



HAL
open science

Geolinguistic Continuities in the Celto-Atlantic Area and in Western Europe

Daniel Le Bris

► **To cite this version:**

Daniel Le Bris. Geolinguistic Continuities in the Celto-Atlantic Area and in Western Europe. *Philology*, 2017, 3 (1), pp.117-134. 10.3726/PHIL012017.5 . hal-02955581

HAL Id: hal-02955581

<https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-02955581>

Submitted on 2 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Philology

An International Journal
on the Evolution of Languages, Cultures and Texts



Peter Lang

Vol. 3 / 2017

Contents

Volume 3 / 2017

Special Session: Languages in European Prehistory

FRANCESCO BENOZZO & MARIO ALINEI

European Philologies: Why Their Future Lives in Their Prehistoric Past

MARCEL OTTE

Wendat Ethnophilology:

Indo-Europeans Arrived in Europe with Modern Man

JONATHAN SHERMAN MORRIS

Wheels, Languages and Bullshit (Or How Not To Do Linguistic Archaeology)

XAVERIO BALLESTER

Some Major Celtic Details on the Origins of Indo-European Languages

DANIEL LE BRIS

Geolinguistic Continuities in the Celto-Atlantic Area and in Western Europe

WINFRID SCUTT

Celtic in the Fleuve Manche

DAPHNE NASH BRIGGS

Multilingual Coin Inscriptions and Their Context in Pre-Roman East Anglia

Articles

EPHRAIM NISSAN

Gad as an Ancient Semitic Theonym, and Its Lexical Cognates.

With an Exploration of the Semantics of *gad*, and of Its Medieval Exegesis

ROSSANO DE LAURENTIIS

Santafor com'è sicura (*Purg.* VI 111): When Local Pride May Not Be Philological

SUSAN PETRILLI

Lifelong Listening to M. Bakhtin's Word in the Context of His "Circle".

A Philological Approach by A. Ponzio

Crossings

FRANCESCO BENOZZO

Per un'ecdotica del molteplice e del discordante:

il caso dei manoscritti trobadorigi

Notes

REMO BRACCHI

Torus, The Big Bang of Life

MAHMOUD SALEM ELSHEIKH

The World of Islam. Historical Prejudices to Overcome (or Debtor's Syndrome?)

Review article

EPHRAIM NISSAN

Jewish Vernaculars, Their Hebrew Loanwords or Code-Switching, and the Related Idiomatics

Review article

ONDŘEJ BLÁHA, ROBERT DITTMANN AND LENKA ULIČNÁ (EDS.)

Knaanic Language: Structure and Historical Background (Ephraim Nissan)

Philology

General Editor:

Francesco Benozzo (Università di Bologna, Italy)

Editorial Board:

