (4000107360/12/I-LG), ESA S1-4SCI Ocean Study (4000115170/15/I-SBo), and CNES TOSCA
466 program (COWS) projects. Foster and Vandemark were supported by NASA Physical Oceanog-
467 raphy grant NNX17AH17G. C. Wang is grateful for financial support from the China Scholarship

468 Council (CSC) for his PhD, and the French ISblue project (ANR-17-EURE-0015) for this work.

469 Appendix A. S-1 WV NRCS recalibration

470 For each WV SAR image, ESA's Level-1 SLC product provides the digital number $DN =$
 471 $\sqrt{I^2 + Q^2}$ per pixel (I and Q indicate the real and imaginary parts of SAR measurements). It can
 472 be used to compute the NRCS through radiometric calibration and noise correction:

$$\sigma_{ESA}^0 = DN_i^2 / A_i^2 - NESZ \quad [Linear]$$

473 where A_i is the calibration lookup table (LUT) provided in the annotation files for each image
 474 pixel. NESZ is the noise equivalent σ^0 estimated from the mode of DN_i^2 / A_i^2 histogram for wind
 475 speeds less than $1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (Li et al., 2019a,b). The NESZ is 0.0014 and 0.0062 for S-1A WV1 and
 476 WV2 in VV, and 0.0012 and 0.0040 for S-1B WV1 and WV2 in HH.




Fig. A.11. NRCS residual of pre- and post-recalibration (top and bottom) as function of wind speed for WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH. VV data are for S-1A in 2016-2017 and HH data are for S-1B from 15 Mar to 01 Jul in 2017. Color denotes the normalized data density. The red dashed line indicates the 0 dB baseline. Black dots are the mean residual within $1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ bin and the error bars represent one standard deviation. The σ^0 reference comes from the C-SARMOD model noted in the text.

477 The chosen NRCS reference, or benchmark, is the C-band SAR GMF of C-SARMOD
 478 (Mouche et al., 2006). It is selected because of its applicable skill in both VV and HH polariza-

479 tions. NRCS comparisons between standard ESA WV data (σ_{ESA}^0) and C-SARMOD predictions
 480 for WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH are given in the top panel of Fig. A.11. We then computed
 481 the mean σ_{ESA}^0 per image for WV data and fed the collocated ERA5 wind speed and direction as
 482 well as image center incidence angle into C-SARMOD to derive the expected $\sigma_{C-SARMOD}^0$. Note
 483 that SAR data with mean DN_i^2/A_i^2 less than the NESZ are excluded. The error bar plots show
 484 the mean and 1st standard deviation within each $1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ bin and the color denotes the normalized
 485 data density. It is clear that the NRCS residual ($\sigma_{ESA}^0 - \sigma_{C-SARMOD}^0$) for WV1 data in both VV and
 486 HH is nearly 0 dB for all wind speeds. However, the WV2 NRCS residual has a nearly constant
 487 negative bias at all wind speeds of about -1.6 dB for VV and -2 dB for HH. This is consistent with
 488 the NRCS assessment that discrepancies exist between S-1 WV data (after built-in calibration pro-
 489 cessing) and CMOD5.N predictions, particularly for measurements at the WV2 incidence angle
 490 of 36° (Li et al., 2019b,a).

491 A recalibration constant C_{OC} is calculated for each 12 day repeat cycle using C-SARMOD and
 492 ERA5 wind direction. This factor is then used to correct the WV NRCS as $\sigma^0 = \sigma_{ESA}^0 / C_{OC}$ in
 493 linear space. This procedure is applied for both WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH. The bottom panel
 494 of Fig. A.11 displays the NRCS residuals after recalibration. As expected, the WV2 mean NRCS
 495 values now lie within 0.1-0.2 dB of the C-SARMOD prediction for most wind speeds.

