

Micronekton distribution as influenced by mesoscale eddies, Madagascar shelf and shallow seamounts in the south-western Indian Ocean: an acoustic approach

Pavanee Annasawmy, Jean-François Ternon, Anne Lebourges-Dhaussy, Gildas Roudaut, Pascal Cotel, Steven Herbette, Frédéric Ménard, Francis Marsac

▶ To cite this version:

Pavanee Annasawmy, Jean-François Ternon, Anne Lebourges-Dhaussy, Gildas Roudaut, Pascal Cotel, et al.. Micronekton distribution as influenced by mesoscale eddies, Madagascar shelf and shallow seamounts in the south-western Indian Ocean: an acoustic approach. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 2020, 176, pp.104812. 10.1016/j.dsr2.2020.104812 . hal-02938473

HAL Id: hal-02938473 https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-02938473

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0967064519300190 Manuscript_c823d401a2ac6f60dbd4a36e321ec842

Micronekton distribution as influenced by mesoscale eddies, Madagascar shelf and shallow seamounts in the south-western Indian Ocean: an acoustic approach

Pavanee Annasawmy^{1, 2*}, Jean-François Ternon¹, Anne Lebourges-Dhaussy³, Gildas Roudaut³, Pascal Cotel¹, Steven Herbette⁴, Frédéric Ménard⁵, Francis Marsac^{1, 2}

¹ MARBEC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France

² Department of Biological Sciences and Marine Research Institute/ICEMASA, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

³ LEMAR, IRD, UBO, CNRS, Ifremer, Plouzané, France

⁴ Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale (LOPS), IUEM, Univ. Brest, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Brest, France

⁵ Aix Marseille Univ., Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO, Marseille, France

* Corresponding author: angelee-pavanee.annasawmy@ird.fr

1 Abstract

2 An investigation of the vertical and horizontal distributions of micronekton, as influenced by mesoscale eddies, the Madagascar shelf and shallow seamounts, was undertaken using 3 4 acoustic data collected during two research cruises at an unnamed pinnacle (summit depth 5 ~240 m) thereafter named "MAD-Ridge", and at La Pérouse seamount (~60 m) in the south-6 western Indian Ocean. MAD-Ridge is located to the south of Madagascar, in an "eddy 7 corridor", known both for its high mesoscale activity and high primary productivity. In 8 contrast, La Pérouse is located on the outskirts of the Indian South Subtropical Gyre (ISSG) 9 province, characterised by low mesoscale activity and low primary productivity. During the 10 MAD-Ridge cruise, a dipole was located in the vicinity of the seamount, with the anticyclone being almost stationary on the pinnacle. Total micronekton acoustic densities were greater at 11 12 MAD-Ridge than at La Pérouse. Micronekton acoustic densities of the total water column were lower within the anticyclone than within the cyclone during MAD-Ridge. Micronekton 13 followed the usual diel vertical migration (DVM) pattern, except within the cyclone during 14 MAD-Ridge where greater acoustic densities were recorded in the daytime surface layer. The 15 backscatter intensities were stronger at the 38 kHz than at the 70 and 120 kHz frequencies in 16 17 the daytime surface layer at MAD-Ridge cyclonic stations. These backscatter intensities 18 likely correspond to gas-filled swimbladders of epi- and mesopelagic fish actively swimming 19 and feeding within the cyclone or gelatinous organisms with gas inclusions. Our findings 20 evidenced that the distributions of micronekton and DVM patterns are complex and are influenced significantly by physical processes within mesoscale eddies. The mesoscale 21 22 eddies' effects were dominant over any potential seamount effects at the highly dynamic 23 environment prevailing at MAD-Ridge during the cruise. No significant increase in total 24 micronekton acoustic densities was observed over either seamount, but dense aggregations of biological scatterers were observed on their summits during both day and night. 25

- Keywords: micronekton, diel vertical migration, mesoscale eddies, Madagascar shelf, seamount, south-western Indian Ocean

28 **1. Introduction**

Features such as mesoscale cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies, upwelling events, tidal fronts, 29 shelves, seamounts and river runoff play a significant role in regional ecosystems (Bakun, 30 2006; Mann and Lazier, 2006; Benitez-Nelson and McGillicuddy, 2008). Mesoscale cyclonic 31 and anticyclonic eddies are ubiquitous in the world's oceans (Chelton et al., 2011). They have 32 time-scales of approximately 10-30 days and horizontal scales between 10 and 100 km 33 (Mann and Lazier, 2006; Chelton et al., 2011). In oligotrophic systems, eddies are important 34 features because they provide mechanisms whereby the physical energy of the ocean is 35 converted to trophic energy to support biological processes (Bakun, 2006; Godø et al., 2012). 36 Cyclonic eddies, through upwelling of nutrients in their centres from deeper layers to the 37 euphotic zone, are usually known to enhance local productivity (Owen, 1980, 1981; 38 McGillicuddy and Robinson, 1997; McGillicuddy et al., 1998; Klein and Lapeyre, 2009; 39 Huggett, 2014; Singh et al., 2015). Anticyclonic eddies may promote the development of 40 frontal structures (Bakun, 2006). In anticyclones, highly productive waters may be entrained 41 42 laterally from nearby regions to the eddy periphery or upwelling of nutrients may occur along the eddy boundary (McGillicuddy, 2016). At the frontier between eddies, smaller-scale or 43 submesoscale features (elongated filaments with a 10-km width) have been reported to 44 enhance nutrient supply and primary productivity in oligotrophic conditions (Lévy et al., 45 2001, 2018; Klein and Lapeyre, 2009). Biological responses to eddies, however, are complex 46 and depend on a range of factors including seasonal modulation of the mixed layer depth 47 (Dufois et al., 2014), timing, magnitude and duration of nutrient input and also on eddy 48 properties such as the formation, intensity, age and eddy-induced Ekman pumping (Benitez-49 50 Nelson and McGillicuddy, 2008).

51 Continental shelves and seamounts are also features that may lead to enhanced productivity
52 when certain conditions are met. Upwelling regions south of Madagascar have been observed

to be biological hotspots with increased productivity (Raj et al., 2010; Ramanantsoa et al., 53 2018) and increased acoustic biomass estimates of pelagic fish and whale sightings (Pripp et 54 al., 2014). Phytoplankton types may also differ between continental shelves and ocean basins, 55 56 with shelf areas exhibiting larger phytoplankton cells because of the processes leading to high nutrient concentrations in the euphotic zone and cells rapidly take up nutrients (Nishino et al., 57 58 2011). Seamounts are ubiquitous features of the world's oceans and have been reported to influence the prevailing ocean currents (Royer, 1978; White et al., 2007), creating various 59 local dynamic responses such as formation of a Taylor column, isopycnal doming (Mohn and 60 Beckmann, 2002), enclosed circulation cell (White et al., 2007), upwelling, vertical mixing of 61 62 nutrient-rich waters and enhanced productivity (Boehlert and Genin, 1987; Genin, 2004). In a nutrient-limited environment like the south-western Indian Ocean, processes injecting 63 nutrients into the euphotic zone (such as mesoscale features, seamounts, coastal upwelling 64 events and river runoff) are likely to modulate the chlorophyll a signature by increasing 65 phytoplankton growth, attracting a range of secondary and tertiary consumers such as 66 67 zooplankton and micronekton.

68 Mesopelagic micronekton are actively swimming organisms that typically range in size from 2 to 20 cm. They include diverse taxonomic groups (De Forest and Drazen 2009) such as 69 70 crustaceans (adult euphausiids, pelagic decapods and mysids), cephalopods (small species and juvenile stages of large oceanic species) and fish (mainly mesopelagic species and 71 juveniles of other fish) (Brodeur et al., 2005; Brodeur and Yamamura 2005; Ménard et al., 72 2014). Gelatinous organisms are under-represented components of the mesopelagic 73 community (Lehodey et al., 2010; Kloser et al., 2016). Micronekton are important in the 74 energy transfer to higher trophic levels because they are preved upon by various top marine 75 predators (Guinet et al., 1996; Bertrand et al., 2002; Potier et al., 2007; Cherel et al., 2010; 76 Danckwerts et al., 2014; Jaquemet et al., 2014). They also transport energy to deeper regions 77 78 of the ocean via respiration, excretion and natural mortality (Hidaka et al., 2001; Catul et al.,

2011; Bianchi et al., 2013). This energy transport is made possible by the extensive diel 79 vertical migration (DVM) patterns of some micronekton species, with the organisms 80 81 migrating to the upper 200 m of the water column at dusk and below 400 m at dawn 82 (Lebourges-Dhaussy et al., 2000; Béhagle et al., 2014; Annasawmy et al., 2018). Diel vertical migration is believed to result from a compromise between the need to feed and to avoid 83 84 predation (Heywood, 1996), with light being the main controlling factor in initiating ascent 85 and descent (Heywood, 1996; Andersen et al., 1998; Brierley, 2014). The distribution of micronekton communities across ocean basins is not uniform (Judkins and Haedrich, 2018). 86 Some studies have reported higher biomasses of micronekton scattering layers at seamount 87 flanks and summits relative to the surrounding ocean, e.g. the Emperor (265m, Boehlert, 88 1988) and Cross seamounts in the Pacific (330 m, Johnston et al., 2008); Condor (182-214 m) 89 and Gigante (161 m) seamounts in the Azores (Cascão et al., 2017). 90

At the ocean-basin scale, the western side of the oligotrophic Indian South Subtropical Gyre 91 (ISSG) biogeochemical province (Longhurst, 2007) holds reduced micronekton abundances 92 93 and acoustic densities relative to the dynamic and more productive East African Coastal 94 (EAFR) province (Annasawmy et al., 2018). Within the ISSG and EAFR provinces, features such as eddies, coastal upwelling at the Madagascar shelf and seamounts may further impact 95 the local productivity, resulting in significant variability in micronekton distributions via 96 bottom-up processes. This paper investigates the influence of mesoscale eddies, the South 97 Madagascar shelf and two shallow seamounts, La Pérouse and an unnamed pinnacle on the 98 Madagascar Ridge, hereafter called "MAD-Ridge", in shaping micronekton vertical and 99 horizontal distributions by combining data from ship-based platforms (acoustics, current 100 101 profiler and CTD) and satellite altimetry.

102

103 2. Material and Methods

104 2.1 Cruises

Two research surveys were carried out on board the RV Antea at La Pérouse (19°43'S and 105 54°10'E) and MAD-Ridge seamounts (27°29'S and 46°16'E). La Pérouse (summit depth ~60 106 m) is located along the north-western boundary of the ISSG province and MAD-Ridge 107 (summit depth ~240 m) is located on the southern boundary of the EAFR (Fig. 1a). The La 108 Pérouse cruise (DOI: 10.17600/16004500) investigated the area within 10-18 km around the 109 seamount from the 15 to 30 September 2016 (Fig. 1b). The MAD-Ridge Leg 1 cruise (DOI: 110 10.17600/16004800) was divided into a West-East transect (248 km long from hydrographic 111 station 1 to 15) and a South-North transect (292 km long from hydrographic station 16 to 31) 112 and took place from the 8 to 24 November 2016 (Fig. 2). 113

114 2.2 Satellite data

The mesoscale eddy field during both the La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge cruises were described 115 using daily delayed-time Absolute Dynamic Topography (ADT) with 1/4° (~25 km) spatial 116 resolution. Delayed-time ADT was produced and distributed by the Copernicus Marine 117 Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) project and available 118 at http://marine.copernicus.eu/, from which absolute geostrophic currents have been calculated 119 and used to derive dynamic parameters (see next section). Delayed-time Mean Sea Level 120 Anomalies (MSLA) data, with 1/4° (~25 km) spatial resolution in the vicinity of MAD-Ridge 121 seamount were also downloaded from http://marine.copernicus.eu/, and used for direct eddy 122 field representation. 123

124 2.3 Field sampling

125 2.3.1 *In situ* bathymetry

The 12 kHz frequency of a Simrad EA500 echosounder was used to acquire a detailed bathymetry of the seamounts. The bathymetry data were interpolated on a regular grid using the Golden Surfer © software (version 10.3.705).