- Rossend Arques (*Lexicography*, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain)
Xaverio Ballester (*Classical Philology*, Universitat de València, Spain)
Francesco Benozzo (*Ethnophilology*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Vladimir Biti (*Slavic Philology*, Universität Wien, Austria)
Daniela Boccassini (*French and Italian Philology*,
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada)
Salwa Castelo-Branco (*Ethnomusicology*, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal)
Mattia Cavagna (*Romance Philology*, Université de Louvain, Belgium)
Louis-Jacques Dorais (*Arctic Philology*, Emeritus, Université Laval, Québec)
Markus Eberl (*Pre-Columbian Philology*, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA)
Matthias Egeler (*Scandinavian Studies*, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany)
Keir Douglas Elam (*English Literature*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Andrea Fassò (*Romance Philology*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Inés Fernández-Ordóñez (*Spanish Philology and Linguistics*,
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain)
Fabio Foresti (*Sociolinguistics*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Roslyn Frank (*Ethnolinguistics*, Oulun Yliopisto, Finland)
Beatrice Gründler (*Arabic Philology*, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany)
Mihály Hoppál (*Ethnology*, Magyar Tudományos Akadémia, Budapest, Hungary)
Martin Kern (*East Asian Philology*, Princeton University, USA)
John Koch (*Celtic Philology*, Canolfan Uwchefrydiau Cymreig a Cheltaidd, Aberystwyth, UK)
Albert Lloret (*Digital Philology*, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, USA)
Anna Maranini (*Classical Philology*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Matteo Meschiari (*Cultural Anthropology*, Università di Palermo, Italy)
Alberto Montaner Frutos (*Spanish and Semitic Philology*, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain)
Gonzalo Navaza (*Toponymy*, Universidade de Vigo, Spain)
Ephraim Nissan (*Historical and Computational Linguistics*, London, UK)
Stephen Oppenheimer (*Genetics*, Oxford University, UK)
Marcel Otte (*Prehistoric Studies*, Université de Liège, Belgium)
Michael Papio (*Italian Philology*, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, USA)
José Manuel Pedrosa Bartolomé (*Oral Philology*, Universidad de Alcalá, Spain)
Andrea Piras (*Iranian Philology*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Stefano Rapisarda (*Romance Philology*, Università di Catania, Italy)
Uta Reuster-Jahn (*African Philology*, Universität Hamburg, Germany)
Adelaide Ricci (*Paleography*, Università di Pavia, Italy)
Dario Seglie (*Archaeology*, Politecnico di Torino, Italy)
Bora Cem Sevençan (*Archaeology*, Oulun Yliopisto, Finland)
Wayne Storey (*Textual Philology*, Indiana University, Bloomington, USA)
Marco Veglia (*Italian Literature*, Università di Bologna, Italy)
Francesco Vitucci (*Japanese Philology*, Università di Bologna, Italy)

Philology

An International Journal
on the Evolution of Languages, Cultures and Texts

General editor: Francesco Benozzo

Volume 3 / 2017



PETER LANG

Bern · Berlin · Bruxelles · New York · Oxford · Warszawa · Wien

Editorial Address:

Francesco Benozzo
Università di Bologna
Dipartimento di Lingue, Letterature e Culture Moderne
Via Cartoleria 5
I-40124 Bologna, Italy
francesco.benozzo@unibo.it

Subscriptions:

Peter Lang AG, International Academic Publishers
Wabernstrasse 40
CH-3007 Bern
Switzerland
Phone +41 31 306 17 17
Fax +41 31 306 17 27
E-Mail: info@peterlang.com
www.peterlang.com

1 volume per year

Subscription Rates:

CHF 59.- / €* 52.- / €** 54.- / € 49.- / £ 39.- / US-\$ 64.-

* incl. VAT (valid for Germany and EU customers without VAT Reg No)

** incl. VAT (valid for Austria)

Cover Photo by Dieter Mueller

ISSN 2297-2625

e-ISSN 2297-2633



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
CC-BY 4.0 license. To view a copy of this license,
visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© Peter Lang AG

International Academic Publishers, Bern 2018
Wabernstrasse 40, CH-3007 Bern, Switzerland
bern@peterlang.com, www.peterlang.com

Contents

Volume III / 2017

Special Session: Languages in European Prehistory

FRANCESCO BENOZZO & MARIO ALINEI
European Philologies: Why Their Future Lives in
Their Prehistoric Past..... 9

MARCEL OTTE
Indo-Europeans Arrived in Europe with Modern Man..... 43

JONATHAN SHERMAN MORRIS
Wheels, Languages and Bullshit (Or How Not To Do
Linguistic Archaeology)..... 57

XAVERIO BALLESTER
Some Major Celtic Details on the Origins of
Indo-European Languages 109

DANIEL LE BRIS
Geolinguistic Continuities in the Celto-Atlantic Area and
in Western Europe..... 117

WINFRID SCUTT
Celtic in the Fleuve Manche 135

DAPHNE NASH BRIGGS
Multilingual Coin Inscriptions and Their Context in
Pre-Roman East Anglia..... 149

Articles

EPHRAIM NISSAN
Gad as an Ancient Semitic Theonym, and Its Lexical Cognates.
With an Exploration of the Semantics of *gad*, and of
Its Medieval Exegesis..... 169

