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Abstract

Ligands \( \mathbf{L}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{L}_2 \), respectively based on a cyclam and a cross-bridged cyclam scaffold functionalised at N1 and N8 by 6-phosphonic-2-methylene pyridyl groups are described. While
complexation of lanthanide (Ln) cations with \( \text{L}_2 \) was not possible, a family of complexes has been prepared with \( \text{L}_1 \), of the general formulae \([\text{LnL}_1\text{D}]\text{Cl}\) (Ln\(^{3+} = \text{Lu, Tb, Yb}\)) or \([\text{LnL}_1\text{D}]\) (Ln\(^{3+} = \text{Eu}\)). The solution, structural, potentiometric and photophysical data for these novel ligands and their complexes have been investigated, including solid-state study by X-ray diffraction (\( \text{L}_1, \text{L}_2 \) and [EuL\(_1\text{H}\)]), \(^1\)H NMR complexation investigations (Lu\(^{3+}\)) as well as UV-Vis absorption and luminescence spectroscopy in water and D\(_2\)O (pH \( \approx 7 \)). \( \text{L}_1 \) forms 1:1 metal-ligand stoichiometric octadentate complexes in solution. Importantly the pyridyl phosphonate functions are capable of simultaneous chelation to the metal centre and of interaction with a second metal centre. \(^1\)H NMR (Lu\(^{3+}\)) and spectrophotometric titrations of the isolated \([\text{TbL}_1]\) complex by EuCl\(_3\) salts demonstrated the formation of high order (hetero)polymetallic species in aqueous solution (H\(_2\)O, pH = 7). Global analysis of the luminescence titration experiment points to the formation of 4:1, 3:1, and 3:2 [TbL\(_1\)]:Eu heteropolymeric assemblies, exhibiting a strong preference to forming [TbL\(_1\)\(_3\)Eu\(_2\) at increased europium concentrations, with energy transfer occurring between the kinetically inert terbium complex and added europium cations.

**Introduction**

Lanthanide coordination complexes are a privileged class of luminescent materials, exhibiting several distinctive optical characteristics including long-lived excited states, sharp emission bands which are generally unperturbed by the ligand field,\(^1\) and the absence of a Stokes’ shift.\(^2,3,4\) These properties are a consequence of the valence electron configuration ([Xe]4f\(^n\), n = 0 – 14), involving Laporte forbidden f-f transitions between heavily shielded f-orbitals. The disallowed nature of the electronic transitions comprising absorption and emission gives rise to very low absorption coefficients \((1 – 10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\)^5 and long excited state lifetimes (\( \mu \text{s to ms} \) regime);\(^6\) the former is typically circumvented through the use of a sensitising donor chromophore to populate the
lanthanide excited state *via* the antennae effect.\textsuperscript{7,8} Consequently, the energy transfer properties of luminescent *f*-metal complexes are a fertile area of research.\textsuperscript{9,10,11,12,13} Indeed their unique hierarchical energy levels render them attractive candidates in this regard, as both donors and acceptors of energy, and it has previously been shown that efficient molecular inter-lanthanide energy transfer occurs in both homonuclear\textsuperscript{14,15} and heteronuclear complexes.\textsuperscript{16,17,18}

The synthesis of homopolynuclear lanthanide complexes has been explored for a range of conventional multi-chelating ligands,\textsuperscript{19,20,21,22} in addition to supramolecular architectures based upon helicates,\textsuperscript{23,24,25} expanded macrocycles,\textsuperscript{26} coordination cages,\textsuperscript{27,28} and other synergistic weak interactions.\textsuperscript{29} Conversely, the accessibility of covalent heteropolymetallic trivalent lanthanide complexes is challenging due to the comparable chemical properties and hence reactivity across the period, distinguishable only in their ionic radii with minute change in preferred coordination numbers and geometries. Despite this, heteropolymetallic architectures have been prepared *via* statistical approaches,\textsuperscript{14,15} by thermodynamic control of the coordination at specific sites\textsuperscript{30,31} or by refined approaches including post-synthetic modification strategies,\textsuperscript{32,33,34,35,36} orthogonal ligand deprotection,\textsuperscript{37} or through careful ligand design, which can resolve these minor differences.\textsuperscript{38,39,40}
Previous examples of controlled heteropolynuclear supramolecular assemblies displaying energy transfer; (a) Piguet’s Cr-Ln-Cr helicate, (b) phosphonated bipyridine complexes, and (c) tacn trispyridyl-6-phosphonate complexes.

In recent years heteropolynuclear complexes have shown significant utility in the burgeoning field of molecular upconversion (UC) consisting in the conversion of low energy photons into higher energy ones. This work was pioneered by Piguet et al. who reported the seminal example of solution state molecular upconversion in a Cr$_2$Eu helicate (Figure 1a). While this first example is a $d$-$f$ hybrid it demonstrates the utility of supramolecular assemblages in the formation of discrete heteropolynuclear complexes from labile metal precursors. In our laboratories we have built on a kinetic approach to form pure $f$-assemblies, in this case exploiting the strong interactions between Ln$^{3+}$ and phosphonate functions. Designing phosphonate
functionalised complexes which contain supplementary negatively charged oxygen donors enabled us to direct the coordination to additional lanthanide ions. These form in aqueous solution (pH = 7) via a combination of coordination and favourable electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged phosphonate donors and the cationic Ln^{3+} ions. In a first example an octadentate phosphonate functionalised bipyridine ligand chelated Ln^{3+} formed 1:1 stoichiometric complexes. Upon further addition of Ln^{3+} ions, this initiated formation of several heteropolynuclear assemblies (Figure 1b, [LnL]_2Ln’, [LnL]_2Ln’_2, and [LnL]_2Ln’_3) with no metal exchange at neutral pH between the Ln cation complexed by the ligands and the Ln’ outside. Importantly, using the deuterated version of the ligand, upconversion could be observed in D_2O via efficient energy transfer between two Yb^{3+} complex as donors and Tb^{3+} cations as acceptors, exhibiting characteristic Tb^{3+} emission in the visible region upon excitation of the Yb^{3+} in the near infra-red (NIR).

The strong chelation of lanthanide ions is important to enable control of their hierarchical assemblies and to limit their toxicity for potential biological applications. This is achieved by multidentate chelating ligands ensuring full encapsulation. Typically, such ligands are largely based upon azamacrocycles with oxo-functionalities, such as the prototypical dota, a (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane) tetraacetate ligand. A panoply of substituted azamacrocyclic ligands have previously been reported using picolinate and phosphonate functionalities. We recently reported a heteropolynuclear assembly utilising a tri-substituted 1,4,7-triazaacyclononane macrocycle (tacn) containing a hybridization of these ligand types; a 6-phosphonated pyridine Yb^{3+} complex (Figure 1c). These 1:1 complexes display C_3 symmetry in solution and present a convergent arrangement of negatively charged phosphonated donors capable of coordination to a
second metal, forming [(YbL)_2Tb_x] (x = 1 or 2) sandwich complexes with Tb^{3+} ions, allowing for
the first time observation of UC in an aqueous solution at the molecular scale.55

This work aims to build on previous work and design difunctionalised analogues of those
previously described using a cyclam (1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) macrocyclic scaffold. It
has been shown that subtle ligand effects and ligand geometries56 can drastically alter the relative
stabilities of dynamically assembled polynuclear species in solution.57,58 In this case we anticipate
that difunctionalised cyclam pyridyl phosphonate such as ligands L_1 and L_2 (Chart 1) will present
a different arrangement of the phosphonate, hence promoting the formation of higher order
supramolecular assemblies in solution. L_2 was originally designed specifically for this purpose,
since the presence of the ethyl N,N-cross-bridge should reinforce the propensity of the macrocycle
to adopt this desired convergent arrangement of phosphonates for lanthanide coordination, as
already demonstrated by us.50,59

![Chart 1. Structures of L_1H_4 (L_1 = te2pyp) and L_2H_4 (L_2 = cb-te2pyp) ligands.](image)

Results and Discussion

Ligand Synthesis and Structural Properties

The synthetic protocol for the preparation of the ligands is depicted in Scheme 1 and full
experimental details can be found in the experimental section.
Scheme 1. Synthetic protocol for the preparation of the ligands; L$_1$H$_4$ and L$_2$H$_4$; (i) K$_2$CO$_3$, MeCN, RT, 36 h (1 52%; 2 78%) (ii) 6 M HCl$_{(aq)}$, 100°C, 36 hr (L$_1$H$_4$ 75%; L$_2$H$_4$ 59%)

The cyclam ligands were crystallised in their neutral forms (i.e. zwitterionic species L$_1$H$_4$ or L$_2$H$_4$, vide infra) by slow evaporation of aqueous solutions of the ligands. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis for L$_1$H$_4$ reveals that the molecule crystallises in a centrosymmetric conformation with a divergent arrangement of the two pyridyl phosphonic moieties, which flank the central diprotonated cyclam macrocycle. The structure displays intramolecular hydrogen-bonding between the secondary nitrogen atoms of the macrocycle and the nitrogen atom of the pyridine arm (N1-N3 = 3.02941(2) Å), and co-crystallises with two molecules of H$_2$O in the asymmetric unit (Figure 2). The crystal packing consists of columnar arrangements of L$_1$ with offset intramolecular aromatic stacking, which mainly consists of hydrogen bonding between protonated macrocyclic nitrogen atoms and adjacent phosphonate groups (N3-O1 = 2.7374(2) Å)
and intramolecular phosphonate H-bonds (O3-O3 = 2.5817(2) Å). In addition, intermolecular CH-π interactions are observed between the pyridine arms and neighbouring aromatic methylene moieties (Cnt_{N1-C1-C2-C3-C4-C5-C6} = 3.5053(3) Å) (See Supplementary Information for crystal packing, **Section 3.1**).