496 References

- 497 Alpers, W., Brümmer, B., 1994. Atmospheric boundary layer rolls observed by the synthetic aperture radar aboard
 498 the ERS-1 satellite. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 99, 12613. URL: [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/94JC00421)
 499 [94JC00421](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/94JC00421), doi:10.1029/94JC00421.
- 500 Alpers, W., Zhang, B., Mouche, A., Zeng, K., Chan, P.W., 2016. Rain footprints on C-band synthetic aperture radar
 501 images of the ocean - Revisited. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 187, 169–185. URL: [https://linkinghub.](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S003442571630387X)
 502 [elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S003442571630387X](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S003442571630387X), doi:10.1016/j.rse.2016.10.015.
- 503 Atkinson, B.W., Wu Zhang, J., 1996. Mesoscale shallow convection in the atmosphere. *Reviews of Geophysics* 34,
 504 403–431. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/96RG02623>, doi:10.1029/96RG02623.
- 505 Babin, S.M., Sikora, T.D., Winstead, N.S., 2003. A Case Study of Satellite Synthetic Aperture Radar Signatures of
 506 Spatially Evolving Atmospheric Convection over the Western Atlantic Ocean. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 106,
 507 527–546. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021236600569>, doi:10.1023/A:1021236600569.
- 508 Bauer, P., Thorpe, A., Brunet, G., 2015. The quiet revolution of numerical weather prediction. *Nature* 525, 47–55.
 509 URL: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nature14956>, doi:10.1038/nature14956.
- 510 Brilouet, P., Durand, P., Canut, G., 2017. The marine atmospheric boundary layer under strong wind conditions: Or-
 511 ganized turbulence structure and flux estimates by airborne measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: At-*
 512 *mospheres* 122, 2115–2130. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JD025960>,
 513 doi:10.1002/2016JD025960.

514 Brown, R.A., 1980. Longitudinal instabilities and secondary flows in the planetary boundary layer: A re-
515 view. *Reviews of Geophysics* 18, 683–697. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/RG018i003p00683>,
516 doi:10.1029/RG018i003p00683.

517 Christiansen, M.B., Koch, W., Horstmann, J., Hasager, C.B., Nielsen, M., 2006. Wind resource assessment
518 from C-band SAR. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 105, 68–81. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425706002239><https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425706002239>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.06.005>.

521 Etling, D., Brown, R.A., 1993. Roll vortices in the planetary boundary layer: A review. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*
522 65, 215–248. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00705527>, doi:10.1007/BF00705527.

523 Fairall, C.W., Bradley, E.F., Hare, J.E., Grachev, A.A., Edson, J.B., 2003. Bulk Parameterization of AirSea Fluxes:
524 Updates and Verification for the COARE Algorithm. *Journal of Climate* 16, 571–591. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0442\(2003\)016<0571:BPOASF>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<0571:BPOASF>2.0.CO;2),
525 doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<0571:BPOASF>2.0.CO;2.
526 [CO<3>3B2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<0571:BPOASF>2.0.CO;2), doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<0571:BPOASF>2.0.CO;2.

527 Fan, S., Kudryavtsev, V., Zhang, B., Perrie, W., Chapron, B., Mouche, A., 2019. On C-Band Quad-Polarized Synthetic
528 Aperture Radar Properties of Ocean Surface Currents. *Remote Sensing* 11, 2321. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/11/19/2321>, doi:10.3390/rs11192321.

530 Foster, R.C., Levy, G., 1998. The Contribution of Organized Roll Vortices to the Surface Wind Vector in
531 Baroclinic Conditions. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 55, 1466–1472. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469\(1998\)055<1466:TC00RV>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<1466:TC00RV>2.0.CO;2),
532 doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<1466:TC00RV>2.0.CO;2.
533 [1175/1520-0469\(1998\)055<1466:TC00RV>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<1466:TC00RV>2.0.CO;2).

534 Gerling, T.W., 1986. Structure of the surface wind field from the Seasat SAR. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 91,
535 2308. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/JC091iC02p02308>, doi:10.1029/JC091iC02p02308.

536 Glendening, J.W., 1996. Lineal Eddy Features under Strong Shear Conditions. *Journal of the*
537 *Atmospheric Sciences* 53, 3430–3449. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469\(1996\)053<3430:LEFUSS>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1996)053<3430:LEFUSS>2.0.CO;2),
538 doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1996)053<3430:LEFUSS>2.0.CO;2.
539 [1175/1520-0469\(1996\)053<3430:LEFUSS>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1996)053<3430:LEFUSS>2.0.CO;2).