129 2.3.2 Hydrographic stations

A Sea-Bird 911+ CTD rosette system equipped with a Wetlabs ECO FL fluorometer was 130 used to profile temperature, fluorescence and dissolved oxygen from the surface to a depth of 131 ~1000 m during the La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge cruises. Discrete samples of chlorophyll a 132 measured by high pressure liquid chromatography was used to calibrate the fluorescence 133 134 sensor of the CTD during both cruises and to determine the depth range where the maximum chlorophyll a values (Fmax) were found. The integrated chlorophyll a concentrations 135 between 2 and 200 m (mg m⁻³) was calculated by taking the sum of chlorophyll a values in 136 that depth range. The average depths of the thermocline were assessed from the CTD profiles 137 using the 20°C isotherm depth as a proxy. 138

139 Two 300 kHz RDI (upward and downward-looking) L-ADCP current profilers attached to the CTD frame were used to investigate the vertical structure of the current field during both 140 cruises. The S-ADCP data were collected with a 75 kHz RDI Ocean Surveyor II. As L-ADCP 141 data were missing at MAD-Ridge stations 2 and 3, S-ADCP data were used instead. The L-142 ADCP data were collected to a depth of 1000 m whereas the S-ADCP data were collected to 143 a depth of 600 m. Both datasets showed strong correlations which allowed the use of the L-144 with the S-ADCP (Vianello et al., 2020). For each hydrographic station, the average current 145 speed (in cm s⁻¹) over the depth range 104-304 m, was calculated from the west-east zonal 146 (u) and south-north meridional (v) velocity components measured by the S-ADCP or L-147 ADCP. 148

A classification of MAD-Ridge hydrographic stations (numbered 1-31, Fig. 2) was performed
based on their location relative to the mesoscale eddies (cyclonic, anticyclonic, interface

between the dipole), to the seamount (summit or flank, both within the anticyclonic eddy) and 151 to the Madagascar shelf. This classification was based on the hydrology (temperature-salinity 152 profiles) of each station and a standard dynamical parameter, the Okubo-Weiss parameter, W153 (Okubo, 1970; Weiss, 1991; Isern-Fontanet et al., 2004). The latter is calculated from 154 equation 1 below, where S_n is the normal strain, S_s the shear strain, ω the relative vorticity, 155 156 and u and v (Eq. 2 below) are the surface geostrophic velocity zonal and meridional components derived from the absolute dynamic topography (altimetry). The Okubo-Weiss 157 parameter allows the separation of the flow into a vorticity-dominated region ($W < -W_0$) and 158 a strain-dominated region ($W > -W_0$), with $W_0 = 0.2\sigma_W$, (σ_W being the standard deviation of 159 Wover the whole domain) (Isern-Fontanet et al., 2004). It has been used widely in the south-160 western Indian Ocean by Halo et al. (2014) to distinguish the core of eddies ($W > -W_0$) from 161 the periphery of eddies $(W \le -W_0)$ and is given by: 162

163
$$W = S_n^2 + S_s^2 - \omega^2$$
 Eq. 1,

164 where:

165
$$Sn = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, S_S = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \omega = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$
 Eq. 2

However, *W* values have to be used cautiously against *in situ* data because the spatial resolution of the altimetry is low (~25 km) relative to each station. Therefore, for each station, the altimetry data were complemented by the available *in situ* data such as sea surface temperature and salinity obtained from a ship-mounted thermosalinograph and dissolved oxygen obtained from the CTD. This combined set of information allowed us to segregate the stations into different categories (see example for stations 3, 5 and 13, Supplementary Material, Fig. 1 and Supplementary Material, Table 1).

173 2.3.3 Zooplankton sampling

Daytime zooplankton samples were collected with a 200-µm-mesh oblique Bongo net towed 174 at a speed of 1-2 knots to a maximum depth of 200 m during the La Pérouse cruise (0.28 m²) 175 mouth area). A 200-µm-mesh oblique Multinet was towed to a maximum of 200 m during the 176 MAD-Ridge cruise (0.25 m² mouth area) (Noyon et al., 2020). Samples from both cruises 177 were emptied into a 200 µm sieve, poured into sampling jars with filtered seawater and stored 178 179 in 4% buffered formaldehyde at room temperature on board before being analysed using a Hydroptic Zooscan following the protocols in Gorsky et al. (2010). Detailed zooplankton 180 sampling and analyses were investigated in Noyon et al. (2020). 181

182 2.3.4 Acoustic sampling

A Simrad EK60 echosounder operating at four frequencies was used during both the La 183 Pérouse and Leg 1 of the MAD-Ridge cruises: 38 kHz at 1000 W transmitted power, 70 kHz 184 (acquired range of 500 m) at 750 W, 120 kHz (250 m) at 200 W and 200 kHz (150 m) at 90 185 W. The water column was correctly sampled to a depth of 735 m during data acquisition for 186 the 38 kHz frequency of the La Pérouse cruise, with data being of poor quality below that 187 depth. For comparison with the La Pérouse cruise, echo-integrated acoustic data for the 38 188 kHz frequency of the MAD-Ridge cruise has also been selected down to 735 m in this study. 189 The pulse duration was set at 0.512 ms. The transducers were calibrated prior to both cruises 190 following the procedures recommended in Foote et al. (1987). MAD-Ridge acoustic data 191 were collected along the West-East and South-North transects (Fig. 2) at a vessel speed of 8-9 192 knots. Additional transects were also conducted during the day and night in close proximity 193 to the MAD-Ridge summit and flanks at vessel speeds of 8-9 knots (Supplementary Material, 194 195 Fig. 2).

The Matecho software (an open source IRD tool computed with MATLAB 7.11.0.184,
Release 2010b- and based on the IFREMER's Movies3D software; Trenkel et al., 2009;
Perrot et al., 2018) was used to process and visualize acoustic data from both cruises.

Background, transient and impulsive noises along with attenuated signals (Perrot et al., 2018) 199 were removed using the algorithms designed in De Robertis and Higginbottom (2007) and 200 Ryan et al. (2015). An offset of 10 m below the sea surface was applied to account for the 201 202 acoustic detection of the surface turbulence. During both cruises, echo-integration of the acoustic data was performed on 1-m layers at an elementary sampling distance unit of 0.1 203 nmi (nautical mile) and at a threshold of -80 dB to exclude scatterers (entities contributing to 204 the backscattered energy) not representative of the micronekton community (Béhagle et al., 205 2017). The micronekton acoustic density was determined by the nautical area scattering 206 coefficient NASC (s_A, m² nmi⁻²), related to the backscattered energy (MacLennan et al., 207 208 2002). NASC can be used as a proxy of the relative biomass of micronekton provided assumptions that the composition of scattering layers and the resulting scattering properties of 209 micronekton are relatively homogeneous (Béhagle et al., 2014). The volume backscattering 210 strength (S_v, dB re 1 m⁻¹; MacLennan et al., 2002) was also calculated for each frequency (38 211 kHz, 70 kHz and 120 kHz) to obtain the relative acoustic density of scatterers per unit 212 volume and was used to generate Red Green Blue (RGB) composite images (see next 213 section). The water column at the 38 kHz frequency was separated into the following depth 214 categories, based on epipelagic and mesopelagic layers: surface (10-200 m), intermediate 215 (200-400 m), deep (400-735 m) and total water column (10-735 m). Diurnal and nocturnal 216 periods were assessed using Matecho software through visual analysis of the echograms. 217

218 2.4 Data visualisation

Vertical distributions of the environmental descriptors (current speed, temperature and chlorophyll *a*) were mapped from the surface to ~1000 m (except for chlorophyll) along the west-east and south-north transects of MAD-Ridge Leg 1 (Fig. 3a, b) using the Section mode of the software Ocean Data View (ODV, version 4.5.7; Schlitzer, 2013). The chlorophyll *a* data were only mapped from the surface to 300 m because values were below the minimum

level of detection deeper than that (Fig. 3c). Data interpolation between sampling stations
was carried out using the DIVA (Data-Interpolating Variational Analysis) gridding option in
ODV that spatially interpolates observations on a regular grid in an optimal way by taking
into account coastlines and bathymetric features to structure and divide the domain on which
estimations are performed.

Acoustic data were represented using RGB colour coding. RGB composite images were generated in MATLAB (version 2016) based on the 38 kHz, 70 kHz and 120 kHz echointegrated acoustic data of selected transects during the MAD-Ridge and La Pérouse cruises. The 38, 70 and 120 kHz echo-integrated acoustic data were given in red, green and blue colour codes respectively on each RGB plot, with the dynamic of the S_V values in dB for each frequency being converted in 256 (0-255) levels of each colour. A linear transformation of the backscatter was applied to each frequency (fr):

236 Colour index (fr) = [255/ (High scale threshold- Low scale threshold)] \times [S_V (fr) – Low scale 237 threshold], Eq. 3

where the high and low scale thresholds are the maximum and minimum backscatter for hue 238 visualisations, respectively. S_V (fr) is the backscatter value at each frequency. This acoustic 239 visualisation technique is useful in determining the relative contribution of each frequency to 240 the overall backscatter (red means that Sv 38 is dominant, and similarly for green and blue, 241 Sv 70 and Sv 120 are respectively dominant) and to identify dense aggregations of scatterers 242 (Sv 38, Sv 70 and Sv 120 all dominant and seen as "white patches"). On a RGB composite 243 image based on the 38, 70 and 120 kHz frequencies, a dark red colour indicates a dominant 244 but low 38 kHz backscatter, whereas a light red colour indicates a dominant but high 38 kHz 245 backscatter. The same rule applies to the green (70 kHz) and blue (120 kHz) hues. Kloser et 246 al. (2002) used a similar approach, but the composite image was produced by assigning a 247

separate colour palette to each frequency (12, 38 and 120 kHz) and dynamically optimisingthe frequencies to highlight the amplitude differences in the echogram.

250 2.5 Statistical analyses

Kruskal Wallis tests and pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests were performed to assess the 251 differences in integrated chlorophyll *a* concentrations between classified hydrographic 252 stations. In order to cover the largest depth range, day and night acoustic transects at 38 kHz 253 254 frequency were further selected to investigate the micronekton acoustic densities in close proximity to the summits and flanks of the pinnacles (see Supplementary Material Fig. 2). As 255 256 the 38 kHz frequency data did not follow a normal distribution, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum tests were performed to compare the overall acoustic densities in each of the depth 257 categories (surface, intermediate and deep) between La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge seamounts, 258 and between day and night. Daytime acoustic density estimates representing the vertical 259 distribution of micronekton across the depth categories (surface: 10-200 m; intermediate: 260 261 200-400 m; deep: 400-735 m; total water column: 10-735 m) and averaged over 0.4 nmi on each side of the classified stations during MAD-Ridge cruise were investigated using non-262 parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) tests and pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests. All statistical 263 264 tests were performed with version 3.3.1 of the R package.

265 2.6 Taylor column theoretical calculation

The following non-dimensional factors were used to determine the likelihood of a Taylor column formation over La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge summits (White et al., 2007), depending on the mean water stratification, the mean flow field, the latitude (earth's rotation effect) and the shape of the seamount:

270 (1) The Rossby number, Ro, with $\operatorname{Ro} = \frac{U}{f \times L}$,

where U is the typical flow speed (0.3 m s⁻¹ at La Pérouse and 0.5 m s⁻¹ at MAD-Ridge); $f = 2 * \Omega * \sin(latitude)$, where Ω is Earth's angular velocity at 0.0000729 rads s⁻¹; the sin(*latitude*) is sin(19.72) at La Pérouse and sin(27.48) at MAD-Ridge; and L is the average width of the seamounts (10 000 m for La Pérouse and 27 500 m for MAD-Ridge). Ro estimates were calculated at 0.27 at La Pérouse and 0.17 at MAD-Ridge.

276 (2) The relative height of the seamount (h₀) to water depth (H), with $\alpha = \frac{h_0}{H}$,

where α was calculated at 0.99 at La Pérouse and 0.85 at MAD-Ridge.

278 (3) A combination of Ro and α gives the blocking parameter Bl (where $Bl = \frac{\alpha}{R_0}$),

which controls the formation of a Taylor column (White et al., 2007). A *Bl* value of 3.66 was calculated at La Pérouse and 4.88 at MAD-Ridge. According to Chapman and Haidvogel (1992), for seamounts taller than $\alpha \approx 0.4$, true Taylor caps will form if Ro < 0.15 and *Bl* > ~2 for Gaussian-shaped seamounts with moderate stratification. According to the authors, Taylor cones will not form if the Rossby number exceeds the upper bound of 0.15-0.2.

284

285 **3. Results**

286 3.1 Synoptic ocean circulation during the MAD-Ridge cruise

A cyclonic/anticyclonic eddy dipole was encountered along the West-East transect 287 (hydrographic stations 1-15) of Leg 1 of the MAD-Ridge cruise, whereas the South-North 288 transect (hydrographic stations 16-31) was mostly located inside the anticyclonic eddy and 289 290 reached the Madagascar shelf (Fig. 2). Along the West-East transect, at hydrographic station 5, a sharp front was observed in the sea surface temperature and salinity data collected from 291 the ship-mounted thermosalinograph, indicating the transition between cyclonic and 292 anticyclonic circulations (Supplementary Material, Fig. 1). This transition area coincided 293 with the largest current velocity recorded at the surface (158 cm s^{-1}) and in the depth layer 294

104 and 304 m (99 cm s⁻¹) relative to all other stations along the West-East and South-North
transects (Fig. 3a).