**Figure 2.** Single crystal X-ray structure of \( \text{L}_1\text{H}_4 \) (ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level; non-heteroatom H-atoms omitted for clarity; centroid depicted as a black sphere; the dotted line represents intramolecular H bonding interactions)

Conversely the solid-state structure of \( \text{L}_2\text{H}_4 \) shows that the molecule crystallises in a non-centrosymmetric conformation, with a syn arrangement of the pyridyl phosphonate arms with pseudo \( C_2 \) symmetry (**Figure 3**). This syn structure is enforced by the requirement of the cross-bridged azamacrocycle, which results in a closed configuration and contiguity of the two pyridyl functionalities. In the solid-state, this closed state is augmented by intramolecular π-π stacking between the two pyridyl groups (Cnt_{Ar-Cnt_{Ar}} = 3.6287(1) Å), and intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the nitrogen atoms of the bis-protonated cross-bridged cyclam cavity (N2-N3 = 2.7228(1))
Å; N4-N5 = 2.7550(1) Å) (See Supplementary Information, Section 3.2). It is important to note that in both cases the neutral zwitterionic forms have been crystallised in agreement with the potentiometric data determined for \( \text{L}_1 \text{H}_4 \) and \( \text{L}_2 \text{H}_4 \) \textit{(vide infra)}. Both \( \text{L}_1 \) and \( \text{L}_2 \) indeed behave as amphoteric compounds with basic macrocyclic amines and acidic phosphonates. These markedly different hydrogen bonds networks seen for \( \text{L}_1 \text{H}_4 \) and \( \text{L}_2 \text{H}_4 \) in the solid state agree well with these acido-basic properties.

**Figure 3.** Single crystal X-ray structure of \( \text{L}_2 \text{H}_4 \) (ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level; non-heteroatom H-atoms omitted for clarity; centroids depicted as black spheres)

**Complex Synthesis and Structural Properties**

Having prepared the target cyclam ligands, complexation titrations were undertaken and followed by \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \) (D\(_2\)O, pD = 6.5-7.0, unbuffered; pH equilibrated after each addition, 400 MHz, 298 K, \textit{ca.} 40 mM). Initial investigations revealed that full complexation of \( \text{L}_1 \text{H}_4 \) with \( \text{LuCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \) did not occur at ambient temperature, instead an intermediate species with a single set of broad resonances was observed \( \textit{ca.} \) 0.5 eq. \( \text{LuCl}_3 \). As \( \text{L}_1 \) and \( \text{L}_2 \) display negatively charged
phosphonate units at pD 6.5-7.0 and coexist as a mixture of $L_1H_3/L_1H_2^{2-}$ and $L_2H_4/L_2H_3/L_2H_2^{2-}$ respectively (See potentiometric studies, *vide infra*), the observation that the pD of the solution is relatively insensitive to this initial complexation lead us to postulate that the intermediate complex involves chelation of the negatively charged pendant phosphonate groups only. Full complexation and sequestration of the metal within the macrocyclic cavity would liberate HCl from the protonated amines and thus drastically lower the pD of the solution. Thus, formation of the desired complexes was achieved by heating ($D_2O$, pD = 6.5-7.0, 80°C 18 h) and was monitored by $^1H$ NMR to enable accurate addition of the LnCl$_3.6$H$_2$O (Ln$^{3+}$ = Lu, Eu, Tb, Yb), ensuring formation of a stoichiometric 1:1 metal-ligand complex (Figure 4 for LuCl$_3$).

**Figure 4.** $^1H$ NMR ($D_2O$, pD = 6.5-7.0, 298 K, 400 MHz) titration experiment of $L_1H_2$ in the presence of increasing amounts of LuCl$_3$ after heating at 80°C (putative intermediate phosphonate Lu complex marked with an asterisk)

These complexes were acidified (pD = 3.5-4.0, Ln$^{3+}$ = Lu, Tb, Yb; pD = 5.3, Ln$^{3+}$ = Eu) and isolated after purification by reverse phase chromatography (Scheme 2). In the case of europium, instability at low pH under elevated temperatures (50°C) was observed. We also attempted synthesis of the corresponding lanthanum derivative which formed intractable mixtures under
identical complexation conditions and ultimately decomposed upon acidification (pD = 3.5-4.0). This instability is attributed to the larger ionic radii of lanthanum - and to a lesser extent europium - suggesting that Ln$^{3+}$ is not fully encapsulated in the macrocyclic cavity in the case of the larger Ln$^{3+}$ cations (*vide infra*). For the cross-bridged congener L$_2$H$_4$ it was not possible to obtain the target complexes under identical conditions, even when utilising extended reaction times or elevated temperatures under microwave irradiation. The sterically hindered X-ray structure of L$_2$ suggests that accessibility of the central cavity is limited, a consequence of the rigid cross-bridged and encumbrance of the bulky pyridyl phosphonate arms, and is the likely explanation behind the inability to form the desired complexes; indeed, except very few examples, cross-bridged cyclam variants typically display slower complexation kinetics than their simple cyclam analogues.$^{60,61}$

**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of the lanthanide complexes [LnL$_1$D$_2$]Cl (Ln$^{3+}$ = Eu, Tb, Yb, Lu) and [LnL$_1$H] (Ln$^{3+}$ = Eu)

Single crystals were obtained for EuL$_1$D by evaporation of a H$_2$O (pH ≈ 7) solution and the elucidated structure displays the neutral complex containing one monoprotonated and one fully deprotonated phosphonate function (*Figure 4*), in agreement with the physico-chemical data (*vide infra*). The compound crystallises in the non-centrosymmetric $P$ $21/c$ space group, with four molecules in the unit cell. The molecule is pseudo $C_2$ symmetric and eight-coordinate in the solid-state with six nitrogen donors (four azamacrocyclic and two pyridine nitrogen atoms), and two
oxygen donors from the pendant phosphonate groups, and exhibits significantly distorted square anti-prismatic geometry. The compound displays helical chirality and exists in the solid-state as a racemic mixture, two Δ and two Λ isomers. Examination of the top-down space-fill view of the structure reveals that the bound ion is well protected, indicating that ligation in the ninth coordination site is sterically encumbered, discouraging ligation of another ligand such as H$_2$O.

The europium ion exhibits typical Ln-N distances for cyclam complexes (Eu1-N: N2 = 2.6196(3) Å, N3 = 2.5835(3) Å, N4 = 2.6320(2) Å, N5 = 2.5896(3) Å),\textsuperscript{50} with shortened pyridine nitrogen distances (Eu1-N: N1 = 2.5448(3) Å, N6 = 2.5186(4) Å), and Eu1-O distances of 2.2313(3) Å (O1) and 2.2725(3) Å (O4). The europium ion is bound in an exocyclic fashion above the cyclam cavity with significant lateral displacement from the cyclam nitrogen centroid (Cnt$_{N2,N3,N4,N5}$-Eu1 = 1.5400(2) Å). The molecular packing in the structure is perpetuated through hydrogen bonding of the phosphate groups, notably a head-to-tail configuration via the azamacroyclic NH atoms which are divergent from the europium center and interact with a neighbouring phosphonate group (O5-N3 = 3.1659 Å; O5-N5 = 3.0164 Å), in addition to H-bonding with the included H$_2$O solvent molecules in the structure (O3-O7 = 2.5209(4) Å, O2-O9 = 2.8338(4) Å, O2-O10 = 2.9205(3) Å). The propensity of the phosphonate groups as H-bond acceptors highlights their capacity as ditopic ligands, capable of interacting with multiple electropositive moieties simultaneously, and is promising with respect to formation of polynuclear architectures.
Figure 4. (left) Single crystal X-ray structure of [EuL1D] (ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level; non-heteroatom H-atoms and solvent are omitted for clarity; centroid depicted as a black sphere); (right) Space-fill representation

Potentiometric Acido-Basic Properties

The ligand L1 and its bridged analogue L2 both contain four amino functions, two pyridine and two phosphonate moieties. Thus, ten ionizable units lead to the formation of various protonated species. Potentiometric measurements were undertaken (pH ≈ 2.5-11) to characterise the majority of them (See Supplementary Information, Section 4). Some of the protonation constants were
indeed difficult to measure with high accuracy due to their low values ($\log K^H \ll 2$, *vide infra*). Table 1 summarises the data resulting from the statistical processing of the potentiometric data.