540 Hein, P.F., Brown, R.A., 1988. Observations of longitudinal roll vortices during arctic cold air outbreaks over open
541 water. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 45, 177–199. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00120822>,
542 doi:10.1007/BF00120822.

543 Horstmann, J., Koch, W., 2005. Measurement of Ocean Surface Winds Using Synthetic Aperture Radars. *IEEE*
544 *Journal of Oceanic Engineering* 30, 508–515. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1593798/>,
545 doi:10.1109/JOE.2005.857514.

546 Huang, L., Li, X., Liu, B., Zhang, J.A., Shen, D., Zhang, Z., Yu, W., 2018. Tropical Cyclone Boundary Layer
547 Rolls in Synthetic Aperture Radar Imagery. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123, 2981–2996. URL:
548 <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2018JC013755>, doi:10.1029/2018JC013755.

549 Jackson, C.R., Apel, J.R., Editors, 2004. *Synthetic Aperture Radar Marine User’s Manual*. US Department of
550 Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Infor-
551 mation Serve, Office of Research and Applications.

552 Koch, W., 2004. Directional analysis of SAR images aiming at wind direction. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience*
553 *and Remote Sensing* 42, 702–710. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1288365/>, doi:10.1109/

554 [TGRS.2003.818811](#).

555 Kudryavtsev, V., 2003. A semiempirical model of the normalized radar cross-section of the sea surface 1. Background
556 model. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 108, 8054. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001JC001003>,
557 doi:10.1029/2001JC001003.

558 Kudryavtsev, V., Kozlov, I., Chapron, B., Johannessen, J.A., 2014. Quad-polarization SAR features of ocean cur-
559 rents. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 119, 6046–6065. URL: [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2014JC010173)
560 [2014JC010173](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2014JC010173), doi:10.1002/2014JC010173.

561 Kudryavtsev, V.N., Chapron, B., Myasoedov, A.G., Collard, F., Johannessen, J.A., 2013. On Dual Co-Polarized
562 SAR Measurements of the Ocean Surface. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters* 10, 761–765. URL:
563 <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6377256/>, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2012.2222341.

564 Kudryavtsev, V.N., Fan, S., Zhang, B., Mouche, A.A., Chapron, B., 2019. On Quad-Polarized SAR Measurements
565 of the Ocean Surface. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 57, 8362–8370. URL: [https:](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8742586/)
566 [//ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8742586/](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8742586/), doi:10.1109/TGRS.2019.2920750.

567 Kuettner, J., 1959. The Band Structure of the Atmosphere. *Tellus* 11, 267–294. URL: [https://www.tandfonline.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/tellusa.v11i3.9319)
568 [com/doi/full/10.3402/tellusa.v11i3.9319](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/tellusa.v11i3.9319), doi:10.3402/tellusa.v11i3.9319.

569 LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G., 2015. Deep learning. *Nature* 521, 436–444. URL: [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/doi/finder/10.1038/nature14539)
570 [doi/finder/10.1038/nature14539](http://www.nature.com/doi/finder/10.1038/nature14539), doi:10.1038/nature14539, arXiv:arXiv:1312.6184v5.

571 LeMone, M.A., 1973. The Structure and Dynamics of Horizontal Roll Vortices in the Planetary Boundary Layer.
572 *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 30, 1077–1091. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469%281973%29030%3C1077%3ATSAD0H%3E2.0.CO%3B2)
573 [10.1175/1520-0469\(1973\)](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469%281973%29030%3C1077%3ATSAD0H%3E2.0.CO%3B2)
574 [030<1077:TSAD0H>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1973)030<1077:TSAD0H>2.0.CO;2).

575 Lemone, M.A., 1976. Modulation of Turbulence Energy by Longitudinal Rolls in an Unstable Planetary Boundary
576 Layer. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 33, 1308–1320. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469%281976%29033%3C1308%3AMOTEBL%3E2.0.CO%3B2)
577 [10.1175/1520-0469\(1976\)](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469%281976%29033%3C1308%3AMOTEBL%3E2.0.CO%3B2)
578 [033<1308:MOTEBL>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1976)033<1308:MOTEBL>2.0.CO;2).