MAD-Ridge hydrographic stations were divided into six categories, according to whether they belonged to the cyclonic eddy (C: stations 2, 3, 4), anticyclonic eddy (AC: stations 10-13, 16-19, 24, 25), dipole interface (Dipole I.: station 5), seamount summit and anticyclonic eddy (Summit/AC: stations 8, 21, 22), seamount flank and anticyclonic eddy (Flank/AC: stations 7, 9, 20, 23) and shelf (Shelf: stations 30, 31). The other hydrographic stations 1, 6, 14, 15, 26-29 could not be accurately resolved using the criteria mentioned in Section 2.3.1 and Supplementary Material Fig. 1, and were not assigned to any of the listed categories.

304 3.2 Hydrography and chlorophyll concentration during the MAD-Ridge cruise

Surface temperatures among the station categories varied between 23.7°C (cyclonic station 4) 305 and 24.9°C (Summit/AC station 21). The cyclonic and shelf stations were characterised by a 306 shallower thermocline (79-165 m and 97-117 m, respectively) than the anticyclonic stations. 307 The anticyclonic, Summit/AC and Flank/AC stations were characterised by a relatively 308 deeper thermocline (159-219 m, 206-209 m and 181-212 m, respectively) (Fig. 3b). Fmax 309 values of 0.35-0.38 mg m⁻³ and 0.62-0.74 mg m⁻³ were recorded at the cyclonic and shelf 310 stations respectively, at depths of 54-122 m and 56-73 m, respectively (Fig. 3c). The 311 anticyclonic, Summit/AC and Flank/AC stations had deeper Fmax depths (82-129 m, 131-312 153 m and 101-144 m) relative to the cyclonic and shelf stations, with Fmax values of 0.16-313 0.30 mg m⁻³, 0.25-0.31 mg m⁻³ and 0.17-0.33 mg m⁻³, respectively. Integrated chlorophyll a314 concentrations were statistically different between the classified hydrographic stations (KW, 315 H=7.59, p < 0.05), especially between the cyclonic and anticyclonic stations (pairwise 316 comparisons, p < 0.05). The mean \pm S.D (standard deviation) integrated chlorophyll a 317 concentrations between 2 and 200 m were estimated at 29.1 \pm 7.3 mg m⁻³ and 19.3 \pm 2.1 mg 318 m^{-3} within the cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies respectively. 319

321 West-East Transect

322 The daytime total micronekton acoustic densities of the 38 kHz echosounder frequency exhibited a decreasing trend along the West-East transect, with the greatest responses 323 recorded across stations 1-2 within the cyclonic eddy during Day I (Fig. 4, Supplementary 324 Material Table 2). The lowest acoustic responses were recorded across the Summit/AC 325 station 8 during Day_III and stations 13-15 at the eastern periphery of the anticyclonic 326 circulation during Day V. The night-time total micronekton acoustic densities were greater 327 328 than the daytime acoustic responses and also exhibited a decreasing trend along the West-East transect. The greatest acoustic densities were recorded during Night I between cyclonic 329 stations 3 and 4 and the lowest densities were recorded during Night_IV between stations 12 330 and 13 at the anticyclonic periphery (Fig. 4). Differences of 1536 m² nmi⁻², 1297 m² nmi⁻², 331 1058 m² nmi⁻² and 595 m² nmi⁻² in the micronekton acoustic responses were recorded 332 between Night_I-Day_I, Night_II-Day_II, Night_III-Day_III and Night_IV-Day_IV, 333 respectively. Interestingly, the surface layer gathered higher percentage acoustic densities 334 than the deep layer during Day_I (stations 1-2), Day_II (Stations 4-6) and Day_III (stations 335 7-9), whereas the deep layer showed greater percentage acoustic densities than the surface 336 layer during Day_IV and Day_V (Fig. 4). The surface layer at night displayed greater 337 acoustic densities than the deep layer from Night_I to Night_IV (Fig. 4, Supplementary 338 Material Table 2). The intermediate layer displayed the lowest percentage acoustic responses 339 (1-10%) during day and night. 340

The echogram of the 38 kHz frequency showed organisms aggregating on the summits of deep topographic features labelled X and Y between 300 and 500 m and on the MAD-Ridge summit (Fig. 5). These organisms contributed to the higher acoustic densities within the intermediate layer at Night_II relative to the intermediate layer across other transects. This echogram also provided evidence of organisms migrating to deeper layers (below 400 m) at
sunrise and forming a dense aggregation between 400 and 600 m between the deep
topographic feature Y and MAD-Ridge seamount (Fig. 5). Micronekton assemblages at the
MAD-Ridge summit has been investigated in more detail in Annasawmy et al. (2019) and
Cherel et al. (2020).

RGB composite images showed a dominant and strong 38 kHz backscatter (red colour 350 dominating RGB plot) between ~20 and 70 m across the cyclonic stations 2 and 3 during 351 daytime and between ~20 and 120 m across the cyclonic stations 3 and 4 during night time 352 and sunrise (Fig. 6). Between the anticyclonic stations 10 to 12 and across stations 13 and 15, 353 the backscatter of the 38 kHz frequency was dominant but lower between ~20 and 80 m 354 compared to the cyclonic stations. At these anticyclonic stations, the dominant and stronger 355 120 kHz backscatter between ~80 and 140 m during daytime matches the Fmax depth of 82 356 and 129 m. 357

358 <u>South-North transect</u>

The total daytime acoustic densities of the 38 kHz echosounder frequency across the South-359 North transect were greater during Day_VI at the southern boundary of the anticyclone, 360 decreased during Day_VII and Day_VIII along the summit/flanks and within the anticyclone, 361 before increasing at the northern periphery of the anticyclone during Day IX and at the shelf 362 station 30 during Day_X (Fig. 7, Supplementary Material Table 3). The night time acoustic 363 responses followed the same pattern as the daytime acoustic densities along the South-North 364 transect, with the greatest responses across Night_VI at the southern periphery of the 365 anticyclone and Night_IX at its northern boundary (Supplementary Material, Table 3). The 366 lowest responses along the South-North transect were recorded across Night VII and 367 Night_VIII over the summit and within the anticyclone. Differences of 1559 m² nmi⁻², 1132 368 m² nmi⁻², 1071 m² nmi⁻² and 1719 m² nmi⁻² in the micronekton acoustic densities were 369

recorded between Night VI-Day VI, Night VII-Day VII, Night VIII-Day VIII and 370 Night_IX-Day_IX respectively. In contrast with Day_I, Day_II and Day_III along the West-371 East transect, the percentage micronekton acoustic responses at the 38 kHz echosounder 372 373 frequency in the surface layer during the day was lower than that in the deep layer across all stations, except at the summit station during Day_VIII (Fig. 7). Across Night_VI to 374 Night_IX, the surface layer gathered greater micronekton acoustic responses compared to the 375 376 deep layer (Fig. 7, Supplementary Material, Table 3). Similar to the West-East transect, the intermediate layer along the South-North transect gathered the lowest percentage 377 micronekton acoustic responses (4-11%) both during the day and night. 378

RGB composite images showed a dominant and relatively high 38 kHz backscatter between 379 ~20-100 m across stations 21, 22, 23 (on the summit and flank, within the anticyclone) and 380 shelf stations 30 and 31 (Fig. 8). The 120 kHz backscatter was dominant between ~100 and 381 140 m, corresponding with Fmax depths ranging from 116 to 138 m at these stations. Across 382 the shelf, the 120 kHz backscatter was dominant between 60 and 80 m, corresponding to 383 Fmax depths of 56 to 73 m at these stations. Regions of high S_V can be observed on the 384 385 MAD-Ridge seamount (seen as "white patches" at 150-250 m on the RGB composite image between CTD stations 21 and 22), corresponding to aggregations of scatterers on the 386 seamount summit (Fig. 8). 387

388 3.4 Environmental factors influencing micronekton distribution during MAD-Ridge cruise

Median micronekton acoustic densities in the total water column and in the surface layer were the highest within the cyclonic eddy compared to any other station categories (p < 0.05) (Fig. 9a). The Flank/AC stations showed higher median micronekton acoustic densities of the total water column compared to the Summit/AC stations (Fig. 9a). The Summit/AC stations exhibited higher median micronekton acoustic densities in the surface layer compared to the AC and Dipole I. stations (p < 0.05) (Fig. 9a). Micronekton acoustic densities in the deep

layer had the same overlapping ranges across all station categories except over the 395 Summit/AC stations (p < 0.05). Station 18, which was conducted at sunset (Table 1), within 396 the anticyclone showed high micronekton acoustic densities in the total water column (1461 397 \pm 531 m² nmi⁻²), with the acoustic backscatter being distributed almost equally in the surface 398 and deep layers (506 \pm 345 and 559 \pm 298 m² nmi⁻² respectively) and in lower concentrations 399 in the intermediate layer (397 \pm 154 m² nmi⁻²). This station can be considered as being 400 401 anomalous compared to the other anticyclonic stations. However, when this station was removed from the above KW and pairwise analyses, the outcome remained unchanged. 402

To summarise, the cyclonic stations recorded the greatest micronekton acoustic densities of 403 the total water column and were characterised by negative SLA compared to the other station 404 categories (Fig. 9b). The cyclonic and shelf stations were characterised by the coldest 405 temperature at 100 m, shallower Fmax, the largest integrated chlorophyll a concentrations 406 between 2 and 200 m and the greatest mean zooplankton biovolumes (Fig. 9c-f). The 407 anticyclone recorded lower micronekton acoustic densities in the total water column 408 compared to the cyclonic ones (Fig. 9a). These stations were characterised by positive SLA, 409 410 warmer temperature values at 100 m, deeper Fmax, lower integrated chlorophyll a between 2 and 200 m and higher variability of zooplankton biovolumes compared to cyclonic stations 411 (Fig. 9b-f). The seamount summit stations did not exhibit remarkable micronekton acoustic 412 densities, nor integrated chlorophyll a and mean zooplankton biovolumes among station 413 categories (Fig. 9a, e, f). The station at the dipole interface recorded the lowest micronekton 414 acoustic densities, although values were not significant (p < 0.05) compared to the other 415 station categories, and were characterised by slightly negative SLA (Fig. 9a, b) and the 416 highest mean current speed of 99.1 m s⁻¹ compared to all other stations (mean \pm S.D. of 40.6 417 $\pm 19.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). 418

419 3.5 Physical and biological oceanography at La Pérouse seamount

19

During the La Pérouse cruise, the seamount was under the influence of a weak cyclonic eddy 420 with geostrophic speeds of less than 1 m s⁻¹ and satellite surface Absolute Dynamic 421 Topography heights of ~1.1 m (Fig. 10). ADCP measurements recorded a current velocity of 422 ~10-40 cm s⁻¹ at the vicinity of La Pérouse seamount, in the upper 200 m (Marsac et al., 423 2020). Surface temperatures ranged between 23 and 24°C, with a deeper thermocline (152-424 181 m) at La Pérouse (flanks and offshore stations combined) compared to MAD-Ridge 425 cyclonic stations. Maximum chlorophyll *a* values of $0.18-0.44 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ at Fmax depth between 426 65 and 140 m were recorded at all stations. 427

428 3.6 Comparison of micronekton acoustic densities at both seamounts

Wilcoxon tests performed for the 38 kHz frequency showed the overall acoustic densities of 429 the depth categories (surface, intermediate and deep) differed significantly between La 430 Pérouse and MAD-Ridge and between day and night (p < 0.05) along the transects mapped in 431 Fig. 11. The mean acoustic densities for the 38 kHz frequency of the total water column (10-432 735 m) were lower over La Pérouse summit and flanks (Fig. 11a) both during the day (653 \pm 433 689 m² nmi⁻²) and night (903 \pm 600 m² nmi⁻²) compared to MAD-Ridge (Day: 1448 \pm 1268 434 m^2 nmi⁻²; Night: 2261 ± 1035 m² nmi⁻²) summit and flanks (Fig. 11b). During the day and 435 night, the surface layer gathered greater percentage acoustic responses compared to the deep 436 layer both at La Pérouse (Day, Surface: 87.5%; Deep: 7.2%; Night, Surface: 94.2%, Deep: 437 0.6%) and MAD-Ridge (Day, Surface: 57.2%; Deep: 34.6%; Night, Surface: 74.3%, Deep: 438 17.0%). The intermediate layer gathered percentage acoustic densities of 5 to 9% both during 439 the day and night and at both seamounts. 440

RGB composite images showed relatively low but dominant 38 kHz backscatter between depths of ~20 and 60 m both at La Pérouse (Fig. 12a) and MAD-Ridge seamounts (Fig. 12b) during the day. A stronger response to the 120 kHz frequency compared to the 38 kHz was observed between ~100 and 180 m depths at La Pérouse and between ~100 to 125 m depths at MAD-Ridge during the day. At night, the 38 kHz backscatter was stronger and more
dominant compared to the 70 kHz backscatter between depths of ~20 and 180 m both at La
Pérouse and MAD-Ridge (Fig. 12c and 12d). Regions of high acoustic densities (seen as
"white patches" on RGB composite images) were observed on La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge
summits both during the day and night (Fig. 12a, b, c and d).