For **L1**, five protonation constants were accurately determined, while only four pK$_a$ values were calculated for its bridged analogue **L2**. For **L1**, the two highest protonation constants ($\log K_{1H} = 11.17(3)$ and $\log K_{2H} = 10.87(3)$) were easily attributed to the two secondary amines, in agreement with solid-state structural data (**Figure 2**) and were found to be comparable to those measured for related systems such as cyclam ($\log K_{11} = 11.29$ and $\log K_{12} = 10.19(1)$, $\log K_{11} = 11.585(5)$ and $\log K_{12} = 10.624(4)$), and cyclam bis-picinate (te2pa, $\log K_{11} = 11.12(2)$ and $\log K_{12} = 10.36(1)$). The two other protonation constants of the macrocyclic cyclam scaffold (*i.e.* the two tertiary amines) were estimated to be lower than 2.5 (*e.g.* $\log K_{13} = 2.43^{65}/2.27^{66}$ and $\log K_{14} = 1.97^{64}/1.43^{68}$ for cyclam), primarily due to Coulombic repulsions between the positive charges resulting from the successive protonation of the secondary and tertiary amines of the cyclam subunit. In agreement with cb-te2pa, the first protonation constant of **L2** was too high to be accurately determined ($\log K_{1H} > 13$). Only the second protonation for the amino function of the azamacrocyclic backbone was evaluated ($\log K_{2H} = 9.55(3)$) in agreement with the values determined previously for cb-cyclam ($\log K_{2H} = 10.20$) and cb-te2pa ($\log K_{2H} = 9.55(4)$) (**Table 1**). Indeed, cb-cyclam, cb-te2pa and **L2** characterised by a first protonation constant ($\log K_{11} = 12.42, 13.01$ and $> 13$ respectively) much higher than that of the corresponding parent cyclam, te2pa and **L1** ($\log K_{11} = 11.29, 11.12$ and 11.73 respectively). To rationalise these properties, stabilization of the first proton by intramolecular hydrogen bonds and solvation effects has been proposed.\(^{66}\) Likewise, the second protonation step is more difficult and agrees with the drastic decrease of the corresponding pK$_a$ values ($\log K_{12} = 10.20$ for cb-cyclam, $\log K_{12} = 9.55$ for cb-te2pa, $\log K_{12} = 9.55$ for **L2**).
The following two protonation constants of $L_1$ ($\log K_{13} = 6.71(6)$ and $\log K_{14} = 5.82(6)$) and $L_2$ ($\log K_{13} = 7.38(5)$ and $\log K_{14} = 6.51(6)$) have been assigned to the first protonation constants of each of the two opposite phosphonate – $\text{PO}_3^{2-}$ units (i.e. $\text{RPO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{RPO}_3\text{H}^-$), while the second protonation constants of the protonated phosphonate groups (i.e. $\text{RPO}_3\text{H}^- + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{RPO}_3\text{H}_2$) were estimated to be negligible, lower than 2. As a consequence, $L_1$ and $L_2$ predominate as $L_x\text{H}_2^{2-}$ and $L_x\text{H}_3^-$ species ($x = 1$ or 2) at pH 7.0 (See Supplementary Information, Section 4).

In the case of $L_1$, we determined a fifth protonation constant ($\log K_{15} = 2.70(6)$) that was attributed to one (or both) of the pyridine function(s) (vide infra). This is in agreement with reported data on amino-phosphonated-pyridine derivatives.\textsuperscript{68} For $L_2$, we were unable to evaluate accurately this corresponding protonation constant and the $\log K_{15}$ value was estimated to be $<< 2$. For $L_1$ and $L_2$, the other protonation constants (i.e. the two amine functions of the cyclam scaffold, two phosphonate protonation sites and the pyridine units) were estimated to be low ($< 2$) and could not be determined.

To confirm the p$K_a$ values of $L_1$ and $L_2$ deduced by potentiometric means, spectrophotometric titrations were conducted ($\lambda_{\text{abs}} = 220$ - 500 nm) as a function of pH (See Supplementary Information, Section 4)). In all cases, the aromatic pyridine groups constitute the main chromophores ($\pi-\pi^*$ transitions centered around ca. 270 nm; $\varepsilon \approx 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). For $L_1$, marked spectral variations were mainly observed in acidic and basic pH ranges. The statistical processing of the absorption spectrophotometric data against pH allowed accurate determination of three protonation constants. The most acidic protonation ($\log K_{15} = 2.5(2)$) induces the largest spectral amplitude change (i.e. hyperchromic shift) and is attributed to one (or two) pyridine functions. This value is in excellent agreement with the value obtained by potentiometry ($\log K_{15} = 2.70(6)$). An analogous protonation constant could not be determined accurately for the $L_2$ analogue, thus
indicating that this $pK_a$ value is $\ll 2$, in agreement with the overall basicity decrease of the cross-bridged cyclam scaffold.

At the other end of the pH scale, the most basic site ($i.e.$ mean value between log $K_{11}$ and log $K_{12}$ for $L_1$ and log $K_{12}$ for $L_2$) was assigned to the cyclam amine functions that can interact in a non-covalent manner ($i.e.$ hydrogen bonding with a 5-membered ring being formed upon protonation of the amine and subsequent hydrogen bonding with $N_{\text{pyr}}$) with the chromophoric pyridine subunit (Figure 2). For $L_1$, spectral changes at basic pH were relatively weak compared to marked changes for $L_2$, indicating that the pyridine chromophores interact more strongly in the case of $L_2$ with the cb-cyclam scaffold. This can be related to $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between the two pyridine units upon protonation of the cross-bridged cyclam scaffold.

The other protonation constants ($i.e.$ mean value between log $K_{13}$ and log $K_{14}$, Table 1) measured both for $L_1$ and $L_2$ were attributed to the phosphonate groups. The deprotonation of these functions has very little influence on the pyridine chromophores of these macrocyclic ligands as shown by the weak spectral variations measured at neutral to weakly acidic pH.

Consequently, the introduction of an ethylene cross-bridge in $L_2$ significantly alters the acid-base properties of the macrocyclic chelator, in particular those of the amine functions ($i.e.$ stabilization of the first proton, cf. cyclam vs. cb-cyclam). This is in line with the markedly different hydrogen bonds network seen in the solid state for $L_1H_4$ and $L_2H_4$ (Figures 2 and 3).
Table 1. Protonation constants ($\log K_{nH}$) for ligands $L_1$ and $L_2$ compared to literature data reported for closely related systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protonation site</th>
<th>$L_1$</th>
<th>$L_2$</th>
<th>cyclam$^{61}$</th>
<th>cb-cyclam$^{67}$</th>
<th>te2pa$^{64}$</th>
<th>cb-te2pa$^{56}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L + H \Leftrightarrow LH; \log K_{11}$</td>
<td>$11.17(3)^{b,e}$</td>
<td>$&gt;13^e$</td>
<td>$11.29^e$</td>
<td>$12.42^e$</td>
<td>$11.12(2)^e$</td>
<td>$13.01(2)^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LH + H \Leftrightarrow LH_2; \log K_{12}$</td>
<td>$10.87(3)^{b,e}$</td>
<td>$9.55(3)^{b,e}$</td>
<td>$10.19^e$</td>
<td>$10.20^e$</td>
<td>$10.36(1)^e$</td>
<td>$9.55(4)^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Mean (} K_{11} \text{ and } K_{12} \text{)}$</td>
<td>$11.1(2)^{c,e}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$9.52(2)^{c,e}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LH_2 + H \Leftrightarrow LH_3; \log K_{13}$</td>
<td>$6.71(6)^{b,d}$</td>
<td>$7.38(5)^{b,d}$</td>
<td>$1.61^e$</td>
<td>$3.38(2)^e$</td>
<td>$3.27(4)^e$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LH_3 + H \Leftrightarrow LH_4; \log K_{14}$</td>
<td>$5.82(6)^{b,d}$</td>
<td>$6.51(6)^{b,d}$</td>
<td>$1.91^e$</td>
<td>$2.63(1)^e$</td>
<td>$2.24(4)^e$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Mean (} \log K_{13} \text{ and } \log K_{14} \text{)}$</td>
<td>$6.1(2)^{c,d}$</td>
<td>$6.84(6)^{c,d}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LH_4 + H \Leftrightarrow LH_5; \log K_{15}$</td>
<td>$2.70(6)^b$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.5(2)^e$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1.39^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LH_2 + 2H \Leftrightarrow LH_4; \log K_{14}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$^{a}$I = 0.1 M (NaCl); $T = 25.0(2)$ °C; $[L] \sim 10^{-3}$ M. $^{b}$potentiometry. $^{c}$Absorption vs. pH titrations. $^{d}$phosphonate units. $^{e}$cyclam amino units. Charges omitted for the sake of clarity. $K_{lh} = [L_{1}H_{h}]/[L_{1}H_{h-1}][H]$. Values in parentheses are standard deviations (3σ) in the last significant digit.

All attempts to assess the affinity of the L$_1$ or L$_2$ ligands for the lanthanide cations were unsuccessful. Mirroring $^1$H NMR investigations, either complexation kinetics were extremely slow (i.e. L$_2$) or stabilities with Ln$^{3+}$ appears to be high (i.e. L$_1$) and preclude the use of potentiometric means for their direct determination. Furthermore, in the presence of amines lanthanide ions do not lead to charge transfer electronic transitions as Cu$^{II}$ does,$^{67,69}$ thus making it complicated to monitor by absorption spectrophotometry. Therefore, only the protonation constants of a pre-formed complex were determined, as these values influence the conditions required to produce polymetallic assemblies, for which phosphonate units need to be fully deprotonated. The Yb$^{3+}$ complex with L$_1$ was consequently prepared by mixing a solution of L$_1$ (1.42 mM) to an equimolar amount of Yb$^{3+}$. A slight excess of NaOH (ca. 6 equivalents) was added to the solution to ensure full deprotonation of the L$_1$ ligand and favour metal complexation. The solution was heated at 60 °C over two days to ensure full complexation. An aliquot of 5.5 mL of the solution was then used for potentiometric investigations. Statistical processing proved insightful. Even though it is an approximation, the stability of the YbL$_1$ complex was estimated to be higher than log $K = 25$ (i.e.,

assuming that below ~10%, a species cannot be accurately quantified by potentiometric means, the speciation diagrams of the system showed that the L1 protonated are < 10% at pH 2.5 for log $K = 25$ which thus defines the upper limit of the stability of the YbL$_1$ complex since no protonated L1 species could be detected), in agreement with the high denticity and structural arrangement of the macrocyclic chelator. Two p$K_a$ values (log $K_{111} = 5.74(9)$ and log $K_{112} = 3.5(1)$ with $K_{klh} = [M_{k}L_{k}H_{h}]/[M_{k}L_{k}H_{h-1}][H]$) were accordingly evaluated. On the basis of the solid-state structure of EuL$_1$H, and by comparison with phosphonate p$K_a$s in free L$_1$ (log $K_{13} = 6.71(6)$ and log $K_{14} = 5.82(6)$, vide supra), these two determined p$K_a$ values can confidently be attributed to the
uncoordinated oxygen atoms of the phosphonate units, the \( N \)-cyclam, \( N \)-pyridine and two \( O \)-phosphonate atoms being already involved in the \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) binding. These \( pK_a \) values are likely to be lowered with respect to the free ligand \( L_1 \) as a consequence of the involvement of these units in the \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) binding (i.e., \( \text{EuL}_1 \text{H} \) was crystallised without any counter-anion, one of the phosphonate compensated for the positive charge of the \( \text{EuL}_1 \) complex).