579 Levy, G., 2001. Boundary layer roll statistics from SAR. *Geophysical Research Letters* 28, 1993–1995. URL:
580 <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2000GL012667>, doi:10.1029/2000GL012667.

581 Li, H., Mouche, A., Stopa, J.E., 2019a. Impact of Sea State on Wind Retrieval From Sentinel-1 Wave Mode Data.
582 *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* 12, 559–566. URL: [https:](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8637022/)
583 [//ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8637022/](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8637022/), doi:10.1109/JSTARS.2019.2893890.

584 Li, H., Mouche, A., Stopa, J.E., Chapron, B., 2019b. Calibration of the Normalized Radar Cross Section for
585 Sentinel-1 Wave Mode. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 57, 1514–1522. URL: [https:](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8465988/)
586 [//ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8465988/](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8465988/), doi:10.1109/TGRS.2018.2867035.

587 Li, X., Zheng, W., Yang, X., Zhang, J.A., Pichel, W.G., Li, Z., 2013. Coexistence of Atmospheric Gravity Waves
588 and Boundary Layer Rolls Observed by SAR*. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 70, 3448–3459. URL:
589 <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0347.1>, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0347.1.

590 Li, X.M., Lehner, S., 2014. Algorithm for Sea Surface Wind Retrieval From TerraSAR-X and TanDEM-X Data.
591 *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 52, 2928–2939. URL: [http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6564437/)
592 [document/6564437/](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6564437/), doi:10.1109/TGRS.2013.2267780.

593 Lin, H., Xu, Q., Zheng, Q., 2008. An overview on SAR measurements of sea surface wind. *Progress in Natural Sci-*

ence 18, 913–919. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1002007108001755>,
doi:10.1016/j.pnsc.2008.03.008.

Mouche, A., Chapron, B., 2015. Global C-Band Envisat, RADARSAT-2 and Sentinel-1 SAR measurements
in copolarization and cross-polarization. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 120, 7195–7207. URL:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011149>, doi:10.1002/2015JC011149.

Mouche, A.A., Chapron, B., Reul, N., 2007. A simplified asymptotic theory for ocean surface electromagnetic wave
scattering. *Waves in Random and Complex Media* 17, 321–341. URL: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17455030701230261>, doi:10.1080/17455030701230261.

Mouche, A.A., Hauser, D., Kudryavtsev, V., 2006. Radar scattering of the ocean surface and sea-roughness
properties: A combined analysis from dual-polarizations airborne radar observations and models in C band.
Journal of Geophysical Research 111, C09004. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2005JC003166>,
doi:10.1029/2005JC003166.

Mourad, P.D., Thompson, D.R., Vandemark, D.C., 2000. Extracting fine-scale wind fields from synthetic aperture
radar images of the ocean surface. *Johns Hopkins APL Technical Digest (Applied Physics Laboratory)* .

Mourad, P.D., Walter, B.a., 1996. Viewing a cold air outbreak using satellite-based synthetic aperture radar and
advanced very high resolution radiometer imagery. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 101, 16391–16400.
URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/96JC01123>, doi:10.1029/96JC01123.

Quilfen, Y., Chapron, B., Bentamy, A., Gourrion, J., El Fouhaily, T., Vandemark, D., 1999. Global ERS 1 and
2 and NSCAT observations: Upwind/crosswind and upwind/downwind measurements. *Journal of Geophysical
Research: Oceans* 104, 11459–11469. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/1998JC900113>, doi:10.1029/
1998JC900113.

Rowe, A.K., Houze, R.A., 2015. Cloud organization and growth during the transition from suppressed to active MJO
conditions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 120. URL: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
doi/abs/10.1002/2014JD022948](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014JD022948), doi:10.1002/2014JD022948.

Shin, H.H., Hong, S.Y., 2013. Analysis of Resolved and Parameterized Vertical Transports in Convective Boundary
Layers at Gray-Zone Resolutions. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 70, 3248–3261. URL: [http://journals.
ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0290.1](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0290.1), doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0290.1.