450

451 **4. Discussion**

452 4.1 Oceanographic conditions during the MAD-Ridge and La Pérouse cruises

453 This study demonstrated the strong influence of mesoscale cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies on the physical and biological properties at MAD-Ridge seamount. The doming of isotherms 454 and shallowing of the Fmax depth was observed within the cyclonic eddy during the MAD-455 Ridge cruise. Such processes are associated with eddy-induced pumping and upwelling of 456 cool, nutrient-rich waters, triggering an increase in primary production in the photic layer 457 (McGillicuddy and Robinson, 1997; McGillicuddy et al., 1998; Klein and Lapeyre, 2009; 458 Huggett, 2014; Singh et al., 2015). Phytoplankton within mesoscale cyclonic eddies can also 459 grow in response to advection and subsequent retention of surrounding nutrient-rich waters 460 within eddies (José et al., 2014; Lamont et al., 2014). The anticyclonic eddy in this study was 461 characterised by a deeper thermocline and Fmax, with a decrease in productivity in the photic 462 layer than in the cyclonic eddy. 463

The Madagascar shelf also had a significant effect on the physical and biological processes during the MAD-Ridge cruise. The thermocline and Fmax depth were shallower on the shelf than at the other stations within the anticyclonic eddy. Previous studies have shown that the coastal regions south of Madagascar are more productive than surrounding waters (Raj et al., 2010; Pripp et al., 2014; Ramanantsoa et al., 2018; Annasawmy et al., 2019) owing to coastal upwelling events driven by interactions between the East Madagascar Current and the 470 continental shelf and by upwelling favourable winds (Ramanantsoa et al., 2018). This
471 productivity can be entrained by mesoscale features that spin off the East Madagascar
472 Current, farther south, potentially towards MAD-Ridge (Noyon et al., 2018; Ockhuis et al.,
473 2017).

La Pérouse seamount, on the other hand, is located on the edge of the ISSG and was under 474 the influence of a weak mesoscale eddy field during the cruise there, reflecting average 475 476 conditions observed throughout the year in this region, as shown by Pous et al. (2014) using the OSCAR product (https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/OSCAR_L4_OC_third-deg). Apart 477 from disturbances caused by the seamount to circulation, phytoplankton and zooplankton at a 478 small scale along the flanks (Marsac et al., 2020), the average conditions in the La Pérouse 479 area with relatively deep thermocline and Fmax depth, were typical of the oligotrophic ISSG 480 province. Overall, during the time of the cruises, sea surface chlorophyll concentrations were 481 twice as low within the region of the La Pérouse seamount as at the MAD-Ridge seamount 482 (Annasawmy et al., 2019). 483

484 4.2 Diel vertical migration of micronekton

Micronekton is a diverse group of organisms capable of demonstrating various swimming 485 behaviours (active swimming or passive drifting) and vertical migration strategies (diel 486 migrants, semi-migrants or non-migrants) (Brodeur and Yamamura 2005). In this study, the 487 different DVM patterns of micronekton were observed. Vertically migrating organisms 488 ascended to the surface (above 200 m) at sunset and descended below 400 m at sunrise in the 489 vicinity of both MAD-Ridge and La Pérouse, whereas only a small proportion of non-migrant 490 or semi-migrant micronekton remained in the deep layer by day at both seamounts. On 491 average, a difference of 595 m² nmi⁻² to 1719 m² nmi⁻² was recorded between day and night 492 periods at MAD-Ridge (West-East and South-North transects) and a difference of 790 m^2 493 nmi⁻² between day and night at La Pérouse. These differences between alternate day and 494

495 night periods are likely caused either by the vertical migration of micronekton towards the 496 surface at night for feeding purposes, sometimes from layers deeper than 735 m (i.e. beyond 497 the range set for the 38 kHz transducer in this study), and/or the lateral advection of 498 organisms.

499 Micronekton acoustic densities were greater in the surface layer than in the deep layer during the day at cyclonic eddy stations. This particular finding contradicts the general paradigm that 500 micronekton are located in deeper layers by day (eg. Baliño and Aksnes, 1993; Andersen et 501 al., 1998; Bertrand et al., 1999; Lebourges-Dhaussy et al., 2000; Benoit-Bird and Au, 2004; 502 Domokos et al., 2010; Godø et al., 2009, 2012; Drazen et al., 2011; Béhagle et al., 2014; 503 Menkes et al., 2015; Béhagle et al., 2017; Bianchi and Mislan, 2016; Annasawmy et al., 504 2018). Micronekton do not only undertake direct swimming in vertical and horizontal planes, 505 but may also drift passively. Previous studies have reported passive drifting of the 506 mesopelagic myctophid *Benthosema glaciale* with swimming speeds of 0-0.02 m s⁻¹ along 507 weak tidal currents and short bouts of active swimming in a vertical direction with swimming 508 speeds of 0.05 m s⁻¹, possibly during feeding (Torgersen and Kaartvedt, 2001; Kaartvedt et 509 510 al., 2009). This species has also been reported to undertake reverse DVM, ascending to approximately 200 m by day to forage on midwater plankton (Kaartvedt et al., 2009). The 511 reverse DVM pattern is not common, with only some species of zooplankton (Ohman et al., 512 1983; Lampert, 1989) and mesopelagic fish having been reported to ascend to the surface 513 layer during the day to optimise feeding opportunities (Lebourges-Dhaussy et al., 2000; 514 Kaartvedt et al., 2009). 515

516 Some micronekton taxa may also preferentially stay in the surface layer during the day to 517 reduce competition during feeding. The micronekton species *Myctophum asperum*, 518 *Myctophum nitidulum, Symbolophorus evermanni*, and *Chromis brevirostis* showed delayed 519 vertical migration at night in the Kuroshio region of the western North Pacific, with specific

23

peak feeding hours and specialisation on different food organisms in order to reduce 520 competition (Watanabe et al., 2002). Daylight surface observations are rare but were made 521 for the mesopelagic fish Benthosema pterotum in the Gulf of Oman (Gjøsæter, 1978, 1984), 522 523 the myctophid Benthosema pterota off the coast of Central America (Alverson, 1961) and the myctophid Vinciguerria nimbaria in the eastern Tropical Atlantic (Marchal and Lebourges-524 Dhaussy, 1996; Lebourges-Dhaussy et al., 2000). The reasons for the daylight surface 525 occurrence of V. nimbaria has been linked to the presence of potential preys such as 526 zooplankton at the Fmax depth (Lebourges-Dhaussy et al., 2000). Previous studies have also 527 found the deep-dwelling cod Micromesistius poutassou wich usually resides at a depth of 528 300-500 m, migrating to the surface of anticyclonic eddies probably to enhance feeding 529 opportunities (Godø et al., 2012). Micronekton organisms within the cyclonic eddy during 530 MAD-Ridge might have adopted a combination of these strategies and this possibility is 531 discussed in more detail below. 532

533 4.3 Influence of mesoscale features on micronekton vertical and horizontal distribution

As shown earlier, the vertical and horizontal distributions of micronekton at MAD-Ridge 534 were significantly influenced by mesoscale processes linked to the presence of cyclonic and 535 anticyclonic eddies. The daytime s_A values within the eddy dipole interface were the lowest 536 relative to the other hydrographic station categories used in this analysis. Harris et al. (2020) 537 also recorded lower larval fish densities at the eddy dipole interface than at cyclonic and 538 anticyclonic circulation stations. This can be attributed to the strong currents measured at this 539 location that have led to the dispersion of micronekton communities. Alternatively, 540 micronekton may have migrated below the depth range scanned by the 38 kHz transducer at 541 that station due to a combination of strong currents and daytime light intensities. 542

543 Overall, acoustic densities of the total water column recorded within the cyclonic eddy were 544 approximately twice as great as those recorded within the anticyclonic circulation during both

day and night. The integrated chlorophyll *a* and zooplankton biovolume maxima found in the 545 cyclonic eddy, matched the micronekton maxima, during day and night. Micronekton 546 biomass is reported to be dependent on the availability of planktonic prey (Menkes et al., 547 548 2015), and hence on the oceanographic drivers of plankton production, as observed in this study. In the anticyclonic eddy, the downwelling mechanism of nutrient-depleted surface 549 550 waters may have led to a reduction of chlorophyll *a* concentrations in the euphotic zone, and 551 a subsequent reduction in mesozooplankton abundance and micronekton acoustic densities during both day and night. Previous studies conducted in the Mozambique Channel have also 552 reported lesser micronekton acoustic densities in anticyclonic relative to cyclonic eddies 553 554 (Béhagle et al., 2014). However, the same authors pointed out some variability in eddyinduced biological responses, with one case of higher micronekton density in an anticyclonic 555 eddy that was attributed to larger and more mobile organisms that are less influenced by 556 mesoscale features than smaller organisms. 557

The RGB composite images have revealed the presence of a strong and dominant 38 kHz 558 backscatter in the surface layer during the day across the cyclonic eddy (Fig 3c). Previous 559 studies have demonstrated that epi- and mesopelagic fish with small gas-filled swimbladders 560 and gelatinous plankton with gas inclusions dominate the 38 kHz frequency (Porteiro and 561 Sutton, 2007; Kloser et al., 2002, 2009; Davison et al., 2015; Cascão et al., 2017; Proud et al., 562 2018; Annasawmy et al., 2019). The occurrence of these organisms at the surface (10-200 m) 563 by day may be considered a response to the cyclonic eddy exhibiting relatively high 564 integrated chlorophyll a concentrations and zooplankton biovolumes. The question arises, 565 though, whether these micronekton species showed reverse migration strategies and actively 566 567 remained in the shallow layer by day or whether they were passively entrained with the current within the cyclonic circulation. This shallow scattering layer may also have consisted 568 of gelatinous organisms, which as other zooplankton, responded to the localised cyclonic 569 570 productivity. The mesoscale cyclonic eddy may also have provided physical mechanisms that led to zooplankton retention and concentration, thereby increasing the encounter rate between
micronekton and their prey. The micronekton organisms, likely epi- and mesopelagic fish,
would then preferentially stay in the surface layer by day to increase their feeding
opportunities.

575 4.4 Influence of seamounts on micronekton vertical and horizontal distributions

576 Dense aggregations of scatterers were observed over deep topographic features labelled X and Y peaking at 430 m and 460 m, during night-time and sunrise, respectively (Figure 3b). 577 These dense aggregations may have been migrating during the time of the cruise, upwards 578 579 over feature X at night or downwards at feature Y at sunrise. Alternatively, they may be nonmigrating organisms that remained preferentially associated with these topographic features 580 during day and night. Studies have suggested that the bottom-trapping mechanism as well as 581 the horizontal flux of non-migrating zooplankton maintain the densities of zooplanktivorous 582 fish at seamounts of intermediate depth (Genin and Dower, 2007). A range of other factors 583 such as the quiescent shelters offered by these topographies and the absence of shallow 584 diving predators (Porteiro and Sutton, 2007) may also account for the presence of these dense 585 aggregations at the features labelled X and Y. 586

Micronekton acoustic densities over the summit and flanks of MAD-Ridge were lower than 587 the acoustic densities recorded within the cyclonic eddy and over the Madagascar shelf. 588 However, the acoustic densities surrounding MAD-Ridge's summit and flanks were greater 589 than those recorded in the vicinity of the summit and flanks of La Pérouse. Although there 590 were clear mechanisms leading to enhanced productivity within the cyclonic eddy and over 591 the Madagascar shelf, the local physical and biological dynamics over La Pérouse and MAD-592 Ridge seamounts were less straightforward. No clear enhancement in micronekton acoustic 593 densities was observed over MAD-Ridge compared with the surrounding vicinity (within 14 594 nautical miles of the summit) at the time of the cruises (Annasawmy et al., 2019). 595

26

In the literature, Taylor columns that form over seamounts are often considered as physical 596 processes that are capable of enhancing productivity and isolating the seamount waters from 597 the large-scale environment (Genin and Boehlert, 1985; Dower et al., 1992; Genin, 2004). 598 599 The α , Ro and Bl values were above the threshold set in literature (Chapman and Haidvogel, 1992; White et al., 2007) at both La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge during the cruises. The 600 601 mesoscale eddy activity in the MAD-Ridge area may have dominated any potential seamount effect. The summit of MAD-Ridge during most of the cruise was under the influence of the 602 anticyclonic eddy with current speeds exceeding 0.5 m s⁻¹, thus making the formation of a 603 Taylor column very unlikely. The MAD-Ridge's seamount shape may also not be favourable 604 to the formation of such features because the threshold set for α values in theoretical 605 calculations was exceeded. Additionally, because of the presence of the anticyclonic eddy 606 feature over MAD-Ridge's summit, there was a downward deflection of isotherms between 607 the surface and ~200 m, instead of an uplifting as seen across other studies (Genin and 608 Boehlert 1985; Boehlert and Genin, 1987; Dower and Mackas, 1996). MAD-Ridge is located 609 in an "eddy corridor" to the south of Madagascar. Previous studies have found evidence of a 610 westward drift of eddies at an estimated speed of 7.3 \pm 1.7 cm s⁻¹ in the vicinity of the 611 pinnacle, along 27°S and 45°E (Pollard and Read., 2017). Phytoplankton entrapment during 612 several weeks would be needed to allow growth of zooplankton and to attract micronekton 613 species (Genin and Boehlert 1985; Boehlert and Genin, 1987; Dower et al., 1992). In such a 614 dynamic system, strong currents may continuously sweep away phytoplankton cells from the 615 summit. Phytoplankton retention mechanisms may not be sufficiently long to have a 616 significant impact on higher trophic levels such as zooplankton and micronekton, potentially 617 618 explaining the lower micronekton acoustic densities recorded directly above MAD-Ridge's summit during the cruise relative to the cyclonic eddy and shelf stations. 619