**Spectroscopic Characterization of the [LnL1] Complexes**

The UV-Visible spectrum of \([\text{LnL}_1]^+ \) (\( \text{Ln}^{3+} = \text{Eu}, \text{Tb}, \text{Yb} \)) recorded in tris.HCl buffer (10 mM, \( \text{pD} = 7.4 \)) is dominated by a high energy absorption band centered around \( \text{ca.} 270 \text{ nm} \), attributed to the \( \pi \rightarrow \pi^* \) transitions of the aromatic pyridyl phosphonate, together with a shoulder on the low energy tail, characteristic of the rigidification upon complexation of pyridyl rings. These absorptions are associated with extinction molar coefficient (\( \varepsilon \)) of 10300 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\), 10500 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) and 9400 M\(^{-1}\) for \([\text{EuL}_1]^+]\), \([\text{TbL}_1]^+]\), and \([\text{YbL}_1]^+]\) respectively. The excitation spectra recorded upon metal-centered emission shows little variation compared to the corresponding absorption spectra across the metals studied, confirming the antenna effect as responsible for emission sensitization (Figure 5).
Figure 5. Absorption (---, [EuL1]), excitation (,-,[EuL1], \( \lambda_{\text{em}} = 616 \text{ nm} \)) and emission spectra (\( \lambda_{\text{ex}} = 272 \text{ nm} \)) of [EuL1] \(^{-} \) (,-, 6.1 x 10\(^{-5} \) M), [TbL1] \(^{-} \) (,-, 2.96 x 10\(^{-5} \) M), and [YbL1] \(^{-} \) (,-, 2.9 x 10\(^{-5} \) M) recorded in D\(_2\)O at 293 K (pD = 7.4, tris.DCl buffer 10 mM).

The spectroscopically silent lutetium analogue LuL\(_1\) was used to determine the emissive properties of the ligand. The steady-state emission spectra of [LuL1] has been measured at 77K and at ambient temperature (See Supplementary Information, Section 5.1.2). From the phosphorescent emission measured at 77K, the energy level of the triplet state was determined to be 27930 cm\(^{-1}\),\(^{71}\) in line with previous studies with 6-phosphonated pyridine appendages. The corresponding lifetime was found to be 462 ± 2 ms. As expected, the phosphorescent emission did not persist at 293 K, reflecting the increased quenching at ambient temperature in such aerated media. The luminescent emission from the other photoactive complexes are as expected,\(^{72,73}\) exhibiting typical emission bands (Eu\(^{3+} = 5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_J \) with \( J = 0 \) to 4; Tb\(^{3+} = 5D_4 \rightarrow 7F_J \) , with \( J = 5 \) to 2, Yb\(^{3+} = 7F_{5/2} \rightarrow 7F_{7/2} \))^\(^4\) upon excitation at 272 nm (Table 2). The luminescence lifetimes in water and D\(_2\)O allowed for the calculation of the hydration numbers,\(^{74,75}\) which indicate that the octacooordinated ligand generally excludes formation of solvent adducts (\( q \) values 0.1 – 0.3), as evidenced in the solid state structure of the Eu\(^{3+} \) complex, although some quenching is still evident, potentially due to NH oscillators. In all cases the lifetime data were perfectly fitted to mono-exponential decays at 293 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>( \lambda_{\text{exc}} [\text{nm}] )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon [\text{M}^{-1}.\text{cm}^{-1}]^{*} )</th>
<th>( \tau_{H_2O}^{a} [\mu\text{s}] )</th>
<th>( \tau_{D_2O}^{a} [\mu\text{s}] )</th>
<th>( \phi_{H_2O}^{b} )</th>
<th>( \phi_{D_2O}^{b} )</th>
<th>( q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[EuL1](^{-} )</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>10300</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TbL1](^{-} )</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[YbL1](^{-} )</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.1-0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Photophysical properties of [LnL1]\(^{-} \) complexes in tris buffer (10 mM; pH = 7.0; or pD = 7.2 ([TbL1]\(^{-} \) and [YbL1]\(^{-} \)) and 7.4 ([EuL1]\(^{-} \))).
Reference compounds for the luminescence quantum yield: [Ru(bipy)$_3$Cl$_2$] in water ($\Phi = 0.04$)\(^76\) as reference for Eu, Rhodamine 6G in water ($\Phi = 0.81$)\(^77\) as reference for Tb and cardiogreen (IR125) in MeOH ($\Phi = 0.078$) for Yb.\(^78\) *values obtained in water.  
\(^a\)Estimated error ± 10%. \(^b\)Estimated Error ± 15%.

The overall luminescence quantum yield of the [Eu$\text{L}_1$]$^-$ and [Tb$\text{L}_1$]$^-$ complexes are rather weak, being almost half of the values obtained for complexes based on pyridyl carboxylate\(^79\) or phosphinate arms.\(^80\) To elucidate the sensitization efficiency of the ligand, $\eta_{\text{sens}}$, and the metal-centered luminescence quantum yield of the Eu$^{3+}$ complex, $\phi_{\text{Eu}}$, the radiative rate constant $k_{\text{rad}}$ was determined from the ratio of emission bands of Eu (I(0,1) / I$_{\text{tot}}$) according to an established protocol (Table 3).\(^81\) The $\eta_{\text{sens}}$ values of 0.17 and 0.14 (respectively in H$_2$O and D$_2$O) determined indicate that the efficacy of sensitization of the europium center by the ligand triplet state is a limiting factor in efficient energy transfer,\(^82\) probably as a result of the large energy gap between the triplet excited state (27,393 cm$^{-1}$, vide supra) and $^5\text{D}_0$ level of Eu at 17,200 cm$^{-1}$. ($\Delta E >> 5000$ cm$^{-1}$).\(^71\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>$I(0,1)$ / $I_{\text{tot}}$ (^a)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{rad}}$ [s$^{-1}$] (^b)</th>
<th>$K_{\text{obs}}$ [s$^{-1}$] (^b)</th>
<th>$\phi_{\text{Eu}}$ (^\text{Eu}c)</th>
<th>$\phi_{\text{L}}$ (\text{Eu}c)</th>
<th>$\eta_{\text{sens}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Eu$\text{L}_1$]$^-$ (H$_2$O)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Eu$\text{L}_1$]$^-$ (D$_2$O)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3. Calculated parameters for the determination of the efficiency of sensitization for [Eu$\text{L}_1$]$^-$ complex in H$_2$O and D$_2$O. \(^a\) Estimated error ± 5%. \(^b\) Estimated error ± 10%. \(^c\) Estimated Error ± 15%.

Considering the potential interest of the Yb$^{3+}$ complex for UC applications, variable temperature luminescence spectra and lifetimes were recorded in aqueous solution containing 10% glycerol.
(Figure 6 and Table 4). At low temperature, the spectrum is dominated by three intense emission bands at 980, 992 and 1010 nm, with a shoulder on the low energy tail of the band at 992 nm. Upon heating, the bands widened with the appearance of hot bands appearing at \textit{ca.} 960 nm. The Yb$^{3+}$ excited state lifetime was determined to be mono-exponential at ambient temperature in the mixture containing glycerol, with a value slightly higher than in H$_2$O. However, when the temperature was decreased, a bi-exponential decay was observed with a short-lived component amounting to \textit{ca.} 90\% of the observed signal. The origin of these two Yb$^{3+}$ sites is not perfectly clear and may arise from the presence of two isomeric forms, as it can be observed for other complexes like [Ln(dota)] for which the square antiprismatic (SAP) and twisted square antiprismatic (TSAP) forms could be observed with different spectroscopic properties. The presence of two species in equilibrium has also been reported for a closely related cyclam bis-picolinate complex.

![Figure 6. Evolution of the emission spectrum of [YbL$_1$]$^-$ by increase of the temperature. [YbL$_1$]$^-$ = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ M in 90 \% H$_2$O (tris.HCl buffer, pH = 7.0, 10 mM) and 10\% glycerol.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>77</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>175</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 4. Variation of \([\text{YbL}_1^-]\) lifetime with temperature. \([\text{YbL}_1^-] = 2.47 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M in 90\% H}_2\text{O (tris.HCl buffer, pH = 7.0, 10 mM) and 10\% glycerol.}

| \(\tau_1 [\mu\text{s}]\) & 10.8 & 9.3 & 8.1 & 7.4 & 6 & 3.7 & 3.6 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| (86\%)         | (86\%) | (92\%) | (94\%) | (96\%) | (92\%) | (100\%) |

| \(\tau_2 [\mu\text{s}]\) & 30.6 & 28.2 & 26.3 & 24.4 & 26.9 & 13.5 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| (14\%)         | (14\%) | (8\%)  | (6\%)  | (4\%)  | (8\%)  | (8\%)  |