ROSSANO DE LAURENTIIS <i>Santafor com'è sicura (Purg. VI 111): When Local Pride May Not Be Philological</i>	343
SUSAN PETRILLI Lifelong Listening to M. Bakhtin's Word in the Context of His "Circle". A Philological Approach by A. Ponzio	361
<i>Crossings</i>	
FRANCESCO BENOZZO Per un'ecdótica del molteplice e del discordante: il caso dei manoscritti trobadorici.....	395
<i>Notes</i>	
REMO BRACCHI <i>Torus</i> , The Big Bang of Life	425
MAHMOUD SALEM ELSHEIKH The World of Islam. Historical Prejudices to Overcome (or Debtor's Syndrome?).....	429
<i>Review article</i>	
EPHRAIM NISSAN Jewish Vernaculars, Their Hebrew Loanwords or Code-Switching, and the Related Idiomatics.....	437
<i>Reviews</i>	
ONDŘEJ BLÁHA, ROBERT DITTMANN AND LENKA ULIČNÁ (eds.) <i>Knaanic Language: Structure and Historical Background</i> (Ephraim Nissan)	523

Geolinguistic Continuities in the Celto-Atlantic Area and in Western Europe

DANIEL LE BRIS

Centre de Recherche Bretonne et Celtique, Brest

Abstract Referring to the traditional “Kurgan theory”, the archaeological discoveries of the last thirty years show at an overwhelming majority that there is no evidence of an Indo-European invasion during the 4th Millennium BC at the European level¹. Reconsidering the origin of European languages in that new perspective, it appears that the Celts would not have migrated from a territory situated between Austria and southern Germany, as has been generally accepted since the nineteenth century. They could have settled since the end of Upper Palaeolithic and the Mesolithic as groups of fishermen and seafarers along the Atlantic shores from the Iberian Peninsula to the British Isles through ancient Gaul. On the basis of dialectal and geolinguistic data, the present approach tries to better understand this hypothesis. Studying geolinguistic variations, it considers the Celto-Atlantic area and the exchanges that may have been established in the long term with the neighbouring linguistic Germanic and Romance areas. New lexical borrowings from Celtic to Romance and Germanic (and vice versa) open research perspectives and raise real questions about the continuity of language and population areas in the Atlantic zone of Europe.

Keywords geolinguistics, Celtic languages, Celto-atlantic continuity.

This study derives from several reflections based on the research carried out by the geolinguists who have contributed to the two major European multilingual atlases: the *Atlas Linguarum Europae* (ALE) and the *Atlas Linguistique Roman* (AliR). The sources used for this study are data collected in the field from native dialect speakers mainly in the course of the 20th century. The primary materials of geolinguistics are thus based on rural or maritime speeches whose social use has been declining in many sectors of the European continent in parallel with the development of the market economy. Fortunately, these local dialects have been collected and studied from the beginning of the 20th century to our days. The recorded data have been compiled in the numerous linguistic atlases which are now open to a

1 I am very grateful to Jean Le Dù for his proofreading.

vast domain of interpretation thanks to the new electronic mapping tools taking into account the recent research carried out in the fields of archaeology, prehistory, ethnology, palæoanthropology and genetics.

Conservative speeches

Rural speeches are peripheral. Unlike what happens in urban areas, they seem immutable while the social and economic changes occurring within their societies are slow. In fact, the main observable change is a radical shift from the local dialect to the national official language (Le Dû, 2013). The conservatism of the dialects is explained by the huge social gap between the educated classes and the dialect speakers, who have often remained illiterate and isolated from urban centres. This view on linguistic change is just the opposite of the traditional one which, according to the Tree Model, places the origin of the dialects between the disappearance of the languages of Antiquity considered as fossils and the birth of modern languages in the Middle Ages.

Languages, and more specifically local speeches, are not living natural organisms in constant evolution. On the opposite, they are conservative and evolve very slowly under the pressure of geographical and ethnic contacts and socio-cultural transformations (Alinei, 1996).

Linguistic areas and boundaries within the framework of the ALE

The major concern of the ALE researchers may not be the limitation of data's publication distributed more or less predictably on a scale that is simply greater than the one of the national atlases. Within the framework of this continental atlas, the priority objective of geolinguistics is the search for profound affinities across borders and linguistic and cultural areas rather than that of divergences (Alinei 1994).