Sikora, T.D., Ufermann, S., 2004. Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layer Cellular Convection and Longitudinal Roll
Vortices, in: *Synthetic Aperture Radar Marine User’s Manual*. US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Serve, Office of Research
and Applications. chapter 14, pp. 266–290.

Sikora, T.D., Young, G.S., Fisher, C.M., Stepp, M.D., 2011. A Synthetic Aperture RadarBased Climatology of
Open-Cell Convection over the Northeast Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*
50, 594–603. URL: <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2010JAMC2624.1>, doi:10.1175/
2010JAMC2624.1.

Szegedy, C., Vanhoucke, V., Ioffe, S., Shlens, J., Wojna, Z., 2016. Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer
Vision, in: *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, IEEE. pp. 2818–2826.
URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7780677/>, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2016.308.

Torres, R., Snoeij, P., Geudtner, D., Bibby, D., Davidson, M., Attema, E., Potin, P., Rommen, B., Floury, N.,
Brown, M., Traver, I.N., Deghaye, P., Duesmann, B., Rosich, B., Miranda, N., Bruno, C., L’Abbate, M.,

634 Croci, R., Pietropaolo, A., Huchler, M., Rostan, F., 2012. GMES Sentinel-1 mission. *Remote Sensing of*
635 *Environment* 120, 9–24. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425712000600>,
636 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.05.028.

637 Vandemark, D., Mourad, P.D., Bailey, S.A., Crawford, T.L., Vogel, C.A., Sun, J., Chapron, B., 2001. Mea-
638 sured changes in ocean surface roughness due to atmospheric boundary layer rolls. *Journal of Geophysical*
639 *Research: Oceans* 106, 4639–4654. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/1999JC000051>, doi:10.1029/
640 1999JC000051.

641 Wang, C., Mouche, A., Tandeo, P., Stopa, J., Longépé, N., Erhard, G., Foster, R., Vandemark, D., Chapron, B., 2018.
642 Labeled SAR imagery dataset of ten geophysical phenomena from Sentinel-1 wave mode (TenGeoP-SARwv).
643 URL: <https://doi.org/10.17882/56796>, doi:10.17882/56796.

644 Wang, C., Mouche, A., Tandeo, P., Stopa, J.E., Longépé, N., Erhard, G., Foster, R.C., Vandemark, D., Chapron,
645 B., 2019a. A labelled ocean SAR imagery dataset of ten geophysical phenomena from Sentinel1 wave mode.
646 *Geoscience Data Journal* 0, gdj3.73. URL: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/gdj3.](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/gdj3.73)
647 73, doi:10.1002/gdj3.73.

648 Wang, C., Tandeo, P., Mouche, A., Stopa, J.E., Gressani, V., Longepe, N., Vandemark, D., Foster, R.C., Chapron,
649 B., 2019b. Classification of the global Sentinel-1 SAR vignettes for ocean surface process studies. *Remote*
650 *Sensing of Environment* 234, 111457. URL: [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425719304766?dgcid=author)
651 [S0034425719304766?dgcid=author](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425719304766?dgcid=author), doi:10.1016/j.rse.2019.111457.

652 Weckwerth, T.M., Wilson, J.W., Wakimoto, R.M., Crook, N.A., 1997. Horizontal Convective
653 Rolls: Determining the Environmental Conditions Supporting their Existence and Characteristics. *Monthly*
654 *Weather Review* 125, 505–526. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0493(1997)125<0505:HCRDTE>2.0.CO;2)
655 1175/1520-0493(1997)125<0505:HCRDTE>2.0.CO;2, doi:10.1175/
656 1520-0493(1997)125<0505:HCRDTE>2.0.CO;2.

657 Weston, K.J., 1980. An observational study of convective cloud streets. *Tellus* 32, 433–438. URL: [https://www.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/tellusa.v32i5.10598)
658 [tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/tellusa.v32i5.10598](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/tellusa.v32i5.10598), doi:10.3402/tellusa.v32i5.10598.