Solution and Spectroscopic Studies of Heteropolynuclear Assemblies

The promising structural and photophysical properties highlighted above, coupled with prior precedent of formation of polyatomic species in aqueous solutions,\textsuperscript{47,48,54,55} prompted us to investigate formation of heteropolynuclear lanthanide conjugates. Previous examples of 6-phosphonated pyridyl complexes exhibiting \(C_3\) symmetry and containing a convergent array of three phosphonate groups resulted in speciation of heteropolynuclear assemblies, primarily of 2:1 stoichiometry, with two complexes arranged around a central metal ion. It was anticipated that the differing \(C_2\) symmetry of this new class of di-functionalised bisphosphonate complex \([\text{LnL}_1^-]\) should facilitate the formation of higher order polynuclear complexes in solution. To study these properties, a \(^1\text{H NMR investigation (298K, D}_2\text{O, pD = 6.5 – 7.0) was conducted, in which the lutetium complex [LuL}_1^-\) was titrated with increasing amounts of LuCl\textsubscript{3} (Figure 7).\textsuperscript{87}
Upon addition of lutetium cations characteristic resonance shifts are observed between 0.0 eq. and 3.0 eq., with broadening occurring upon increasing amounts of Lu$^{3+}$. Deshielding of the aromatic pyridyl resonances are apparent, consistent with coordination to a positively charged metal center. Large shifts are observed in the diastereotopic pyridyl methylenic resonances (4.90 ppm, 3.73 ppm; 0.0 eq. LuCl$_3$) indicating reorganization of the complex geometry, characteristic of ligand guest association. Examination of the cyclam methylenic resonance at 2.95 ppm (0.0 eq. LuCl$_3$) reveals atypical behaviour; initial upfield shifting followed by broadening and downfield shifting. Plotting $\Delta\delta$ as a function of equivalents of lutetium cations highlights this two-step behaviour (Figure 8).
Figure 8. Plot of $^1$H NMR shifts ($\Delta \delta$; ppm) of the signal of LuL$_1$ at 2.95 ppm (0.0 eq. LuCl$_3$) ppm as a function of equivalents of added LuCl$_3$·H$_2$O (400 MHz, 298K, D$_2$O, pD = 6.5 – 7.0 (unbuffered))

The chemical shifts indicate a non-linear, slightly sigmoidal, upfield shift up to a maximum around 0.65 equivalents of lutetium cation, after which point the resonance moves back downfield. This suggests the prevalence of multiple species below 0.65 eq, the point around which a 3:2 host/guest stoichiometry is established and is then disrupted as the stoichiometry exceeds 0.65 eq. of Lu$^{3+}$. Due to the presence of multiple host/guest species it was not possible to fit to a realistic binding model using the $^1$H NMR data. To further probe supramolecular assembly with lanthanide guests, luminescent titrations were conducted in which the [TbL$_1$]$^-$ complex was titrated by EuCl$_3$ in a buffered aqueous solution at pH = 7 (Figure 9) (Full titration data available in the Supplementary Information, Section 6.2.2).
Figure 9. left: Evolution of the Tb$^{3+}$ centered emission of [TbL$_1$]$^-$ upon titration with EuCl$_3$.6H$_2$O ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 278$ nm, [TbL$_1$]$^-$ = 9.80 \times 10^{-5}$ M, [Eu$^{3+}$]$_{\text{stock}}$ = 4.86 \times 10^{-4}$ M, tris.HCl 10 mM, pH = 7, excitation slit widths = emission slit widths = 0.5 nm). Inset: Evolution of the emission intensity ($\lambda_{\text{em}} = 545$ nm) and fit of the data according to the model (see text, data represented by points; fitted data represented by a solid black line). Right: Evolution of the Eu$^{3+}$ centered emission in the NIR region (excitation slit width = 20 nm; emission slit width = 0.3 nm). Inset: Evolution of the intensity at 698 nm and fit of the data according to the model (see text, data represented by points; fitted data represented by a solid black line).

Upon addition of Eu$^{3+}$ cations, we observed a dramatic decrease in the Tb$^{3+}$ centered emission upon ligand excitation ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 272$ nm), accompanied by the emergence of much weaker Eu$^{3+}$ centered bands, which were obscured by the dominant Tb$^{3+}$ emission. Monitoring of the spectra at lower energy (ca. 700 nm) allowed unequivocal surveillance of only the Eu$^{3+}$ based $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_4$ transition.

The diminution was particularly rapid up to ca. 0.25 eq. and slower thereafter. Considering the large differences in amplitude for the Tb and Eu emission signals, the NIR portion of the spectrum was monitored independently with larger emission slits. The factorial analysis embedded in the
global analysis of the data with the Specfit software\textsuperscript{88,89} indicated the formation of three new species \([(\text{TbL}_1)_4\text{Eu}], ([(\text{TbL}_1)_3\text{Eu}], \text{and } [(\text{TbL}_1)_3\text{Eu}_2])\) which could be conveniently fitted to the following model:

\[
\begin{align*}
4 \ [\text{TbL}_1] + \text{Eu} & \leftrightarrow [(\text{TbL}_1)_4\text{Eu}] \quad \log \beta_{4:1} = 18.9(2) \\
3 \ [\text{TbL}_1] + \text{Eu} & \leftrightarrow [(\text{TbL}_1)_3\text{Eu}] \quad \log \beta_{3:1} = 14.4(3) \\
3 \ [\text{TbL}_1] + 2 \ \text{Eu} & \leftrightarrow [(\text{TbL}_1)_3\text{Eu}_2] \quad \log \beta_{3:2} = 19.5(3)
\end{align*}
\]

(1) (2) (3)

The calculated speciation diagram obtained for the titration is displayed in Figure 10, while the reconstituted luminescence spectra of the species and the residuals of the fit are available in the Supplementary Information (Section 6.2.3). It is possible that the observation of emission of Eu\textsuperscript{3+} upon ligand excitation could be the result of Tb\textsuperscript{3+}/Eu\textsuperscript{3+} exchange in the cavity of the ligand or via Tb\textsuperscript{3+} to Eu\textsuperscript{3+} energy transfer. A spectrofluorimetric titration of the \([\text{EuL}_1]\) complex by TbCl\textsubscript{3}.6H\textsubscript{2}O revealed only minute variations of the Eu\textsuperscript{3+} centered emission, excluding the hypothesis of cation scrambling (Supplementary Information, Section 6.2.4). This indicates that under the experimental conditions the complexes \([\text{TbL}_1]\) are kinetically inert and the emergence of europium emission is ascribed to intermetallic \textit{f-f} energy transfer.
**Figure 10.** Evolution of the concentration of the species formed during the titration of [TbL₁]⁻ by EuCl₃.

The Tb³⁺ to Eu³⁺ energy transfer was investigated on the basis of luminescence decay measurements on both Tb³⁺ (λ<sub>em</sub> = 545 nm) and Eu³⁺ (λ<sub>em</sub> = 698 nm) emission upon ligand excitation. The full data set can be found in the Supplementary Information (Section 6.2.5). Surprisingly, the Tb³⁺ lifetime rapidly diminished at the beginning of the titration up to ca. 0.2 eq., but in all cases remained mono-exponential. This behavior was putatively attributed to a rapid exchange between free [TbL₁]⁻ and the [(TbL₁)₄Eu] species. After 0.2 eq. a bi-exponential behavior was observed, pointing to at least two distinct species, one with the lifetime of the free complex [TbL₁]⁻ (2.1 ms). The most interesting aspect was the evolution of the Eu³⁺ centered intensity (Figure 11).

**Figure 11.** Time-dependent evolution of the Eu centered emission intensity (λ<sub>em</sub> = 700 nm) upon pulsed excitation of the ligand (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 278 nm) at Eu³⁺:Tb³⁺ ratio of 1.9:1 (blue points represent data points; continuous black line represents fitted data).
Instead of displaying a conventional exponential decay, the intensity first rises before decaying. This behavior is typical of an energy transfer process with a rate constant of the same order of magnitude than the decay of the acceptor, and confirms that europium emission occurs as a consequence of energy transfer from a long-lived (i.e. terbium) emissive state. The differential equations describing the Tb$^{3+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$ excited state concentrations as a function of time are:

$$\frac{d[Eu^*]}{dt} = k_{ET}[Tb^*] - \frac{1}{\tau_{Eu}}[Eu^*] \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{d[Tb^*]}{dt} = -k_{ET}[Tb^*] - \frac{1}{\tau_{Tb}}[Tb^*] \quad (5)$$

In which $k_{ET}$ represents the Tb$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ energy transfer rate constant, $\tau_{Eu}$ and $\tau_{Tb}$ being the luminescence lifetime of Eu$^{3+}$ and of Tb$^{3+}$ in absence of Eu$^{3+}$, respectively. The full resolution of the system is explained in the Supporting Information (Section 6.2.6). Finally, the evolution of the Eu$^{3+}$ excited state is given by a sum of two exponential functions (equation (6)).

$$[Eu(t)] = \left([Eu_0] + \frac{[Tb_0] \times k_{ET}}{\tau_{Tb} + k_{ET} \tau_{Eu}} \right) \times \exp\left(-t \frac{1}{\tau_{Eu}}\right) - \frac{[Tb_0]}{\tau_{Tb} + k_{ET} \tau_{Eu}} \times \exp\left(-t \times \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{Tb}} + k_{ET}\right)\right) \quad (6)$$

Taking the lifetime of $[TbL_1]^+$ at 2.10 ms, as found in absence of Eu, the fitting of the data (Figure 11) afforded values of $\tau_{Eu} = 336$ µs and $k_{ET} = 1.12 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$.