Some researches, notably those of Mario Alinei (1996–2000) and Marcel Otte (1995), have for thirty years seriously called into question the Indo-European invasion theory. They insisted on the incompatibility of the Indo-European chronology model with the new linguistic and archaeological discoveries. They also stressed the fact there was no archaeological evidence of the major Indo-European invasion which was supposed to have taken place around the fourth millennium BC. Jean-Paul Demoule (1999) has been sharing the same view since the end of the XXth century. He irrevocably stated again in his book *Mais où sont passés les Indo-Européens?* (2014, p. 593):

The Indo-European canonical, arborescent, centrifugal and invading Indo-European model is undoubtedly the poorest, the least interesting and the least convincing model that can be offered to account for the undeniable links that exist between languages and myths, if not genes in a portion of Eurasia. It comes up against many contradictions and does not allow for a satisfactory archaeological solution.²

Mario Alinei, whose research is based on geolinguistics, archaeology and anthropology, proposes the Palaeolithic Continuity Paradigm (PCP: see <www.continuitas.org>). Based on concordances between dialectal and archaeological areas, this model shows that the lexicon of the present-day European dialects retains part of prehistoric features, thus attesting the unbroken presence in Europe of both Indo-European and non-Indo-European languages and populations since the Upper Paleolithic. According to the PCP, the Celts would have settled the Atlantic coasts from the Iberian Peninsula to Armorica and Scotland since the Upper Palaeolithic and the Mesolithic (Alinei, 1996–2000; Alinei - Benozzo, 2006; 2009; 2012; Benozzo, 2010; Ballester, 2012).

The present study does not focus on the Celtic area, but tries to use this geolinguistic reality as a way of questioning the notion of language and population continuity in the Atlantic area of Europe (Le Bris, 2012). Instead of working solely from a philological viewpoint, this study tries to question the notion of cultural and linguistic area using dialectal materials collected on the ground from the lips of dialects speakers.

2 “Le modèle indo-européen canonique, arborescent, centrifuge et invasionniste est indubitablement le plus pauvre, le moins intéressant et le moins convaincant qui puisse être offert pour rendre compte des indéniables apparentements entre des langues et des mythes, sinon des gènes, d’une partie de l’Eurasie. Il se heurte à de très nombreuses contradictions et n’autorise aucune solution archéologique satisfaisante.”

The names for “wrasse” *Labrus bergylta*




Figure 1: map “wrasse” (Ichtyo 266, ALECM 95, GCSW, OED, GPC).

Figure 1 is a very clear demonstration of linguistic concordance in the Atlantic area between the Celtic, English and Romance names of the wrasse, *Labrus bergylta*. On both sides of the Channel, one can first notice the Celtic names: B. *gwrac'h*, W. *gwrach* and in the English dialect of Cornwall *wrah* coming from C. *gwragh* which is not used anymore. The Celtic names explained the etymology of their English and Gallo-Romance equivalents: E. *wrasse*, and the G.-Rom. forms *vrac*, *vra* collected in Normandy and in eastern Brittany.

The absence of initial [g-] in the English and Gallo-Romance names may probably be accounted for by a survival of the Celtic feminine term in its lenited form: when placed after an article the consonant group [gw-] becomes [w-]. This obviously explains the Romance variants *vra*, *vras*, *vrac* or E. *wrasse*. In the English dialect of Cornwall, Morton Nance collected *wrah* and *wraagh* in Mousehole and the Isles of Scilly, in Penberth, *wrath*. According to this author, the phonetic variation of the Cornish language

allows for forms such as *rath* or *raa* where initial [gwr-] is literally reduced to [r-]. The final consonant may also be dropped (Morton Nance, 1963).

Before being applied to a species of fish, *W. gwrach* and *B. gwrac'h* colloquially mean ‘hag’ or ‘witch’. In their comments on the names of the witch in Europe, Caprini and Alinei (2007) note that in Celtic the colloquial term only refers to the semantic field of ‘hag’ as well as to “witch / sorceress’ in a derogatory sense.