La Pérouse's pinnacle is believed to cause disruptions in the current velocities because flankstations (within 3 km of the summit) exhibited a larger diversity of current velocities and

directions than control stations (10-21 km away) (Marsac et al., 2020). Over La Pérouse 622 seamount, the formation of a Taylor column was also very unlikely to have occurred because 623 current speeds of 0.3 m s⁻¹ and greater were recorded. The complex crescent shape of the 624 625 seamount may not be favourable for the development of a steady anticyclonic circulation. The La Pérouse wider region is under the influence of the anticyclonic circulation pattern of 626 the ISSG province characterised by a deep thermocline, a halocline and a DCM at 100-150 627 m, with chlorophyll *a* concentrations $<0.3 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ between the surface and 200 m as observed 628 in our study and in Jena et al. (2012, 2013). Overall mean acoustic densities of micronekton 629 at the La Pérouse seamount were thus typical of those of the ISSG province both during day 630 and night (Annasawmy et al., 2018). 631

Common to both La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge seamounts is the presence of dense 632 aggregations of scatterers (seen as "white patches" on RGB composite images, Fig. 8 and 633 12a-d) directly above the summits during day and night. A combination of trawls and 634 acoustic data revealed these dense aggregations to consist of the myctophids Diaphus 635 suborbitatis (both La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge), Benthosema fibulatum, Hygophum hygomii 636 and the benthopelagic fish Cookeolus japonicus on MAD-Ridge's summit and flanks 637 (Annasawmy et al., 2019). Populations of D. suborbitalis have also been reported to be 638 located between 500 and 600 m during the day along the flanks of the Equator seamount in 639 the Indian Ocean, and to ascend to the surface of the seamount at dusk to feed on copepods 640 (Gorelova and Prut'ko, 1985; Parin and Prut'ko, 1985; Porteiro and Sutton, 2007), while B. 641 fibulatum has been found associated with the Hawaiian Cross seamount in the Pacific (De 642 Forest and Drazen, 2009). Dense aggregations of scatterers were also observed above a ridge 643 off the coast of Baja California and was thought to consist of the fish Sebastodes, anchovy 644 and juvenile hake that prey on migrating zooplankton (Isaacs and Schwartzlose, 1965). 645 Similar aggregations of scatterers were observed on the South East Hancock seamount in the 646 central North Pacific, consisting of resident populations of the fish Maurolicus muelleri and 647

the mysid *Gnathophausia longispina* (Boehlert et al., 1994). Time-series of acoustic transects 648 showed these organisms to be concentrated on the seamount flanks at 400 m, before rising to 649 the surface at dusk and streaming vertically downwards at dawn, with some scatterers 650 651 remaining above the flanks at 170 m even during daylight. The association of these fish with seamounts may confer some selective advantages such as enhanced feeding opportunities, 652 increased habitat diversity (Wilson and Boehlert, 2004; Porteiro and Sutton, 2007), shelter 653 areas for spawning, or decreased energy loss by using the seamount as a shelter during non-654 feeding intervals (Cascão et al., 2017). 655

The maintenance of a population at a seamount also depends on local recruitment or 656 advection of eggs and larvae from the shelf or neighbouring islands and seamounts (Boehlert 657 et al., 1994; Diekmann et al., 2006). According to Harris et al. (2020), higher larval densities 658 mostly of the families Myctophidae, Bregmacerotidae, Gonostomatidae and Molidae were 659 recorded at MAD-Ridge's summit. According to those authors, this observation points to 660 some local spawning processes at the pinnacle. The MAD-Ridge seamount is close to shallow 661 and deep topographic structures and to the Madagascar shelf, features from which larvae 662 663 might have been advected (Crochelet et al., 2020). The presence of a greater proportion of neritic species over the summit of MAD-Ridge seamount relative to La Pérouse seems to 664 favour this hypothesis (Harris et al., 2020). The mesoscale activity on the Madagascar shelf 665 and at MAD-Ridge may allow the periodic replenishment of advected larvae over the 666 pinnacle (Harris et al., 2020; Crochelet et al., 2020), corresponding with enhanced 667 micronekton acoustic densities compared to La Pérouse. 668

669

670 5. Concluding Remarks

671 This study has suggested a link between the physical processes leading to enhanced672 productivity and the biological response of micronekton. Two main processes were identified

29

to have a positive effect on the observed productivity: 1) the influence of the cyclonic eddy 673 through the enrichment of surface waters, 2) the advection of shelf waters with high 674 chlorophyll a concentrations. La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge seamounts did not show any 675 676 enhanced biomass of micronekton, as reported to be the case for other seamounts. However, despite the differing productivity levels at both seamounts, dense aggregations of scatterers 677 were observed on the summits during day and night. This study has therefore suggested that 678 seamount-associated species were the only seamount effect detected and that in a highly 679 dynamic environment like south of Madagascar, mesoscale features have a stronger influence 680 than seamounts on micronekton acoustic densities. 681

- 682
- 683

684 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the work carried out by the non-scientific and scientific staff on board the 685 RV Antea in taking part in the data acquisition and data processing. The study was mainly 686 supported by the Flotte Océanographique Française (French Oceanographic Fleet) and IRD in 687 688 relation to the logistics of the RV Antea. Additional funding was also received from Région Reunion (Réunion Regional Council) for the La Pérouse cruise, and from the Fonds Français 689 pour l'Environnement Mondial (FFEM) as part of the FFEM-SWIO project on Areas Beyond 690 National Jurisdiction (ABNJ) of the South West Indian Ocean for the MAD-Ridge cruise. 691 The first author was the beneficiary of a doctoral bursary granted by the Institut de Recherche 692 pour le Développement (IRD, France) and the ICEMASA French-South African International 693 Laboratory. 694

References

- Alverson, F.G., 1961. Daylight Surface Occurrence of Myctophid Fishes Off the Coast of Central America. Pac Sci. 15(3): 483. http://hdl.handle.net/10125/9088
- Andersen, V., François, F., Sardou, J., Picheral, M., Scotto, M., Nival, P., 1998. Vertical distributions of macroplankton and micronekton in the Ligurian and Tyrrhenian Seas (northwestern Mediterranean). Oceanologica Acta. 21(5): 655-676.
- Annasawmy, P., Ternon, J.F., Marsac, F., Cherel, Y., Béhagle, N., Roudaut, G., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Demarcq, H., Moloney, C.L., Jaquemet, S., Ménard, F., 2018. Micronekton diel migration, community composition and trophic position within two biogeochemical provinces of the South West Indian Ocean: Insight from acoustics and stable isotopes. Deep-Sea Res. I. 138: 85-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.07.002
- Annasawmy, P., Ternon, J-F., Cotel, P., Demarcq, H., Cherel, Y, Romanov, E., Roudaut, G., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Ménard, F., Marsac, F. 2019. Micronekton distribution and assemblages at two shallow seamounts in the south-western Indian Ocean: Insights from acoustics and mesopelagic trawl data. Prog. Oceanogr. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102161
- Bakun, A., 2006. Fronts and eddies as key structures in the habitat of marine fish larvae: opportunity, adaptive response and competitive advantage. Sci. Mar. 70, 105–122. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2006.70s2105
- Baliño, B.M, Aksnes, D.L., 1993. Winter distribution and migration of the sound scattering layers, zooplankton and micronekton in Masfjorden, western Norway. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 102: 35-50. https://www.int-res.com/articles/meps/102/m102p035.pdf
- Béhagle, N., du Buisson, L., Josse, E., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Roudaut, G., Ménard, F., 2014. Mesoscale features and micronekton in the Mozambique Channel: An acoustic approach. Deep-Sea Res. II. 100: 164-173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.024
- Béhagle, N., Cotté, C., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Roudaut, G., Duhamel, G., Brehmer, P., Josse, E., Cherel, Y., 2017. Acoustic distribution of discriminated micronektonic organisms from a bi-frequency processing: The case study of eastern Kerguelen oceanic waters. Progress in Oceanography, 156: 276-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.06.004
- Benitez-Nelson, C.R., McGillicuddy, D. J., 2008. Mesoscale Physical-Biological-Biogeochemical Linkages in the Open Ocean: An Introduction to the results of the E-Flux and EDDIES Programs. Deep-Sea Res. II. 55(10-13): 1133–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.03.001
- Benoit-Bird, K.J., Au, W.W.L, 2004. Diel migration dynamics of an island-associated soundscattering layer. Deep-Sea Res. I. 51: 707-719. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.01.004
- Bertrand, A., Le Borgne, R., Josse, E., 1999. Acoustic characterisation of micronekton distribution in French Polynesia. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 191: 127-140. doi:10.3354/meps191127
- Bertrand, A., Bard, F.X. Josse, E., 2002. Tuna food habits related to the micronekton distribution in French Polynesia. Mar Biol. 140: 1023-1037. doi:10.1007/s00227-001-0776-3
- Bianchi, D., Stock, C., Galbraith, E.D., Sarmiento, J.L., 2013. Diel vertical migration: Ecological controls and impacts on the biological pump in a one-dimensional ocean model. Global Biogeochem. Cycles. 27: 478-491. doi:10.1002/gbc.20031.
- Bianchi, D., Mislan, K.A.S., 2016. Global patterns of diel vertical migration times and velocities from acoustic data. Limnol. Oceanogr. 61: 353-364. doi: 10.1002/lno.10219

- Boehlert, G.W., Genin, A., 1987. A review of the effects of seamounts on biological processes. B. Keating, P. Fryer, R. Batiza (Eds.), Seamounts, islands and atolls, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C (1987), pp. 319-334
- Boehlert, G.W., 1988. Current-Topography Interactions at Mid-Ocean Seamounts and the Impact on Pelagic Ecosystems. GeoJournal, 16.1: 45-52.
- Boehlert, G.W., Wilson, C.D., Mizuno, K., 1994. Populations of the Sternoptychid Fish *Maurolicus muelleri* on Seamounts in the Central North Pacific. Pac. Sci. 48(1): 57-69.
- Brierley, A.S., 2014. Diel vertical migration. Current Biology. 24(22): R1074-R1076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.054
- Brodeur, R.D., Seki, M.P., Pakhomov, E.A., Suntsov, A.V., 2005. Micronekton-What are they and why are they important? PICES Press 14: 7–11.
- Brodeur, R.D., Yamamura, O., 2005. PICES Scientific Report No. 30 Micronekton of the North Pacific. PICES Scientific Report, Sidney, B.C., Canada, pp. 1–115.
- Cascão, I., Domokos, R., Lammers, M.O., Marques, V., Domínguez, R., Santos, R.S., Silva, M.A., 2017. Persistent Enhancement of Micronekton Backscatter at the Summits of Seamounts in the Azores. Front. Mar. Sci. 4: 25. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00025
- Catul, V., Gauns, M., Karuppasamy, P.K., 2011. A review of mesopelagic fishes belonging to family Mcytophidae. Rev. Fish Biol. Fisheries. 21: 339-354. doi: 10.1007/s11160-010-9176-4
- Chapman, D.C., Haidvogel, D.B., 1992. Formation of Taylor Caps over a tall isolated seamount in a stratified ocean. Geophysical and Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics. 64: 31–65.
- Chelton, D.B., Schlax, M.G., Samelson, R.M., 2011. Global observations of nonlinear mesoscale eddies. Prog. Oceanogr. 91: 167-216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.01.002
- Cherel, Y., Fontaine, C., Richard, P., Labat, J-P., 2010. Isotopic niches and trophic levels of myctophid fishes and their predators in the Southern Ocean. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55(1): 324-332. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.1.0324
- Cherel, Y., Romanov, E.V., Annasawmy, P., Thibault, D., Ménard, F., 2020. Micronektonic fish species over three seamounts in the southwestern Indian Ocean and myctophid infestation by the copepod *Cardiodectes bellotti*. Deep-Sea Res. II.
- Crochelet, E., Barrier, N., Andrello, M., Marsac, F., Spadone, A., Lett, C., 2020. Connectivity between seamounts and coastal ecosystems in the South West Indian Ocean. Deep Sea Res. Part II.
- Danckwerts, D.K., McQuaid, C.D., McGregor, G.K., Dwight, R., Le Corre, M., Jaquemet, S., 2014. Biomass consumption by breeding seabirds in the western Indian Ocean: indirect interactions with fisheries and implications for management. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 71(9): 2589-2598. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu093
- Davison, P.C., Koslow, J.A., Kloser, R.J. 2015. Acoustic biomass estimation of mesopelagic fish: backscattering from individuals, populations, and communities. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 72(5): 1413-1424. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv023
- De Forest, L., Drazen, J., 2009. The influence of a Hawaiian seamount on mesopelagic micronekton. Deep-Sea Res I. 56: 232-250. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2008.09.007
- De Robertis, A., Higginbottom, I., 2007. A post-processing technique to estimate the signal-to-noise ratio and remove echosounder background noise. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 64: 1282-1291. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsm112
- Diekmann, R., Nellen, W., Piatkowski, U., 2006. A multivariate analysis of larval fish and paralarval cephalopod assemblages at Great Meteor Seamount. Deep-Sea Res. I. 53: 1635-1657. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2006.08.008