Considering that the energy transfer mechanism is based on a dipole-dipole Förster type mechanism, the energy transfer rate constant can be related to the Förster radius $R_0$, the distance at which half of the energy of the Tb donor is transferred to the Eu acceptor, and to the Tb-Eu distance $r$ by the relation:

$$k_{ET} = \frac{1}{\tau_{Tb}} \left(\frac{R_0}{r}\right)^6 \quad (7)$$

From which one can estimate the Tb to Eu energy transfer efficiency, $\eta_{ET}$:
\[ \eta_{ET} = \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{I_{TB}}{R_0})} = 1 - \frac{I_{TBEu}}{I_{TB}} = 1 - \frac{r_{TBEu}}{r_{TB}} \quad (8) \]

The energy transfer process determined thereby amounts to 96% efficiency. In parallel, the efficiency was also calculated on the basis of the loss of intensity in presence of Eu, \( I_{TBEu} \), compared to the intensity of the \([\text{TbL}_1^-] \) complex in absence of Eu, \( I_{TB} \). For this purpose, the calculated spectra obtained after the fitting procedure were used (See ESI, Section 6.2.3), leading to a value of 95% for the energy transfer efficiency. The energy transfer efficiency can also be estimated from the shortening of the Tb lifetime in the presence of an Eu acceptor. At 1.9 eq., a short-lived component at 0.27 ms could be measured, thus giving an estimation of \( \eta_{ET} \) to 87%, in good agreement with other calculations. It is unfortunately not possible here to further extract the Tb\(^{3+} \) to Eu\(^{3+} \) distance with equation (7) as this would require the knowledge of the Eu absorption spectrum for the \( f_f \) transition. Alternatively, values extracted from the literature for the \( R_0 \) of Tb/Eu donor/acceptor pairs range from 4.1\(^{14} \) to more than 10 Å\(^{15} \), allowing a rough estimation of the Tb-Eu distance from 2.8 to 6.8 Å respectively for an average value of 91% of the energy transfer efficiency.

Regardless of the distance, the energy transfer from the \([\text{TbL}_1^-] \) complex to the Eu\(^{3+} \) cation was shown to be very efficient. Accordingly, we expected that similar titrations in which the \([\text{YbL}_1^+] \) complex is titrated by TbCl\(_3 \) salts would present similarly high energy transfer efficiency with the possibility to observe an UC process.\(^{48,55} \) Unfortunately, this phenomenon could not be observed with this Yb/Tb pair even when performing the titrations in D\(_2\)O. We postulate that the origins of this absence of upconverted emission is thus not a result of the energy transfer process efficiency, since the communication between the Ln-Ln dyads has been shown to be high for the Eu/Tb pair. Rather we conclude that the lifetimes of the \([\text{YbL}_1^+] \) complex, which are diminished compared to our previously reported UC systems, are too short to facilitate formation of the Tb\(^{3+} \) excited state to any significant degree.
Conclusion

We have developed an efficient synthesis for the synthesis of two related novel frameworks, \( \text{L}_1\text{H}_4 \) and \( \text{L}_2\text{H}_4 \) via a controlled methodology: protection, functionalization by alkylation of the remaining secondary amine functionalities, and deprotection of the Boc and ester protecting groups. The ligand system \( \text{L}_1 \) was found to be an effective chelator for a range of lanthanide cations \((\text{Ln}^{3+} = \text{Lu}, \text{Eu}, \text{Tb}, \text{Yb})\) while complexation studies with ligand \( \text{L}_2 \) afforded only intractable mixtures of complexes. The solution and structural characteristics of the ligands have been investigated by NMR spectroscopy demonstrating \( C_2 \) symmetry in solution. Potentiometric studies revealed that the ligands generally retain the protonation properties of the unfunctionalised azamacrocyclic scaffold, cyclam, while exhibiting additional acido-basic phenomena attributable to the 6-pyridylphosphonic appendages, and broadly resemble previously reported picolinate derivatives.

The ligand \( \text{L}_1 \) forms 1:1 metal/ligand species with octadentate geometry in aqueous solution, largely excluding the coordination of ancillary solvent molecules in the first coordination sphere of the complexes \((q = 0.1-0.3)\). These simple 1:1 stoichiometric complexes \( \text{LnL}_1 \) have been rigorously characterised by UV-vis. and luminescence spectroscopies. Furthermore, these 1:1 complexes have been used in the formation of heteropolynuclear assemblies, studied by both \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR investigations \([^\text{LuL}_1]^- \text{with Lu}^{3+}, \text{D}_2\text{O}, \text{pD} = 6.5 - 7.0 \) and spectrofluorometric titrations \([^\text{TbL}_1]^- \text{with Eu}^{3+}, \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{pH} = 7 \). Global fitting of the emission experiments showed formation of 4:1, 3:1, and 3:2 heteropolynuclear assemblies, with highly efficient energy transfer (>90% efficiency, \([\text{TbL}_1]_2\text{Eu}_3\)) occurring between the kinetically inert terbium complex and titrated europium cations. Despite high energy transfer efficiency, analogous spectrophotometric titrations
with the \([\text{YbL}_1]/\text{Tb}^{3+}\) dyad did not give observable UC energy transfer in heteropolynuclear assembles of these systems.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials and methods**

Commercial grade chemicals and solvents were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Where anhydrous solvents were used, they were degassed with \(N_2\) and passed through an MBraun MPSP-800 column, except in the case of anhydrous THF, which was dried over Na/benzophenone, vacuum distilled, degassed and stored over 3Å molecular sieves. Molecular sieves were activated by heated at 200 °C under dynamic vacuum for 18 hours. Where degassed solvents were used, they were degassed via freeze-pump-thaw (3-cycles) and stored over an atmosphere of argon. De-ionised water dispensed from a Millipore Milli-Q purification system was used in all cases. NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker Avance 500 (500 MHz), Bruker Avance 400 (400 MHz), or Bruker AMX-3300 (300 MHz) spectrometers. All chemical shift (\(\delta\)) values are given in parts per million and are referenced to the solvent. All coupling constants are quoted in Hz. All \(^{31}\text{P}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) spectra are proton decoupled unless otherwise stated, all \(^{13}\text{C}\) experiments were performed using the APT pulse sequence. In cases where solvent mixtures are used, the main solvent is used as the reference. In the case of the lanthanide titration experiments, these were performed in \(D_2O\) and referenced internally using a \(C_6D_6\) capillary. Where an apparent multiplet (e.g. app. t.) is quoted, \(J_{\text{app}}\) is given. Accurate masses were determined to four decimal places; ESI-HRMS analyses were performed at ICOA, Orléans, France. Elemental analysis and mass spectrometry analysis were carried out by the Service Commun d’Analyses of the University of Strasbourg. UV-vis. absorption spectra were recorded on a Specord spectrometer from Jena Analytics. Molar extinction coefficients were measured twice and given as a mean value. Steady
state emission spectra were recorded on a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog working with a continuous 450 W Xe Lamp and an Edinburgh Instrument FLP920 spectrometer working with a continuous 450 W Xe Lamp and a red sensitive R928 photomultiplier from Hamamatsu in Pelletier housing for visible detection (230 to 900 nm) or a Hamamatsu R5 509-72 photomultiplier for the Vis-NIR part. The Fluorolog was used for the terbium and europium studies and the Edinburgh for the ytterbium study. All spectra were corrected for the instrumental functions. For emission spectra upon UV excitation, a 330 nm cut-off filter was used to eliminate second order artefacts. Phosphorescence lifetimes were measured on the same instruments working in the Multi-Channel Spectroscopy (MCS) mode, using a Xenon flash lamp as the excitation source. For short millisecond lifetimes, the intensity decay was corrected from the lamp intensity decay profile using a scattering solution of Ludox in water. Luminescence quantum yields were measured according to conventional procedures, with optically diluted solutions (optical density <0.05), using [Ru(bipy)$_3$Cl$_2$] in water (Φ = 0.04) as reference for Eu, a bipyridine Tb complex, [TbL(H$_2$O)] in water (Φ = 0.81) as reference for Tb, and cardiogreen (IR125) in MeOH (Φ = 0.078) for Yb. The errors are estimated to be 10% on the lifetimes and 15% on the luminescence quantum yields. Hydrations numbers, $q$, were obtained using equation of Beeby et al. Absorption and spectrofluorimetric titration experiments were conducted according to previously published protocols. 

di-tert-butyl 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane-1,8-dicarboxylate, 1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (cb-cyclam), and diethyl (6-(chloromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)phosphonate were synthesised as previously described.

**Potentiometry**
**Starting Materials and Solvents.** Distilled water was purified by passing it through a mixed bed of ion-exchanger (BIOBLOCK Scientific R3-83002, M3-83006) and activated carbon (BIOBLOCK Scientific ORC-83005) and was de-oxygenated by CO$_2$- and O$_2$-free argon (SIGMA Oxiclear cartridge) just before use. The stock solutions were prepared by weighing solid products on an AG 245 METTLER TOLEDO analytical balance (precision 0.01 mg). The ionic strength was maintained at 0.1 M with sodium chloride (NaCl, CARLO-ERBA-SDS PHAR. EUR. 99-100.5%), and all measurements were carried out at 25.0(2) °C. The ytterbium stock solutions (4.60 × 10$^{-2}$ M) were freshly prepared by dissolution of appropriate amounts of the corresponding solid perchlorate salts in water. Ytterbium chloride (YbCl$_3$.6H$_2$O, SIGMA, 99.99%) is a commercial product, which was used without further purification. The metal contents of the solutions were determined according to the classical colorimetric titrations.$^{94}$