In southern Europe, particularly in Italy and in the Iberian peninsula, Galic. *meiga* or It. *strega* still clearly refer to the magic and the religious fields. One also finds a reference to the “mother goddess” in the Slavic or Finnish dialects. Referring to Propp, Caprini and Alinei explain the restriction of the Celtic semantic field by a consequence of the witchcraft trials carried out in an intense and repeated manner in these regions of Western Europe from the 14th to the 17th centuries. Caprini and Alinei also remind that according to the *Malleus Maleficarum* (Institor – Sprenger, 1487) a ‘witch’ is a woman who

1. has a pact with the devil and fornicates with him (especially during the Sabbath).
2. is heretical
3. Practises harmful witchcraft
4. flies through the air often transformed into animals.

It is not difficult to understand that the magical aspect of the witch had been eliminated and disappeared in that context.

However, the magico-religious trait seems to have survived in the Celtic names of the wrasse. In fact, the sea-side Celtic speakers used to believe that fish to have supernatural abilities. Several Celtic tales relate the prodigious actions of the wrasse which appears to be endowed with a magical power that allows it to reign over all the other marine animals of the rocky area where it lives. (Sébillot 1882). Indeed, the wrasse is sometimes also called *rock-fish* on the British coast. And in Scottish Gaelic, *creagag* “wrasse” means literally “rocky” from G. *creag* “rock” (Ó Baoill, 1994).

Called “old-wife” in Scotland (HSD) and I. *máthair na mballach* “mother of the wrasse / sea-wife” (Dinneen, 1904), the names of the wrasse reveal a motivational process indicating a totemic system of beliefs already noticed by Francesco Benozzo in the Celtic names of the whale (2012). According to Propp (1983), the oldest forms of the “witch” type referred in the neutral sense to an omnipotent being, endowed with the traits of a female relative derived from that of the mother-goddess. The

significant presence of the “old woman” in the various European dialects and oral traditions and its innumerable connections with natural phenomena of all kinds seem to confirm this thesis.

That group of fish names present many similarities with Sedna, a goddess sea-wife in the Inuit mythology (Laugrand & Oosten, 2010). The Inuit’s way of life used to be based on fishing and hunting up to the middle of the 20th century. This notion of supernatural power providing game and fish is based on an animist conception of the world that endows supernatural entities with a form of subjectivity. In particular, one can agree with the minds of game species to be allowed to hunt. For the Inuit, Sedna does not remain for a long period in a natural cave but, according to the Inuktitut language, the word Sedna would have been derived from the term *sanna* « thereunder » offering another relevant semantic association with the Celtic names of the wrasse.

The names for “nose”

In the Gaelic linguistic atlas of Scotland (figure 2), we find *sròn* and its *stròn* and *sdròn* variants. The Gaelic area of *stròn* corresponds evidently to the southern Irish area of *srón*, to the Welsh area of *trwyn* “nose”/ *ffroen* “nostril” and the Breton *fron* “nostril” area, with an *sr-* / *fr-* alternation (sibilant-liquid / spirant-liquid) which is common in the concordances between Gaelic and Gallo-Brittonic.

These words correspond to the Gallo-romance dialect form *frogno* “snout” probably coming from a Gaulish **frognal**/*srogna*. Fr. *se renfrogner* “to frown, to scowl”, *mine renfrognée* “frowning face” are of course derivatives from that Celtic lexical group. We can recall as well the E. *to frown*. Let us also mention the Occitan and Italo-Roman *trugno* used to designate an unhappy, defeated face: occ. *fa la trougna* “to pout, to look sulky”. Obviously cognate of Fr. *trogne*: a familiar term for “mug, grotesque or also pleasant face” (Delamarre, 2003; FEW XIII-2, 332).




Figure 2: map “nose” (SGDS 800, LASID 428, DSL, WDS-LGW, DBC, ALF 1859, ALLo 657, ALG 596, FEW XIII-2 332).

In Scots dialects and in Scottish toponymy, *strone* refers also to “hill, headland, cape”. In fact, the terms *stron*, *sron*, *ffroen*, *fron*, *frogne*, *trwyn*, *trogne* would be nothing else than the projected diversification of the referent “nose, nostril, muzzle/snout.”