- Domokos, R., Pakhomov, E.A., Suntsov, A.V., Seki, M.P., Polovina, J.J., 2010. Acoustic Characterization of the Mesopelagic Community off the Leeward Coast of Oahu Island, Hawaii. In: Report of the Advisory Panel on Micronekton Sampling Intercalibration Experiment Edition: 38Publisher: PICES Scientific Report [Eds]: Pakhomov, E.A., Yamamura, O.
- Dower, J., Freeland, H., Juniper, K., 1992. A strong biological response to oceanic flow past Cobb Seamount. Deep-Sea Res. 39(7/8): 1139–1145. https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(92)90061-W
- Dower, J.F., Mackas, D.L., 1996. "Seamount effects" in the zooplankton community near Cobb Seamount. Deep-Sea Res. I. 43(6): 837–858. https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(96)00040-4
- Drazen, J.C., De Forest, L.G., Domokos, R., 2011. Micronekton abundance and biomass in Hawaiian waters as influenced by seamounts, eddies and the moon. Deep-Sea Res. I. 58: 557-566. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2011.03.002
- Dufois, F., Hardman-Mountford, N.J., Greenwood, J., Richardson, A.J., Feng, M., Herbette, S., Matear, R., 2014. Impact of eddies on surface chlorophyll in the South Indian Ocean. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans. 119: 8061–8077. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010164
- Foote, K.G., Knudsen, H.P., Vestnes, G., MacLennan, D.N., Simmonds, E.J., 1987. Calibration of acoustic instruments for fish density estimation: a practical guide. ICES Coop. Res. Rep. 144: 1-69.
- Genin, A., Boehlert, G.W., 1985. Dynamics of temperature and chlorophyll structures above a seamount: An oceanic experiment. J. Mar. Res. 43: 907-924.
- Genin, A., 2004. Bio-physical coupling in the formation of zooplankton and fish aggregations over adrupt topographies. J. Mar. Sci. 50: 3-20. doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.10.008
- Genin, A., Dower, J.F., 2007. Seamount Plankton Dynamics. In: Pitcher, T.J., Morato, T., Hart, P.J.B., Clark, M.R., Haggan, N., Santos, R.S. (Eds), Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, pp. 85-100.
- Gjøsæter, J., 1978. Aspects of the distribution and ecology of the Myctophidae from the Western and Northern Arabian Sea. Development Report Indian Ocean Programme, 43: 62–108.
- Gjøsaeter, J., 1984. Mesopelagic fish, a large potential resource in the Arabian Sea. Deep-Sea Res. Part A. 31:1019–1035.
- Godø, O.R., Patel, R., Pedersen, G., 2009. Diel migration and swimbladder resonance of small fish: some implications for analyses of multifrequency echo data. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 66: 1143–1148. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsp098
- Godø, O.R., Samuelsen, A., Macaulay, G.J., Patel, R., Hjøllo, S.S., Horne, J., Kaartvedt, S., Johannessen, J.A., 2012. Mesoscale Eddies Are Oases for Higher Trophic Marine Life. PLoS ONE. 7(1): e30161. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030161
- Gorelova T.A., Prutko, V.G., 1985. On feeding of *Diaphus suborbitalis* (Myctophidae, Pisces) in the equatorial Indian Ocean. Okeanologya. 25: 677-684 (in Russian).
- Gorsky, G., Ohman, M.D., Picheral, M., Gasparini, S., Stemmann, L., Romagnan, J-B., Gawood, A., Pesant, S., García-Lomas, C., Prejger, F., 2010. Digital zooplankton image analysis using the ZooScan integrated system. J. Plankton Res. 32(3): 285-303. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbp124
- Guinet, C., Cherel, Y., Ridoux, V., Jouventin, P., 1996. Consumption of marine resources by seabirds and seals in Crozet and Kerguelen waters: changes in relation to consumer biomass 1962-85. Antarctic Science. 8(1): 23-30.
- Halo, I., Backeberg, B., Penven, P., Ansorge, I., Reason, C., Ullgren, J.E., 2014. Eddy properties in the Mozambique Channel: A comparison between observations and two

numerical ocean circulation models. Deep-Sea Res. II. 100: 38-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.015

- Harris, S.A., Noyon, M., Roberts, M., Marsac, F., 2020. Ichthyoplankton assemblages at three shallow seamounts in the South West Indian Ocean: La Pérouse, MAD-Ridge and Walters Shoal. Deep Sea Res. Part II.
- Heywood, K.J., 1996. Diel vertical migration of zooplankton in the Northeast Atlantic. Journal of Plankton Research. 18(2): 163-184.
- Hidaka, K., Kawaguchi, K., Murakami, M., Takahashi, M., 2001. Downward transport of organic carbon by diel migratory micronekton in the western equatorial Pacific: its quantitative and qualitative importance. Deep-Sea Res. I. 48: 1923-1939.
- Huggett, J.A., 2014. Mesoscale distribution and community composition of zooplankton in the Mozambique Channel. Deep-Sea Res. II. 100: 119-135. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.021
- Isaacs, J.D., Schwartzlose, R.A., 1965. Migrant Sound Scatterers: Interaction with the Sea Floor. Science. 150, 1810–1813. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.150.3705.1810
- Isern-Fontanet, J., Font, J., García-Ladona, E., Emelianov, M., Millot, C., Taupier-Letage, I., 2004. Spatial structure of anticyclonic eddies in the Algerian basin (Mediterranean Sea) analyzed using the Okubo-Weiss parameter. Deep-Sea Res. II. 51: 3009-3028. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2004.09.013
- Jaquemet, S., Ternon, J.F., Kaehler, S., Thiebot, J.B., Dyer, B., Bemanaja, E., Marteau, C., Le Corre, M., 2014. Contrasted structuring effects of mesoscale features on the seabird community in the Mozambique Channel. Deep-Sea Res. II. 100: 200-211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.027
- Jena, B., Swain, D., Avinash, K., 2012. Investigation of the biophysical processes over the oligotrophic waters of South Indian Ocean subtropical gyre, triggered by cyclone Edzani. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 18: 49-56. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2012.01.006
- Jena, B., Sahu, S., Avinash, K., Swain, D., 2013. Observation of oligotrophic gyre variability in the south Indian Ocean: Environmental forcing and biological response. Deep-Sea Res. I. 80: 1-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2013.06.002
- Johnston, D. W., McDonald, M., Polovina, J., Domokos, R., Wiggins, S., Hildebrand, J., 2008. Temporal patterns in the acoustic signals of beaked whales at Cross Seamount. Biol. Lett. 4: 208–211. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2007.0614
- José, Y.S., Aumont, O., Machu, E., Penven, P., Moloney, C.L., Maury, O., 2014. Influence of mesoscale eddies biological production in the Mozambique Channel: Several contrasted examples from a coupled ocean-biogeochemistry model. Deep-Sea Res. II. 100: 79-93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.018
- Judkins, D.C., Haedrich, R.L., 2018. The deep scattering layer micronektonic fish faunas of the Atlantic mesopelagic ecoregions with comparison of the corresponding decapod shrimp faunas. Deep-Sea Res. I. 136: 1-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.04.008
- Kaartvedt, S., Røstad, A., Klevjer, T., Staby, A., 2009. Use of bottom-mounted echo sounders in exploring behavior of mesopelagic fishes. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 395, 109–118. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08174
- Klein, P., Lapeyre, G., 2009. The Oceanic Vertical Pump Induced by Mesoscale and Submesoscale Turbulence. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 1, 351–375. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163704
- Kloser, R.J., Ryan, T., Sakov, P., Williams, A., Koslow, J.A., 2002. Species identification in deep water using multiple acoustic frequencies. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 59:1065-1077.

- Kloser, R.J., Ryan, T.E., Young, J.W., Lewis, M.E., 2009. Acoustic observations of micronekton fish on the scale of an ocean basin: potential and challenges. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 66(6): 998–1006, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsp077
- Kloser, R.J., Ryan, T.E., Keith, G., Gershwin, L., 2016. Deep-scattering layer, gas-bladder density, and size estimates using a two-frequency acoustic and optical probe. ICES J Mar Sci. 73(8): 2037-2048. DOI:10.1093/icesjms/fsv257
- Lamont, T., Barlow, R.G., Morris, T., van den Berg, M.A., 2014. Characterisation of mesoscale features and phytoplankton variability in the Mozambique Channel. Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 100: 94–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.019
- Lampert, W., 1989. The Adaptive Significance of Diel Vertical Migration of Zooplankton. Functional Ecology, 3(1): 21-27. doi:10.2307/2389671
- Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Marchal, E., Menkès, C., Champalbert, G., Biessy, B., 2000. *Vinciguerria nimbaria* (micronekton), environmetn and tuna: their relationships in the Eastern Tropical Atlantic. Oceanologica Acta. 23(4): 515-528.
- Lehodey, P., Murtugudde, R., Senina, I., 2010. Bridging the gap from ocean models to population dynamics of large marine predators: A model of mid-trophic functional groups. Prog. Oceanogr. 84: 69-84. DOI:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.09.008
- Lévy, M., Klein, P., Treguier, A-M., 2001. Impact of sub-mesoscale physics on production and subduction of phytoplankton in an oligotrophic regime. J. Mar. Res. 59: 535-565.
- Lévy, M., Franks, P.J.S., Smith, K.F. 2018. The role of submesoscale currents in structuring marine ecosystems. Nature Communications. 9(4758). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-07059-3
- Longhurst, A., 2007. The Indian Ocean-Indian South Subtropical Gyre Province (ISSG). In: Academic Press (Ed.), Ecological Geography of the Sea, 2nd ed. Elsevier, USA, pp. 285.
- MacLennan, D.V., Fernandes, P.G., Dalen, J. 2002. A consistent approach to definitions and symbols in fisheries acoustics. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 59: 365-369. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2001.1158
- Mann, K.H., Lazier, J.R., 2006. Dynamics of marine ecosystems: biological-physical interactions in the oceans. (Third edition), John Wiley and Sons (2006).
- Marchal, E., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., 1996. Acoustic evidence for unusual diel behaviour of a mesopelagic fish (*Vinciguerria nimbaria*) exploited by tuna. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 53, 443–447. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1996.0062
- Marsac, F., Annasawmy, P., Noyon, M., Demarcq, H., Soria, M., Rabearisoa, N., Bach, P., Cherel, Y., Grelet, J., Romanov, E., 2020. Seamount effect on circulation and distribution of ocean taxa at and near La Pérouse, a shallow seamount in the southwestern Indian Ocean. Deep-Sea Res. Part II.
- McGillicuddy, D.J., Robinson, A.R., 1997. Eddy-induced nutrient supply and new production in Sargasso Sea. Deep-Sea Res. I. 44(8): 1427-1450.
- McGillicuddy, D.J., Robinson, A.R., Siegel, D.A., Jannasch, H.W., Johnson, R., Dickey, T.D., McNell, J., Michaels, A.F., Knap, A.H., 1998. Influence of mesoscale eddies on new production in the Sargasso Sea. Nature. 394: 263–266.
- McGillicuddy, D.J., 2016. Mechanisms of Physical-Biological-Biogeochemical Interaction at the Oceanic Mesoscale. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 8: 125-59. doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015606
- Ménard, F., Benivary, H.D., Bodin, N., Coffineau, N., Le Loc'h, F., Mison, T., Richard, P., Potier, M., 2014. Stable isotope patterns in micronekton from the Mozambique Channel. Deep-Sea Res II. 100: 153-163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.023
- Menkes, C.E., Allain, V., Rodier, M., Gallois, F., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Hunt, B.P.V., Smeti, H., Pagano, M., Josse, E., Daroux, A., Lehodey, P., Senina, I., Kestenare, E.,

Lorrain, A., Nicol, S., 2015. Seasonal oceanography from physics to micronekton in the south-west Pacific. Deep-Sea Res. II. 113: 125-144. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.10.026