**Potentiometric Titrations.** The potentiometric titrations of ligands L$_1$ (1.57 × 10$^{-3}$ M), L$_2$ (1.70 × 10$^{-3}$ M) and the ytterbium complex with L$_1$ ([Yb]$_{tot}$/[L$_1$]$_{tot}$ = 1.0) were performed using an automatic titrator system 794 Basic Titrino (METROHM) with a combined glass electrode (METROHM 6.0234.500, Long Life) filled with 0.1 M NaCl in water and connected to a microcomputer (TIAMO light 1.2 program for the acquisition of the potentiometric data). The combined glass electrode was calibrated as a hydrogen concentration probe by titrating known amounts of hydrochloric acid (~1.72 × 10$^{-1}$ M from HCl, SIGMA-ALDRICH, puriss pa, >37%) with CO$_2$-free sodium hydroxide solution (~ 9.8 × 10$^{-2}$ to 1.23 × 10$^{-1}$ M from NaOH, BDH, AnalReR, 98%). The HCl and NaOH solutions were freshly prepared just before use and titrated with sodium tetraborate decahydrate (B$_4$Na$_2$O$_7$.10H$_2$O, FLUKA, puriss, p.a., > 99.5%) and potassium hydrogen phthalate (C$_8$H$_5$KO$_3$, FLUKA, puriss, p.a., > 99.5%), respectively, with methyl orange (RAL) and phenolphthalein (PROLABO, purum) used as colorimetric indicators. The temperature of the
titration cell was maintained at 25.0 ± 0.2 °C with the help of a LAUDA E200 thermostat. The GLEE program was applied for the glass electrode calibration (standard electrode potential $E_0$/mV and slope of the electrode/mV pH$^{-1}$) and to check carbonate levels of the NaOH solutions used (< 5 %). The potentiometric data of L$_1$ and its ytterbium complex as well as those of L$_2$ (about 300 points collected over the pH range 2.5-11.5) were refined with the HYPERQUAD 2000 program which uses non-linear least-squares methods. Potentiometric data points were weighted by a formula allowing greater pH errors in the region of an end-point than elsewhere. The weighting factor $W_i$ is defined as the reciprocal of the estimated variance of measurements: $W_i = 1/\sigma_i^2 = 1/[\sigma_E^2 + (\delta E/\delta V)^2\sigma_V^2]$ where $\sigma_E^2$ and $\sigma_V^2$ are the estimated variances of the potential and volume readings, respectively. The constants were refined by minimizing the error-square sum, $U$, of the potentials: $U = \sum W_i (E_{\text{obs},i} - E_{\text{cal},i})^2$. At least three potentiometric titrations were treated as single sets, for each system. The quality of fit was judged by the values of the sample standard deviation, $S$, and the goodness of fit, $\chi^2$, (Pearson's test). At $\sigma_E = 0.1$ mV ($0.023 \sigma_{\text{pH}}$) and $\sigma_V = 0.002$ mL, the values of $S$ in different sets of titrations were close to 1. The scatter of residuals versus pH was reasonably random, without any significant systematic trends, thus indicating a good fit of the experimental data. The successive protonation constants were calculated from the cumulative constants determined with the program. The uncertainties in the log $K$ values correspond to the added standard deviations in the cumulative constants.

**Spectrophotometric Titrations vs. pH.** To validate and assign the protonation sites, spectrophotometric titrations of L$_1$ and L$_2$ were then carried out. An aliquot of 40 mL of solutions containing L$_1$ (1.08 \times 10^{-4}$ M) or L$_2$ (8.88 \times 10^{-5}$ M) were introduced in a jacketed cell (METROHM) maintained at 25.0(2) °C (LAUDA E200). The free hydrogen ion concentration was measured with a combined glass electrode (METROHM 6.0234.500, Long Life) and an automatic titrator system.
Basic Titrino (METROHM). The Ag/AgCl reference glass electrode was filled with NaCl (0.1 M, CARLO-ERBA-SDS PHAR. EUR. 99-100.5%) and was calibrated as a hydrogen concentration probe as described above. The initial pH was adjusted to ~ 2.4-2.9 with HCl (SIGMA-ALDRICH, puriss pa, >37%) and the titrations of the ligands (L₁: 2.45 < pH < 10.77 and L₂: 2.92 < pH < 10.81) were then carried out by automatic addition of known volumes of NaOH solutions (BDH, AnalaR). After each addition (i.e. the volume and thus the pH variations during the absorption versus pH titrations were automatically adjusted by the potentiometric system according to the change in the potentiometric signal of the solution; the DET method - Dynamic Potential Titration - of the Tiamo program was used here with a measuring point density of 3), an absorption spectrum was repeatedly recorded using a Varian CARY 50 spectrophotometer fitted with Hellma optical fibres (Hellma, 041.002-UV) and an immersion probe made of quartz suprasil (Hellma, 661.500-QX) and interfaced (Cetrib) with the potentiometric unit.

Analysis and Processing of the Spectroscopic Data. The spectrophotometric data were analysed with SPECFIT program which adjusts the absorptivities and the stability constants of the species formed at equilibrium. SPECFIT uses factor analysis to reduce the absorbance matrix and to extract the eigenvalues prior to the multiwavelength fit of the reduced data set according to the Marquardt algorithm.

Synthesis of 1
di-tert-butyl 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane-1,8-dicarboxylate (0.520 g, 1.29 mmol, 1.0 eq.), diethyl (6-(chloromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)phosphonate (0.728 g, 2.73 mmol, 2.1 eq.) and K₂CO₃ (0.376 g, 2.73 mmol, 2.1 eq.) were dissolved in anhydrous MeCN (20 mL) under an N₂ atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at 40 °C for 5 days. The reaction was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂;
CH₂Cl₂/MeOH; 100:0→97:3) to obtain 1 as an off-white foamy solid (0.580 g, 0.68 mmol, 52%). 

¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) 7.80 (t, 3J_HH = 7.1, 2H, PyH), 7.71 (s, 2H, PyH), 7.58 (d, 3J_HH = 7.9, 2H), 4.30 – 4.13 (m, 8H, POCH₂CH₃), 3.82 (s, 4H, NCH₂Py), 3.46 – 3.26 (m, 8H, NCH₂), 2.57 (br. s, 8H, NCH₂), 1.79 (br. s, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.46 – 1.24 (m, 30H, POCH₂CH₃ + tBu); ¹³C NMR (76 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) 161.21 (d, 3J_PC = 22.4, Py), 155.65 (s, NCO₂tBu), 152.07 (d, 1J_PC = 227.4, Py), 136.32 (s Py), 126.40 (d, 2J_PC = 25.1, Py), 124.91 (s, Py), 79.42 (s, NCH₂Py), 62.96 (d, 2J_PC = 5.7, POCH₂CH₂), 61.38 (s, C(CH₃)₃), 53.73 (s, NCH₂), 52.11 (s, NCH₂), 28.44 (s, tBu), 16.42 (d, 3J_PC = 6.2, POCH₂CH₂); ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) 11.8 (s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C₃₀H₅₂N₆O₆P₂ + 2H]⁺ 328.1785 found 328.1784 (Boc protecting groups cleaved under MS experiment conditions).

**Synthesis of te₂pyp (L₁H₄)**

1 (388 mg, 0.453 mmol) was dissolved in 6 M HCl(aq) (20 mL) and heated at 110 °C for 48 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled and the solvent removed in vacuo. The compound was purified by automated column chromatography (C₁₈; H₂O/MeCN; 100:0→80:20) to obtain L₁H₂·4HCl as a white solid (235 mg, 0.341 mmol, 75%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, D₂O, pD = 10) 7.52 (t, 3J_HH = 6.8, 2H, PyH), 7.43 (td, 3J_HH = 7.8, 4.0, 2H, PyH), 6.87 (d, 3J_HH = 7.8, 2H, PyH), 3.78 (s, 4H, NCH₂Py), 2.98 (t, 3J_HH = 5.3, 4H, NCH₂), 2.74 (t, 3J_HH = 4.9, 4H, NCH₂), 1.80 (app. p, J_app = 5.3, 4H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (76 MHz, 298 K, D₂O, pD = 10) 162.86 (d, 1J_PC = 195.8, Py), 158.34 (d, 3J_PC = 17.8, Py), 137.68 (d, 3J_PC = 10.1, Py), 125.10 (d, 2J_PC = 20.8, Py), 123.72 (d, 4J_PC = 3.4, Py), 62.17 (s, NCH₂Py), 57.53 (s, NCH₂), 54.38 (s, NCH₂), 50.00 (s, NCH₂), 47.83 (s, NCH₂), 22.91 (s, NCH₂CH₂); ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, D₂O) 0.4 (s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C₂₂H₃₆N₆O₆P₂ + H]⁺ 543.2244 found 543.2247; UV/vis. (Tris Buffer(aq) 0.1
M) \lambda_{\text{max}} (\varepsilon_{\text{max}}); \ 229 (4300), 285 (4500); Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{40}Cl_{4}N_{6}O_{6}P_{2} (688.34 \text{ g mol}^{-1}): C, 38.39; H, 5.86; N, 12.21. Found: C, 38.39; H, 5.98; N, 12.21.

**Synthesis of 2**

1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (cb-cyclam) (503 mg, 2.22 mmol, 1.0 eq.), diethyl (6-(chloromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)phosphonate (1.20 g, 4.55 mmol, 2.05 eq.), K$_2$CO$_3$ (1.531 g, 11.1 mmol, 5.0 eq.) and NaI (0.681 g, 4.55 mmol, 2.05 eq.) were dissolved in anhydrous MeCN (50 mL) under an N$_2$ atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at 40 °C for 3 days. The reaction was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$; CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH; 100:0 → 95:5) to obtain 2 as an off-white foamy solid (1.185 g, 1.74 mmol, 78%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) 10.82 (s, 1H, NH), 7.86 – 7.81 (m, 4H, PyH), 7.63 – 7.57 (m, 2H, PyH), 4.33 – 4.15 (m, 8H, POC$_2$CH$_3$), 4.09 (d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.7, 2H, NCHHAr), 4.04 – 3.83 (m, 4H, NCHHAr + NCH$_2$), 3.50 – 3.03 (m, 12H, NCH$_2$), 3.03 – 2.89 (m, 4H, NCH$_2$), 2.74 – 2.65 m, 2H, NCH$_2$), 1.97 – 1.65 (m, 4H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.39 (app. td, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 7.1, $J = 2.2$, 12H, POCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (76 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) 158.20 (d, $^3$J$_{PC} = 22.7$, Py), 151.77 (d, $^1$J$_{PC} = 228.1$, Py), 136.43 (d, $^3$J$_{PC} = 13.2$, Py), 126.41 (s, Py), 126.15 (d, $^2$J$_{PC} = 25.2$, Py), 62.56 (d, $^2$J$_{PC} = 6.3$, POCH$_2$CH$_3$), 57.81 (s, NCH$_2$), 56.88 (s, NCH$_2$), 54.12 (s, NCH$_2$), 52.61 (s, NCH$_2$), 52.02 (s, NCH$_2$), 51.14 (s, NCH$_2$), 24.02 (s, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 16.19 (d, $^3$J$_{PC} = 5.2$, POCH$_2$CH$_3$); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) 11.2 (s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C$_{32}$H$_{54}$N$_{6}$O$_{6}$P$_{2}$ + H]$^+$ 681.3653 found 681.3648.