The names for “alder”, *Alnus glutinosa*

On figure 3, the Gaelic area *feàrna* corresponds to the Irish *fearn* and to their Welsh and Breton equivalent *gwern*. In gallo-romance (oïl and oc areas) the term *verñhe*, descended from the Gaulish word *uerna* are still in use in a wide southern area of France. The Celtic lexical continuity is maintained in north-western Italy and Catalonia by the respective It. *verna* and Cat. *vern*. The similarity to the previous *sròn / fron* map is striking, including in this case a higher density of Celtic variants in southern Gaul, Catalonia and Italy. Let us recall that the Celtic *gwern*, *vern* also refers to a marsh, the mast of a boat, as well as to a rudder. It used to be also the case in Cornish.




Figure 3: map “alder” (eDIL, AFB, WDS-GPC, DBC, ALF 74, AIS 583, ALDC 1202).

It would also be necessary to reconcile these data with the French place-names derivating from Gaul. *uerna* which gave the numerous Verneuil, Verneil, Vernou (**uerno-ialon*) ‘alder clearing’, Vernais, Vernet, Verneix.

The names for “sloe”, *Prunus spinose*



Figure 4: map “sloe” (eDil, AFB, LGW84, ALE I.63, DBC, Thésoc, EHHA 537, ALANR III.37I, ALCL II.403, ALC I.337, ALDC 1207).

The configuration of figure 4 calls back the previous one. *B. irin* is a cognate of *W. eirin* and *G. àirne*. The distribution of the cognate Romance variants extends here again over much of the gallo-romance area, Aragon and Catalonia, this time including the Basque-speaking zone.

The names for “sedge”

On figure 5, according to the Celtic alternation s-/h- (*I. seileach* / *B. haleg* ‘willow’, *I. salann* / *B. holenn* ‘salt’, *I. súil* ‘eye’ / *B. heol* ‘sun’), the distribution of the names of the sedge offers a lexical map that seems to testify to a very old implantation. The use of this plant was already attested in braiding techniques during the Upper Palaeolithic (Hurcombe, 2014). The extension of the variants is roughly identical to that of the maps already studied. In Italy the *esca* form is still used along the Po and the Adige rivers. The *sisca* area extends to the south of Spain.



Figure 5: map “sedge” (eDil, AFB, GPC-WDS, DBC, Thesoc, FEW XI 551, epA 2013).

The names for “sieve/ riddle”



Figure 6: map “sieve, riddle” (eDIL, AFB, WDS-GPC, DBC, FEW II 1335–1336).

The Celtic cognates of “sieve” are I. and G. *criathar*, W. *crwydr* and B. *krouer*. The Gal.-Rom *crinse*, *criente* do not properly mean ‘sieve’, but ‘sieve residue’ resulting from the action of sieving. In ancient Gaul,

crinse, *criente* mostly extend towards the northern Gallo-Romance area, encompassing Normandy devoid of occurrences of that type.

The words for “hiding place / to hide”




Figure 7: map “hiding-place / to hide” (eDIL, AFB, GPC, DBC, ALF 191, FEW VI-3 193–197).

The Romance forms Oïl. *muchi*, *meusser*, It. *mucciá* mean ‘to hide’ (FEW VI-3,197) (ALF 191). All these Romance verbs are of Celtic origin (Thurneysen, 1884). They are related to the variants I. *múch*, W. *mwg*, B. *moug* “smoke, suffocation, trouble, cover, hiding-place” and the corresponding verbs I. *múch*, W. *mwgwdu*, B. *mougañ* ‘to suffocate, to cover, to hide’. On the map ALF 191 “hide”, the largely predominant form along the Channel is Oïl *muchi*. In Northern Cotentin, there is also *muchyi*. The Oïl *muchi* seems to correspond to I. *múch* [mu:x]; the *múchadh* verbal name pronounced [mu:xə] in Connemara and Munster becomes [mu:xu], [my:yu] and sometimes [my:hu] in Ulster where the interconsonantic vowel of the radical tends to become anterior from [u] to [y]. The intervocalic [x] becomes [ɣ] and sometimes [h]. In a Gallo-Romance context the oil forms of *muchi* develop variants such as *mussi*, *meusser*.