- Mohn, C., Beckmann, A., 2002. The upper ocean circulation at Great Meteor Seamount. Ocean Dyn. 52: 179–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-002-0017-4
- Nishino, S., Itoh, M., Kawaguchi, Y., Kikuchi, T., Aoyama, M., 2011. Impact of an unusually large warm-core eddy on distributions of nutrients and phytoplankton in the southwestern Canada Basin during late summer/early fall 2010. Geophysical Research Letters. 38: L16602. doi:10.1029/2011GL047885, 2011
- Noyon, M., Morris, T., Walker, D., Huggett, J., 2018. Plankton distribution within a young cyclonic eddy off south-western Madagascar. Deep Sea Res. II. In Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.11.001
- Noyon, M., Rasoloarijao, Z., Huggett, J., Ternon, J-F., Roberts, M., 2020. Comparison of mesozooplankton communities at three shallow seamounts in the South West Indian Ocean. Deep Sea Res. Part II. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2020.104759
- Ockhuis, S., Huggett, J. A., Gouws, G., Sparks, C., 2017. The 'suitcase hypothesis': Can entrainment of meroplankton by eddies provide a pathway for gene flow between Madagascar and KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa?. African J Mar. Sci. 39(4): 435-451. https://doi.org/10.2989/1814232X.2017.1399292
- Ohman, M.D., Frost, B.W., Cohen, E.B., 1983. Reverse Diel Vertical Migration: An Escape from Invertebrate Predators. Science. 220(4604): 1404-1407. DOI: 10.1126/science.220.4604.1404
- Okubo, A., 1970. Horizontal dispersion of floatable particles in the vicinity of velocity singularities such as convergences. Deep Sea Res. 17: 445–454.
- Owen, R.W., 1980. Eddies of the California Current System: Physical and ecological characteristics, in The California Islands: Proceedings of a Multidisciplinary Symposium, edited by D. Power, 237 263. Santa Barbara Mus. of Nat. Hist., Santa Barbara, California.
- Owen, R.W., 1981. Fronts and eddies in the sea: mechanisms, interactions, and biological effects.197-233 in Longhurst, A. R., [Ed]. Analysis of marine ecosystems. Academic Press, New York.
- Parin, N.V., Prutko, V.G., 1985. Thalassal mesobenthopelagic ichthyocoen over the Equator submarine rise in the western tropical Indian Ocean. Okeanologya 25: 1017-1020 (in Russian).
- Perrot Y., Brehmer P., Habasque J., Roudaut G., Béhagle N., Sarre A., Lebourges-Dhaussy A., 2018. Matecho: an open-source tool for processing fisheries acoustics data. Acoustics Australia. 46(2): 241-248. ISSN 1839-2571
- Pollard, R., Read, J., 2017. Circulation, stratification and seamounts in the Southwest Indian Ocean. Deep-Sea Res. II. 136: 36-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.02.018
- Porteiro, F.M., Sutton, T., 2007. Midwater Fish Assemblages and Seamounts, in: Pitcher, T.J., Morato, T., Hart, P.J.B., Clark, M.R., Haggan, N., Santos, R.S. (Eds.), Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, pp. 101–116. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691953.ch6
- Potier, M., Marsac, F., Cherel, Y., Lucas, V., Sabatié, R., Maury, O., Ménard, F., 2007. Forage fauna in the diet of three large pelagic fishes (lancetfish, swordfish and yellowfin tuna) in the western equatorial Indian Ocean. Fisheries Res. 83: 60-72. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2006.08.020
- Pous, S., Lazure, P., André, G., Dumas, F., Halo, I., Penven, P., 2014. Circulation around La Réunion and Mauritius islands in the south-western Indian Ocean: A modeling perspective. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans. 119: 1957-1976. doi:10.1002/2013JC009704

- Pripp, T., Gammelsrød, T., Krakstad, J.O., 2014. Physical influence on biological production along the western shelf of Madagascar. Deep-Sea Res. II. 100: 174-183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.025
- Proud, R., Handegard, N.O., Kloser, R.J., Cox, M.L., Brierley, A.S., 2018. From siphonophores to deep scattering layers: uncertainty ranges for the estimation of global mesopelagic fish biomass. ICES J. Mar. Sci. fsy037, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy037
- Raj, R.P., Peter, B.N., Pushpadas, D., 2010. Oceanic and atmospheric influences on the variability of phytoplankton bloom in the Southwestern Indian Ocean. J. Mar. Syst. 82, 217–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.05.009
- Ramanantsoa, J.D., Krug, M., Penven, P., Rouault, M., Gula, J., 2018. Coastal upwelling south of Madagascar: Temporal and spatial variability. J. Mar. Sci. 178: 29-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2017.10.005
- Royer, T.C., 1978. Ocean Eddies Generated by Seamounts in the North Pacific. Science 199, 1063–1064. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.199.4333.1063-a
- Ryan, T.E., Downie, R.A., Kloser, R.J., Keith, G., 2015. Reducing bias due to noise and attenuation in open-ocean echo integration data. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 72(8): 2482-2493. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv121
- Schlitzer, R., 2013. Ocean Data View, http://odv.awi.de
- Singh, A., Gandhi, N., Ramesh, R., Prakash, S., 2015. Role of cyclonic eddy in enhancing primary and new production in the Bay of Bengal. J. Sea Res. 97: 5–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2014.12.002
- Torgersen, T., Kaartvedt, S., 2001. *In situ* swimming behaviour of individual mesopelagic fish studied by split-beam echo target tracking. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 58: 346-354. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.1016
- Trenkel, V.M., Berger, L., Bourguignon, S., Doray, M., Fablet, R., Massé, J., Mazauric, V., Poncelet, C., Quemener, G., Scalabrin, C., Villalobos, H., 2009. Overview of recent progress in fisheries acoustics made by Ifremer with examples from the Bay of Biscay. Aquat. Living Resour. 22: 433-445. doi: 10.1051/alr/2009027
- Vianello, P., Herbette, S., Ternon, J-F., Demarcq, H., Roberts, M., 2020. Circulation and hydrography in the vicinity of a shallow seamount on the northern Madagascar Ridge. Deep Sea Res. Part II.
- Watanabe, H., Kawaguchi, K., Hayashi, A., 2002. Feeding habits of juvenile surface migratory myctophid fishes (family Myctophidae) in the Kuroshio region of the western North Pacific. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 236: 263–272. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps236263
- Weiss, J., 1991. The dynamics of enstrophy transfer in two-dimensional hydrodynamics. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. 48: 273–294.
- White, M., Bashmachnikov, I., Arstegui, J., Martins, A., 2007. Physical Processes and Seamount Productivity, in: Pitcher, T.J., Morato, T., Hart, P.J.B., Clark, M.R., Haggan, N., Santos, R.S. (Eds.), Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, pp. 62–84. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691953.ch4
- Wilson, C.D., Boehlert, G.W., 2004. Interaction of ocean currents and resident micronekton at a seamount in the central North Pacific. J. Mar. Syst. 50: 39–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.09.013

List of figures:

Figure 1(a) Map of MAD-Ridge and La Pérouse CTD stations (diamond symbols) conducted in the East African Coastal (EAFR) and Indian South Subtropical Gyre (ISSG) provinces respectively. Longhurst's (2007) biogeochemical provinces are delimited by black solid lines. Landmasses are shown in grey and seamount summits in red. (b) La Pérouse CTD stations are plotted on the bathymetry (m). The colour bar represents the depth (m) below the sea surface.

Figure 2 Satellite surface absolute dynamic height (m) on 19 November 2016 during MAD-Ridge cruise. Geostrophic velocity vectors (m s⁻¹) (black arrows) and the position of CTD stations numbered 1-31 (grey dots) are superimposed along the West-East (W-E) and South-North (S-N) transects. Madagascan landmass is shown in orange.

Figure 3 Vertical distributions of (a) current speed (cm s⁻¹), (b) temperature (°C), and (c) chlorophyll a (mg m⁻³) for MAD-Ridge Leg 1 West-East transect (CTD stations labelled 1-15, left panels) across the cyclonic (C) and anticyclonic (AC) eddies, and South-North transect (CTD stations labelled 16-31, right panels) across the anticyclonic eddy and shelf (Sf). The MAD-Ridge seamount and the Madagascan shelf are shown in grey.

Figure 4 West-East (W-E) transect of MAD-Ridge: Mean micronekton acoustic density (s_A , $m^2 nmi^{-2}$) from Day_I to Day_V and Night_I to Night_IV: grey for surface layer (10-200 m), white for intermediate layer (200-400 m) and black for deep layer (400-735 m). Stacked bars are labelled: C (Cyclonic), C/D.I (Cyclonic/Dipole Interface), S/AC (Summit/ Anticyclonic), AC (Anticyclonic), AC/P (Anticyclonic/ Eddy periphery) and D.I (Dipole Interface).

Figure 5 Echogram of the 38 kHz frequency across CTD stations 6-8 (denoted by striped bars). Deep topographic features X and Y and MAD-Ridge seamount are labelled in red. Periods corresponding to sunset, night, sunrise and day are denoted by red, blue, violet and gold horizontal rectangles, respectively. Colour bar indicates s_A in m² nmi⁻².

Figure 6 RGB composite images of S_V values (dB re 1 m⁻¹) across cyclonic CTD stations 2-3 and 4 and anticyclonic stations 10-12 and stations 13-15 (denoted by striped bars). The 38, 70 and 120 kHz frequencies were given red, green and blue colour codes, respectively, as explained in the methods. Periods corresponding to sunset, night, sunrise and day are denoted by red, blue, violet and gold horizontal rectangles, respectively.

Figure 7 South-North (S-N) transect of MAD-Ridge: Mean micronekton acoustic density (s_A , $m^2 nmi^{-2}$) from Day_VI to Day_X and Night_VI to Night_IX: grey for surface layer (10-200 m), white for intermediate layer (200-400 m) and black for deep layer (400-735 m). Stacked bars are labelled: AC (Anticyclonic), AC/F/S (Anticyclonic/Flank/Summit), S/F/AC (Summit/Flank/Anticyclonic), AC/P (Anticyclonic/Eddy periphery) and AC.P/S (Anticyclonic eddy periphery and shelf), S/AC (Summit/Anticyclonic) and AC.P (Anticyclonic eddy periphery).

Figure 8 RGB composite images of S_V values (dB re 1 m⁻¹) at anticyclonic CTD stations 21-23 and shelf stations 30-31 (labelled by striped bars). The 38, 70 and 120 kHz frequencies were given red, green and blue colour codes respectively. Periods corresponding to sunset, night, sunrise and day are denoted by red, blue, violet and gold horizontal rectangles, respectively.

Figure 9(a) Boxplots of total micronekton acoustic densities (s_A , m^2 nmi⁻²) of the 38 kHz frequency in the deep (400-735 m), intermediate (200-400 m), surface layers (10-200 m) and total water column (10-735 m) for the transects at CTD stations: 10-13, 16-19, 24, 25 (AC: anticyclonic); 2, 3, 4 (C: cyclonic); 5 (Dipole Interface); 7, 9, 20, 23 (Flank/AC); 30, 31 (Shelf); and 8, 21, 22 (Summit/AC) of the MAD-Ridge cruise. Mean and standard deviations of the variables (b) SLA (m), (c) Temperature at 100 m, (d) Fmax depth (m), (e) integrated chlorophyll *a* between 2-200 m (mg m⁻³), and (f) zooplankton biovolume (mm³ m⁻³) are plotted for the AC, C, Dipole I., Flank/AC, Shelf and Summit/AC stations.

Figure 10 Satellite surface absolute dynamic height (m) on 16 September 2016 during the La Pérouse cruise showing La Pérouse seamount (black star). Geostrophic velocity vectors (m s⁻¹) (black arrows) are superimposed. Réunion landmass is shown in orange.

Figure 11 Map of La Pérouse and MAD-Ridge, daytime (yellow lines) and night-time (blue lines) acoustic transects plotted on the bathymetry. Colour bar indicates bathymetry (m) and the scale bar is given. Bar charts of mean micronekton acoustic densities (s_A , m^2 nmi⁻²) \pm standard deviations during the day and night: grey for surface layer (10-200 m), white for intermediate layer (200-400 m) and black for deep layer (400-735 m) at (a) La Pérouse and (b) MAD-Ridge.

Figure 12 RGB composite images of S_V values (dB re 1 m⁻¹) of (a) La Pérouse day, (b) MAD-Ridge day, (c) La Pérouse night and (d) MAD-Ridge night. The MAD-Ridge and La Pérouse summits are shown in black. The 38, 70 and 120 kHz frequencies were given red, green and blue colour codes, respectively. Regions of high S_V are denoted by "white patches" on the RGB composites.



b)

a)

La Pérouse



Figure 1



Latitude [°S]



Figure 3



Figure 4



Red: Sv 38 kHz; Green: Sv 70 kHz; Blue: Sv 120 kHz



Figure 6



Red: Sv 38 kHz; Green: Sv 70 kHz; Blue: Sv 120 kHz



Figure 8







Figure 10



Figure 11

Red: 38 kHz; Green: 70 kHz; Blue: 120 kHz



(b) MAD-Ridge Day



Table 1 List of MAD-Ridge Leg 1 classified hydrographic stations: UN for unresolved; C for Cyclonic; D.I for Dipole Interface; AC for Anticyclonic; S for summit; F for flank; Sf for Shelf.