659 Young, G., Sikora, T., Winstead, N., 2008. Mesoscale Near-Surface Wind Speed Variability Mapping with Synthetic
660 Aperture Radar. *Sensors* 8, 7012–7034. URL: <http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/8/11/7012>, doi:10.3390/
661 s8117012.

662 Young, G.S., 2000. SAR signatures of the marine atmospheric boundary layer: Implications for numerical forecasting.
663 *Johns Hopkins APL Technical Digest (Applied Physics Laboratory)* .

664 Young, G.S., Kristovich, D.A.R., Hjelmfelt, M.R., Foster, R.C., 2002. Rolls, Streets, Waves, and
665 More: A Review of Quasi-Two-Dimensional Structures in the Atmospheric Boundary Layer. *Bul-*
666 *letin of the American Meteorological Society* 83, 997–1001. URL: [http://journals.ametsoc.org/](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0477(2002)083<0997:RSWAMA>2.3.CO;2)
667 [doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0477\(2002\)083<0997:RSWAMA>2.3.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0477(2002)083<0997:RSWAMA>2.3.CO;2), doi:10.
668 1175/1520-0477(2002)083<0997:RSWAMA>2.3.CO;2.

669 Zecchetto, S., 2018. Wind Direction Extraction from SAR in Coastal Areas. *Remote Sensing* 10, 261. URL:
670 <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/10/2/261>, doi:10.3390/rs10020261.

671 Zhang, J.A., Katsaros, K.B., Black, P.G., Lehner, S., French, J.R., Drennan, W.M., 2008. Effects of Roll Vortices on
672 Turbulent Fluxes in the Hurricane Boundary Layer. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 128, 173–189. URL: [http://](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10546-008-9281-2)
673 link.springer.com/10.1007/s10546-008-9281-2, doi:10.1007/s10546-008-9281-2.

674 Zhang, L.L., Zhang, L.L., Du, B., 2016. Deep Learning for Remote Sensing Data: A Technical Tutorial on the State
675 of the Art. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine 4, 22–40. URL: [http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/
676 document/7486259/](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7486259/), doi:10.1109/MGRS.2016.2540798.
677 Zhao, Y., Li, X.M., Sha, J., 2016. Sea surface wind streaks in spaceborne synthetic aperture radar imagery. Journal of
678 Geophysical Research: Oceans 121, 6731–6741. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012040>, doi:10.
679 1002/2016JC012040.
680 Zhu, P., 2008. Simulation and parameterization of the turbulent transport in the hurricane boundary layer by large ed-
681 dies. Journal of Geophysical Research 113, D17104. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JD009643>,
682 doi:10.1029/2007JD009643.

683 List of Figure Captions

- 684 • Figure 1: Ocean SAR data coverage for S-1 study datasets, (a) S-1A in VV polarization in
685 2016-2017 and (b) S-1B in HH polarization, from 15 Mar to 01 Jul in 2017. Color denotes
686 the number of WV images within each 5° by 5° spatial bin. The total number of imageries
687 in these datasets is 1,182,540 and 197,442, respectively.
- 688 • Figure 2: Analysis of roll modulations in S-1 WV sea surface roughness images. Panel
689 (a) is a typical roll image after smoothing to a 200-m pixel resolution σ^0 image. White,
690 blue and red arrows indicate North, the ERA5 wind direction and extracted wind streak
691 orientation, respectively. (b) 2-D FFT spectrum, $S(k, \phi)$, of the full resolution image within
692 the expected wavenumber range of MABL patterns ($\lambda = 0.8$ and 4 km). Angle ϕ is in SAR
693 image coordinates, i.e. clockwise rotating from the azimuth to range direction. (c) Integral
694 of S at each ϕ , maximum marked as a red dot. (d) SAR-estimated wind speeds derived using
695 the C-SARMOD GMF. (e) σ^0 transect profile along the black scan line in (a): from A to
696 B. The profile (dashed line) has been smoothed with a 1 km length Hanning window. Red
697 and blue dots indicate the detected local maximum and minimum. (f) similar to (e) but for
698 SAR-retrieved local wind speed U .
- 699 • Figure 3: Monthly statistics of detected roll events from all S-1A WV VV SAR imageries.
700 The top and bottom panels provide the percent-detected and the total number of imageries
701 examined in each month.
- 702 • Figure 4: Wind speed distributions of all S-1A WV VV SAR data and the CMwv-identified
703 roll WV1 and WV2 data.