**Synthesis of cb-te2pp (L$_2$H$_4$)**

2 (1.185 g, 1.74 mmol) was dissolved in 6 M HCl$_{(aq)}$ (20 mL) and heated at 110 °C for 48 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude material was dissolved in a minimum of H$_2$O (ca. 5 mL) and layered with acetone (ca. 100 mL) to obtain
L₂H₂.1HCl.4H₂O as a white crystalline solid (693 mg, 1.02 mmol, 59%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, D₂O) 7.64 (t, ³JHH = 7.2, 2H, PyH), 7.47 (td, ³JHH = 7.8, ⁴JHH = 4.5, 2H, PyH), 6.77 (dd, ³JHH = 7.9, ⁴JHH = 1.9, 2H, PyH), 5.18 (d, ²JHH = 17.0, 2H, NCH₂Ar), 4.40 – 4.24 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 3.99 – 3.72 (m, 8H, NCH₂ + NCH₂Ar), 3.58 – 3.46 (m, 2H), 3.22 – 3.09 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 2.91 – 2.84 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 2.77 – 2.59 (m, 4H, NCH₂ + NCH₂CH₂), 2.51 – 2.47 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 1.76 – 1.65 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (76 MHz, 298 K, D₂O) 157.51 (d, ¹JPC = 213.4, Py), 152.78 (d, ³JPC = 20.6, Py), 138.95 (d, ³JPC = 11.3, Py), 127.31 (d, ²JPC = 22.7, Py), 125.25 (s, Py), 57.68 (s, NCH₂), 56.61 (s, NCH₂), 56.12 (s, NCH₂), 54.50 (s, NCH₂), 51.32 (s, NCH₂), 49.48 (s, NCH₂), 19.64 (s, NCH₂CH₂); ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) 6.8 (s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C₂₄H₃₈N₆O₆P₂ + H]⁺ 569.2401 found 569.2401; UV-vis. (Tris Buffer(aq) 0.1 M) λmax (εmax); 229 (4400), 286 (4600); Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₄₇ClN₆O₁₀P₂ (677.07 g mol⁻¹): C, 42.26; H, 7.00; N, 12.41. Found: C, 42.58; H, 6.99; N, 12.41.

Synthesis of Ln complexes (M = Lu, Eu, Tb, Yb)

General Procedure:

L₁H₂.4HCl (50 mg, 72.6 µmol) was dissolved in D₂O (2 mL), basified with a standard soln. of NaOD in D₂O (20 mM) to pD = 7. A stock soln. of LnCl₃.6H₂O in D₂O (ca. 0.5 eq., 0.05 M) added, the pD equilibrated to pD = 7 and heated to 80 degrees for 18 hours. The reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. A stock soln. of LnCl₃.6H₂O in D₂O (ca. 0.25eq, 0.05 M) was added and the pD equilibrated to pD = 7, heated to 80 °C for 18 hours and monitored by ¹H NMR to calculate remaining free ligand. Remaining LnCl.6H₂O stock solution was added to give 1.0 eq. in total, basified to pD = 7 and heated to 80 °C for 18 hours. The solution was acidified to pD = 3.5 – 4.0, the solvent was concentrated to ca. 1 mL, precipitated by addition of acetone
(ca. 10 mL), filtered and dried. The crude reaction mixture was purified by C$_{18}$ (H$_2$O/MeOH 100:0→80:20).

**Synthesis of [LuL$_1$D$_2$]Cl**

The title compound was synthesised according to the general procedure to give [LuL$_1$H$_2$]Cl compound as a white solid (31 mg, 41.2 µmol, 57%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, D$_2$O) 8.14 (td, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.7, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 4.0, 2H, PyH), 7.85 (t, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.2, 2H, PyH), 7.70 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.8, 2H, PyH), 3.88 (d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ =15.7, 2H, NCH$_2$), 3.51 (td, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 13.2, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 2.9, 2H, NCH$_2$), 3.42 – 3.31 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$), 2.83 (d, J=13.0, 2H, NCH$_2$), 2.75 – 2.49 (m, 8H, NCH$_2$), 2.03 (q, J=13.8, 13.1, 2H, NCH$_2$), 1.83 – 1.87 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.80 – 1.70 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$); $^{13}$C NMR (76 MHz, 298 K, D$_2$O) 162.48 (d, $^3$J$_{PC}$ = 16.5, Py), 158.63 (d, $^1$J$_{PC}$ = 199.6, Py), 145.24 (d, $^3$J$_{PC}$ = 10.5, Py), 129.48 (d, $^2$J$_{PC}$ = 19.6, Py), 129.35 (d, $^4$J$_{PC}$ = 2.9, Py), 71.72 (s, NCH$_2$), 62.86 (s, NCH$_2$), 58.47 (s, NCH$_2$), 53.71 (s, NCH$_2$), 52.68 (s, NCH$_2$), 29.47 (s, NCH$_2$); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) 14.9 (s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C$_{22}$H$_{32}$D$_2$LuN$_6$O$_6$P$_2$Cl – Cl]$^+$ 717.1574 found 717.1541; Anal. Calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{41}$D$_2$Cl$_2$LuN$_6$O$_6$P$_2$Cl + 4H$_2$O + 1HCl (861.45 g mol$^{-1}$): C, 30.75; H, 5.04; N, 9.78. Found: C, 30.59; H, 5.11; N, 9.87.

**Synthesis of [EuL$_1$D]**

The title compound was synthesised according to the general procedure, except that the solution was acidified to pH = 5.4, to give [EuL$_1$H] as a white solid (24 mg, 32.0 µmol, 44%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, D$_2$O) δ 18.24 (br. s), 10.47 (br. s), 10.45 (br. s), 10.04 (br. s), 9.10 (br. s), 4.34 (br. s), 3.01 (br. s), 0.73 (br. s), 0.05 (br. s), -1.83 (br. s), -2.26 (br. s), -2.30 (br. s), -4.22 (br. s), -5.17 (br. s), -6.30 (br. s), -9.40 (br. s), -12.99 (br. s), -14.06 (br. s); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, D$_2$O) 47.8 (s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C$_{22}$H$_{32}$D$_2$EuN$_6$O$_6$P$_2$Cl – Cl]$^+$ 695.1349 found 695.1349; Anal.
Calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{47}$D$_2$Cl$_8$LuN$_6$O$_{10}$P$_2$ ([EuL$_1$H] + 4H$_2$O + 8HCl) (1058.19 g mol$^{-1}$): C, 25.04; H, 4.68; N, 7.96. Found: C, 25.15; H, 4.54; N, 8.05.

**Synthesis of [TbL$_1$D$_2$]Cl**

The title compound was synthesised according to the general procedure to give [TbL$_1$H]Cl as a white solid (27 mg, 36.7 µmol, 51%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, D$_2$O) 78.02 (br. s), 71.10 (br. s), 54.79 (br. s), 16.19 (br. s), -4.12 (br. s), -22.31 (br. s), -35.06 (br. s), -40.64 (br. s), -43.09 (br. s), -56.50 (br. s), -78.48 (br. s); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, D$_2$O) 168.6 (br. s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C$_{22}$H$_{34}$D$_2$TbN$_6$O$_6$P$_2$Cl – Cl$^+$] 701.1389 found 701.1387; Anal. Calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{36}$D$_2$Cl$_3$TbN$_6$O$_7$P$_2$ ([TbL$_1$H$_2$]Cl + 1H$_2$O) (754.90 g mol$^{-1}$): C, 35.10; H, 4.82; N, 11.16. Found: C, 34.21; H, 5.16; N, 11.02.

**Synthesis of [YbL$_1$D$_2$]Cl**

The title compound was synthesised according to the general procedure to give [YbL$_1$H]Cl as a white solid (17 mg, 22.7 µmol, 31%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, D$_2$O) 63.13 (br. s), 19.21 (br. s), 18.05 (br. s), 17.82 (br. s), 17.55 (br. s), 8.08 (br. s), 7.81 (br. s), 7.63 (br. s), 0.88 (br. s), -0.24 (br. s), -5.47 (br. s), -12.71 (br. s), -13.86 (br. s), -22.44 (br. s), -29.91 (br. s), -55.25 (br. s); $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) 7.5 (br. s); ESI-HRMS m/z calcd. for [C$_{22}$H$_{34}$D$_2$LuN$_6$O$_6$P$_2$Cl – Cl$^+$] 716.1527 found 716.1521; Anal. Calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{38}$D$_2$Cl$_3$LuN$_6$O$_8$P$_2$Yb ([YbL$_1$H$_2$]Cl + 2H$_2$O + 2HCl) (859.96 g mol$^{-1}$): C, 30.80; H, 4.70; N, 9.80. Found: C, 31.00; H, 5.07; N, 9.91.

**Associated Content**

**Supporting Information.** The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: Xxxxxxx. $^1$H, $^{13}$C, $^{31}$P NMR and ESI-HRMS spectra for ligands and corresponding Ln$^{3+}$ complexes; determination experiments of the stabilities; photoluminescence spectra and calculated fittings for Ln$^{3+}$ complexes. Accession Codes CCDC
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