Number Sunset (m) (n) (s ⁴) (s ⁴) Tage Tage Depth Callons 1 Day 0.89 -0.19 -1.21F-05 1.63F-11 23.68 35.36 157.3 UN 2 Day 0.82 -0.27 -1.9FE-05 5.00E-11 23.92 35.36 1930 C 3 Sunset 0.84 -0.25 -2.94E-05 -5.32E-10 23.72 35.30 1730 C 5 Day 1.08 -0.03 -5.80E-07 1.02E-10 24.37 35.14 1460 DI 6 Day 1.21 0.10 1.49Fe.05 6.14F-11 24.71 35.18 1760 UN 7 Day 1.30 0.23 3.15E-05 -5.32E-10 24.40 35.22 240 \$/AC 9 Day 1.46 0.37 2.54E-05 -5.62E-10 24.33 35.22 140 AC 11 Day 1.44	Station	Day/	ADT	MSLA	Vorticity	Okubo-Weiss	Temper-	Salinity	Sea floor	Classifi-
Image Image <th< th=""><th>Number</th><th>Sunset</th><th>(m)</th><th>(m)</th><th>(s⁻¹)</th><th>(s⁻²)</th><th>ature (°S) TSG</th><th>(PSU) TSG</th><th>Depth</th><th>cations</th></th<>	Number	Sunset	(m)	(m)	(s ⁻¹)	(s ⁻²)	ature (°S) TSG	(PSU) TSG	Depth	cations
1 Day 0.89 -0.19 -1.21E-05 1.63E-11 23.68 35.36 1573 UN 2 Day 0.82 -0.27 -1.97E-05 5.00E-11 23.92 35.36 1930 C 3 Sunset 0.84 -0.25 -2.94E-05 -5.32E-10 23.89 35.36 1630 C 4 Day 0.96 -0.15 -1.50E-05 -4.97E-10 23.72 35.30 1730 C 5 Day 1.08 -0.03 -5.80E-07 1.02E-10 24.37 35.14 1460 D1 6 Day 1.30 0.20 2.86E-05 -3.34E-10 24.40 35.21 670 F/AC 8 Day 1.36 0.25 3.14E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.22 240 S/AC 10 Day 1.44 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.09E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 11 Day 1.44 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>(m)</th><th></th></t<>									(m)	
2 Day 0.82 -0.27 -1.97E-05 5.00E-11 23.92 35.36 1930 C 3 Sunset 0.84 -0.25 -2.94E-05 -5.32E-10 23.89 35.38 1630 C 4 Day 0.96 -0.15 -1.50E-05 -4.97E-10 23.72 35.30 1730 C 5 Day 1.08 -0.03 -5.80E-07 1.02E-10 24.37 35.14 1460 D.1 6 Day 1.21 0.10 1.49E-05 6.14E-11 24.71 35.18 1760 UN 7 Day 1.30 0.20 2.86E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.22 240 S/AC 9 Day 1.36 0.25 3.14E-05 -5.33E-10 24.31 35.28 1630 AC 11 Day 1.42 0.32 3.01E-05 -5.62E-10 24.42 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.44	1	Day	0.89	-0.19	-1.21E-05	1.63E-11	23.68	35.36	1573	UN
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	Day	0.82	-0.27	-1.97E-05	5.00E-11	23.92	35.36	1930	С
4 Day 0.96 -0.15 -1.50E-05 -4.97E-10 23.72 35.30 1730 C 5 Day 1.08 -0.03 -5.80E-07 1.02E-10 24.37 35.14 1460 D.1 6 Day 1.21 0.10 1.49E-05 6.14E-11 24.71 35.18 1760 UN 7 Day 1.30 0.20 2.86E-05 -3.34E-10 24.40 35.21 670 F/AC 8 Day 1.36 0.25 3.14E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.22 240 S/AC 9 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.71E-10 24.43 35.28 1733 AC 11 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.671E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 14 Day 1.31 0	3	Sunset	0.84	-0.25	-2.94E-05	-5.32E-10	23.89	35.38	1630	С
5 Day 1.08 -0.03 -5.80E-07 1.02E-10 24.37 35.14 1460 D.I 6 Day 1.21 0.10 1.49E-05 6.14E-11 24.71 35.18 1760 UN 7 Day 1.30 0.20 2.86E-05 -3.34E-10 24.40 35.21 670 F/AC 8 Day 1.36 0.25 3.1E-05 -4.92E-10 24.33 35.22 240 S/AC 9 Day 1.42 0.32 3.01E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.28 1600 AC 11 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.71E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -6.60PE-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.1	4	Day	0.96	-0.15	-1.50E-05	-4.97E-10	23.72	35.30	1730	С
6 Day 1.21 0.10 1.49E-05 6.14E-11 24.71 35.18 1760 UN 7 Day 1.30 0.20 2.86E-05 -3.34E-10 24.40 35.21 670 F/AC 8 Day 1.33 0.23 3.15E-05 -4.92E-10 24.39 35.22 240 S/AC 9 Day 1.36 0.25 3.14E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.22 645 F/AC 10 Day 1.42 0.32 3.01E-05 -5.85E-10 24.31 35.28 1600 AC 11 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.71E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -5.62E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.32 2.55E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.18 0.1	5	Day	1.08	-0.03	-5.80E-07	1.02E-10	24.37	35.14	1460	D.I
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6	Day	1.21	0.10	1.49E-05	6.14E-11	24.71	35.18	1760	UN
8 Day 1.33 0.23 3.15E-05 -4.92E-10 24.39 35.22 240 S/AC 9 Day 1.36 0.25 3.14E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.22 645 F/AC 10 Day 1.42 0.32 3.01E-05 -5.85E-10 24.31 35.28 1733 AC 11 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -6.71E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 110 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.	7	Day	1.30	0.20	2.86E-05	-3.34E-10	24.40	35.21	670	F/AC
9 Day 1.36 0.25 3.14E-05 -5.33E-10 24.33 35.22 645 F/AC 10 Day 1.42 0.32 3.01E-05 -5.85E-10 24.31 35.28 1600 AC 11 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.71E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -5.62E-10 24.55 35.29 1585 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 14 Day 1.31 0.27 1.81E-05 -3.23E-10 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 17 Day 1.43 0	8	Day	1.33	0.23	3.15E-05	-4.92E-10	24.39	35.22	240	S/AC
10 Day 1.42 0.32 3.01E-05 -5.85E-10 24.31 35.28 1600 AC 11 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.71E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -5.62E-10 24.55 35.29 1585 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 14 Day 1.31 0.27 1.81E-05 -3.23E-10 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.58 35.23 720 F/AC 20 Day 1.41	9	Day	1.36	0.25	3.14E-05	-5.33E-10	24.33	35.22	645	F/AC
11 Day 1.46 0.36 2.86E-05 -6.71E-10 24.49 35.28 1733 AC 12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -5.62E-10 24.55 35.29 1585 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 14 Day 1.31 0.27 1.81E-05 -3.23E-10 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0	10	Day	1.42	0.32	3.01E-05	-5.85E-10	24.31	35.28	1600	AC
12 Day 1.45 0.37 2.54E-05 -5.62E-10 24.55 35.29 1585 AC 13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 14 Day 1.31 0.27 1.81E-05 -3.23E-10 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.41 <	11	Day	1.46	0.36	2.86E-05	-6.71E-10	24.49	35.28	1733	AC
13 Day 1.41 0.35 2.55E-05 -6.09E-10 24.42 35.28 1505 AC 14 Day 1.31 0.27 1.81E-05 -3.23E-10 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.25 35.21 1927 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.24 257 S/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 <	12	Day	1.45	0.37	2.54E-05	-5.62E-10	24.55	35.29	1585	AC
14 Day 1.31 0.27 1.81E-05 -3.23E-10 24.40 35.23 1964 UN 15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.25 35.21 1927 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 21 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.41	13	Day	1.41	0.35	2.55E-05	-6.09E-10	24.42	35.28	1505	AC
15 Day 1.18 0.16 6.75E-07 7.35E-11 24.79 35.28 2110 UN 16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.25 35.21 1927 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 <	14	Day	1.31	0.27	1.81E-05	-3.23E-10	24.40	35.23	1964	UN
16 Day 1.25 0.22 1.94E-05 -2.80E-10 24.25 35.21 1927 AC 17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.32E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.48	15	Day	1.18	0.16	6.75E-07	7.35E-11	24.79	35.28	2110	UN
17 Day 1.34 0.28 1.95E-05 -2.20E-10 24.57 35.29 2380 AC 18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.58E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38	16	Day	1.25	0.22	1.94E-05	-2.80E-10	24.25	35.21	1927	AC
18 Sunset 1.41 0.32 2.44E-05 -3.40E-10 24.58 35.28 1674 AC 19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32	17	Day	1.34	0.28	1.95E-05	-2.20E-10	24.57	35.29	2380	AC
19 Day 1.43 0.33 2.69E-05 -4.79E-10 24.38 35.22 1668 AC 20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.58E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 <td< td=""><td>18</td><td>Sunset</td><td>1.41</td><td>0.32</td><td>2.44E-05</td><td>-3.40E-10</td><td>24.58</td><td>35.28</td><td>1674</td><td>AC</td></td<>	18	Sunset	1.41	0.32	2.44E-05	-3.40E-10	24.58	35.28	1674	AC
20 Day 1.41 0.31 2.76E-05 -4.98E-10 24.65 35.23 720 F/AC 21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.58E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 <td< td=""><td>19</td><td>Day</td><td>1.43</td><td>0.33</td><td>2.69E-05</td><td>-4.79E-10</td><td>24.38</td><td>35.22</td><td>1668</td><td>AC</td></td<>	19	Day	1.43	0.33	2.69E-05	-4.79E-10	24.38	35.22	1668	AC
21 Day 1.4 0.30 2.77E-05 -5.06E-10 24.84 35.24 257 S/AC 22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.58E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1747 UN 27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.15 1875 UN 29 Day 1.11	20	Day	1.41	0.31	2.76E-05	-4.98E-10	24.65	35.23	720	F/AC
22 Day 1.41 0.30 2.63E-05 -4.58E-10 24.40 35.24 255 S/AC 23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1747 UN 27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.73 35.15 1875 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.73 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.	21	Day	1.4	0.30	2.77E-05	-5.06E-10	24.84	35.24	257	S/AC
23 Day 1.41 0.30 2.58E-05 -4.32E-10 24.77 35.24 621 F/AC 24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1747 UN 27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.14 2125 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.77 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95	22	Day	1.41	0.30	2.63E-05	-4.58E-10	24.40	35.24	255	S/AC
24 Day 1.4 0.28 2.42E-05 -3.50E-10 24.77 35.24 1502 AC 25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1747 UN 27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.14 2125 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.77 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	23	Day	1.41	0.30	2.58E-05	-4.32E-10	24.77	35.24	621	F/AC
25 Day 1.38 0.27 2.22E-05 -2.12E-10 24.77 35.24 1585 AC 26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1747 UN 27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.14 2125 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.77 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	24	Day	1.4	0.28	2.42E-05	-3.50E-10	24.77	35.24	1502	AC
26 Day 1.32 0.22 1.50E-05 1.14E-11 24.46 35.14 1747 UN 27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.14 2125 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.73 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	25	Day	1.38	0.27	2.22E-05	-2.12E-10	24.77	35.24	1585	AC
27 Day 1.25 0.20 8.21E-06 1.09E-12 24.46 35.14 1916 UN 28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.14 2125 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.73 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	26	Day	1.32	0.22	1.50E-05	1.14E-11	24.46	35.14	1747	UN
28 Day 1.21 0.23 9.75E-06 -9.40E-11 24.47 35.14 2125 UN 29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.73 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	27	Day	1.25	0.20	8.21E-06	1.09E-12	24.46	35.14	1916	UN
29 Day 1.11 0.23 2.25E-06 3.08E-11 24.73 35.15 1875 UN 30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	28	Day	1.21	0.23	9.75E-06	-9.40E-11	24.47	35.14	2125	UN
30 Day 1.00 0.21 -7.82E-06 7.21E-11 24.77 35.21 1436 Sf 31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	29	Day	1.11	0.23	2.25E-06	3.08E-11	24.73	35.15	1875	UN
31 Sunset 0.95 0.19 -7.39E-06 1.82E-10 24.68 35.30 840 Sf	30	Day	1.00	0.21	-7.82E-06	7.21E-11	24.77	35.21	1436	Sf
	31	Sunset	0.95	0.19	-7.39E-06	1.82E-10	24.68	35.30	840	Sf