- 704 • Figure 5: Statistics of identified roll events from S-1A VV WV SAR images for different
705 relative azimuth angles. (a) and (b) show the WV1 and WV2 percentages of identified rolls
706 for selected wind speed ranges, and (c) gives the total of all images collected in each mode,
707 respectively.

- 708 • Figure 6: Center panel shows center points of WV1 and WV2 acquisitions along an S-1A
709 descending pass on 2017-02-02. Images identified by CMwv as roll events are shown with
710 red circles. Three neighboring pairs WV2 and WV1 images (green box in the middle panel)
711 are shown in the left and right panels. The blue and red arrows on the images indicate the
712 ECMWF ERA5 surface wind and SAR backscatter-estimated roll directions, respectively.

- 713 • Figure 7: Box plots of $d\sigma^0$, $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U for identified roll events from WV1 and
714 WV2 in VV polarization (left panel) and HH (right panel). Statistics were conducted within
715 each $2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ bin from 3 to $19 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Boxes indicate the 25th to 75th percentiles in each bin.
716 Data mean and median are denoted using the point and line. The 10th and 90th percentiles
717 are given by whiskers. The red line and shaded red in bottom panels represents the overall
718 average and standard deviation of dU/U .

- 719 • Figure 8: Average $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U data from MABL roll events at up-, cross- and down-
720 wind SAR viewing angles as a function of wind speed. Data are from the 2016-2017 period
721 with VV polarization. Statistics were computed for a $\pm 15^\circ$ bin about the three relative az-
722 imuth angles, and within each $2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ wind speed bin from 3 to $19 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. The line and
723 shaded areas indicate the mean and one standard deviation.

- 724 • Figure 9: $d\sigma^0$ distributions at up-, cross- and downwind ($\pm 15^\circ$ bin) for WV1 (left panel) and
725 WV2 (right panel) in VV and HH with wind speed of $9 \pm 1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Azimuth averaging is the
726 same as for Fig. 8

- 727 • Figure 10: Observed vs. predicted $d\sigma^0$ for roll events as function of wind speed and relative
728 azimuth for WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH. Left panel are S-1 SAR WV results. Mean
729 values are calculated for each 20° relative azimuth angle. For clarity, estimates of the stan-
730 dard deviation (shaded) are only shown for one wind speed in each panel. The right panel
731 provides the C-SARMOD simulations under an assumed 8% wind speed change due to roll
732 impacts.

- 733 • Figure A1: NRCS residual of pre- and post-recalibration (top and bottom) as function of

734 wind speed for WV1 and WV2 in VV and HH. VV data are for S-1A in 2016-2017 and HH
735 data are for S-1B from 15 Mar to 01 Jul in 2017. Color denotes the normalized data density.
736 The red dashed line indicates the 0 dB baseline. Black dots are the mean residual within
737 $1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ bin and the error bars represent one standard deviation. The σ^0 reference comes
738 from the C-SARMOD model noted in the text.

739 **List of Table Captions**

- 740 • Table 1: Environmental variables and extracted roll modulation parameters for the six S-1A
741 WV1 (23°) and WV2 (36.5°) image cases in Fig 6. U_{10} and $\phi_{U_{10}}$ are the ERA5 10 meter
742 wind speed and direction in meteorological convention. Ri_B is the atmospheric stability
743 parameter estimated from the ERA5 variables. ϕ'_{WS} is the extracted roll orientation in the
744 same coordinate as $\phi_{U_{10}}$. σ_B^0 and σ_D^0 are the mean NRCS over roll-induced bright and dark
745 on SAR images. $d\sigma^0$, $d\sigma^0/\sigma^0$ and dU/U represent the roll-induced NRCS variation, relative
746 NRCS variation (image contrast) and surface wind perturbation.