Conclusion

This analysis shows some figures that demonstrate remarkable geolinguistic concordances in the Celto-atlantic area. In order to move beyond the dead-end in which classical philology and Indo-European theory has brought us, it is now important to study a linguistic area by considering the exchanges it may have had on the long term with its neighbouring linguistic territories. Taking into account the last results reached in the fields of archæology, prehistory and ethnology, this kind of research presents all the opportunities to be innovative and to help us to better understand the continuity of languages and populations in the Atlantic zone.

References

AFB - Bauer M. & Robertson W. *Am Faclair Beag - Dictionary of the Scottish Gaelic Language*. <<http://www.faclair.com>>.

- AIS - Jaberg K. & Jud J. (1928–1940). *Sprach- und Sachatlas Italiens und der Sudschweiz*. Zofingen: Ringier.
- ALANR - Alvar M. (1979–1983). *Atlas Linguistico de Aragón, Navarra y Rioja*. Madrid: Diputación Provincial de Zaragoza /CSIC.
- ALC - Alvar M. (1995). *Atlas Linguistico de Cantabria*. Madrid: Arcos Libros.
- ALCL - Alvar M. (1999). *Atlas Linguistico de Castilla y León*. Burgos: Junta de Castilla y León Consejería de educación y cultura.
- ALDC - Veny J. & Pons i Griera L. (2001-). *Atlas Lingüístic del Domini Català*. Barcelona: Institut d'Estudis Catalans.
- ALE - (1983–1990). *Atlas Linguarum Europae* (vol. 1–4) Assen- Maas-tricht: Van Gorcum; (1997–2008). (vol. 5–7) Rome: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato.
- ALECM - Brasseur P. (2016). *Atlas Linguistique et Ethnographique des Côtes de la Manche*. <<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01396668>>.
- ALF - Gilliéron J. & Edmont E. (1902–1910). *Atlas Linguistique de la France*. Paris: Champion.
- ALG - Séguy J. (1954–1973). *Atlas Linguistique de Gascogne*. Paris: CNRS.
- Alinei M. (1994). Atlas Linguarum Europae, risultati, struttura, storia, prospettive. In P. García Mouton (Ed.). *Geolingüística. Trabajos europeos*. Madrid: CSIC, p. 1–39.
- Alinei M. (1996–2000). *Origini delle lingue d'Europa*. Bologna: Il Mulino.
- Alinei M. (2004). The Celtic Origin of Lat. *rota* and its Implications for the Prehistory of Europe. *Studi celtici* 3, 13–29.
- Alinei M. - Benozzo F. (2006). L'area galiziana nella preistoria celtica d'Europa. *Studi celtici* 4, 13–62.
- Alinei M. - Benozzo F. (2009). Megalithism as a Manifestation of an Atlantic Celtic Primacy in Meso-Neolithic Europe. *Studi celtici* 7, 13–72.
- Alinei M. - Benozzo F. (2012). Les Celtes le long des côtes atlantiques: une présence ininterrompue depuis le Paléolithique. In D. Le Bris (Ed). *Aires Linguistiques / Aires Culturelles. Études de concordances en Europe occidentale: zones Manche et Atlantique* (pp. 55–76). Brest: CRBC-UBO.
- ALiR - Contini M. (1986-). *Atlas Linguistique Roman*. Roma: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato.
- ALLo - Ravier X. (1978–1993). *Atlas Linguistique du Languedoc Occidental*. Paris: CNRS.

- Ballester X. (2012). Les langues celtiques: origines centre-européennes ou... atlantiques?, In D. LeBris (Ed.). *Aires Linguistiques / Aires Culturelles. Études de concordances en Europe occidentale: zones Manche et Atlantique* (pp. 93–108). Brest: CRBC-UBO.
- Benozzo F. (2010). Words as Archaeological Finds. A Further Example of the Ethno-Philological Contribution to the Study of European Megalithism. *The European Archaeologist* 33, 7–10.
- Caprini R. - Alinei M. (2007). Sorcière. *Atlas Linguarum Europae*, I, 7, carte de motivations et commentaire. Rome: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato, p. 169–225.
- DBC - Favereau F. (1993). *Dictionnaire du breton contemporain*. Morlaix: Skol Vreizh.
- Delamarre X. (2003). *Dictionnaire de la langue gauloise*. Paris: Éditions Errance.
- Demoule J.-P. (1999). Destin et usages des Indo-Européens. *Mauvais temps* 5, Éditions Syllepse.
- Demoule J.-P. (2014). *Mais où sont passés les Indo-Européens ?* Paris: Seuil.
- Dinneen P. (1927). *Foclóir Gaedhilge agus Béarla*. Dublin: Irish Texts Society.
- DSL - *Dictionary of the Scots Language*. <www.dsl.ac.uk>
- eDIL - Toner G. *Electronic Dictionary of the Irish Language*. <<http://www.dil.ie>>
- epA - Le Bris D. (2013). *Enquêtes de terrain en Adige*. Unpublished.
- EHHA - Videgain Ch. & Aurrekoetxea, G. (2010–2015). *Euskal Herriko Atlas Linguistikoa*. Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia.
- FEW - Wartburg W. Von (1902–2002). *Französisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Bonn/Berlin/Basel: Klopp/Teubner/Zbinden.
- GCSW - Morton Nance R. (1963). *A Glossary of Cornish Sea-Words*. Marazion: Federation of Old Cornwall Societies.
- GPC - *Geiriadur Prifysgol Cymru. Dictionary of the Welsh Language*. <www.geiriadur.ac.uk>
- HSD - The Highland Society of Scotland (1828). *Dictionary of the Gaelic language*. Edinburgh.
- Hurcombe L. (2014). *Perishable Material Culture in Prehistory: Investigating the Missing Majority*. London: Routledge.
- Ichtyo - Le Dû J. & Le Berre I. (2008). *Ichtyonymie bretonne, Atlas linguistique de la faune marine de Bretagne*. Brest: CRBC.

- Institor H. & Sprenger J. (1487). *Malleus maleficarum*. Strasbourg.
- LASID - Wagner H. (1958–1969). *Linguistic Atlas and Survey of Irish Dialects*. Dublin: DIAS.
- Laugrand F. & Oosten J. (2010). *Inuit Shamanism and Christianity. Transitions and transformations in the Twentieth Century*. Montreal: McGill Queen's University Press.
- Le Bris D. (2012b). Continuité-discontinuité de peuplement et de langues en zone atlantique. In D. Le Bris (Ed.) *Aires Linguistiques / Aires Culturelles. Études de concordances en Europe occidentale: zones Manche et Atlantique* (pp. 9–16). Brest: CRBC-UBO, p. 9–16.
- Le Dû J. (2013). Après la collecte, l'interprétation. Que faire des atlas linguistiques? In F. Manzano (Ed.). *Mémoires du terrain*. Lyon: CEL. p. 7–26.
- LGW - Thomas A. (1973). *Linguistic Geography of Wales*. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
- OED - *Oxford English Dictionary*. <www.oed.com>
- Otte M. (1995). Diffusion des langues modernes en Eurasie préhistorique. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Fascicule a, Sciences de la Terre et des planètes 321/12*, 1219–1226.
- Propp V. (1983). *Les racines historiques du conte merveilleux*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Sébillot P. (1882). *Les Traditions et superstitions de la Haute-Bretagne* Paris: Maisonneuve.
- SGDS – Ó Dochartaigh C. (1994–1997). *Survey of the Gaelic Dialects of Scotland*. Dublin: DIAS.
- THESOC - Dalbera, J-Ph. *et al.*, (1992-). *Thesaurus Occitan*. Nice: Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, UMR 7320 BCL. <<http://thesaurus.unice.fr>>.
- Thurneysen R. (1884). *Die Keltischen Etymologieen im Etymologischen Wörterbuch der Romanischen Sprachen von F. Diez*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- <www.continuitas.org> = Official website of the Paleolithic Continuity Paradigm International Workgroup.