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Abstract: We present here a new series of spin crossover (SCO) Fe(II) complexes based on dipyridyl-N-alkylamine and thiocyanate ligands, with the chemical formulae [Fe(dpea)2(NCS)2] (1) (dpea = 2,2’-dipyridyl-N-ethylamine), I-[Fe(dpdp)2(NCS)2] (2) II-[Fe(dpdp)2(NCS)2], and (2’) (dpdp = 2,2’-dipyridyl-N-propylamine). The three complexes displayed nearly identical discrete molecular structures, where two chelating ligands (dpea (1) and dpdp (2 and 2’)) stand in the cis-positions, and two thiocyanato-κN ligands complete the coordination sphere in the two remaining cis-positions. Magnetic studies as a function of temperature revealed the presence of a complete high-spin (HS) to low-spin (LS) transition at $T_{1/2} = 229$ K for 1, while the two polymorphs I-[Fe(dpdp)2(NCS)2] (2) and II-[Fe(dpdp)2(NCS)2] (2’) displayed similar magnetic behaviors with lower transition temperatures ($T_{1/2} = 211$ K for 2; 212 K for 2’). Intermolecular contacts in the three complexes indicated the absence of any significant interaction, in agreement with the gradual SCO behaviors revealed by the magnetic data. The higher transition temperature observed for complex 1 agrees well with the more pronounced linearity of the Fe–N–C angles recently evidenced by experimental and theoretical magnetostructural studies.
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1. Introduction

The design of new coordination materials exhibiting the spin crossover (SCO) behavior is one of the most relevant challenge in the field of switchable materials [1–15]. In such materials, the spin state can be switched from a high-spin (HS) to a low-spin (LS) configuration through a number of external stimuli such as temperature, pressure, magnetic field, or light irradiation, for complexes involving transition metal ions of $d^4$–$d^7$ electronic configurations [3–14]. However, iron(II)-based SCO complexes, for which the transition takes place between the paramagnetic high-spin (HS) state ($t_{2g}^4e_g^25T_{2g}, S = 2$) and the diamagnetic low-spin (LS) state ($t_{2g}^5e_g^01A_{1g}, S = 0$) are, by far, the most studied switchable molecular materials [1–14]. From the synthetic point of view, one of the relevant strategies to design original SCO systems is based on the use of appropriate polydentate rigid nitrogen-based ligands and simple anionic entities acting as terminal ligands, such as NCX (X = S, Se, BH3) anions [16–22] or the more sophisticated ones such as cyanocarbanions exhibiting terminal or poly-bridging coordination...
modes [4,7,23–27]. The latter are able to tune the ligand field energy and some SCO characteristics such as the transition temperature.

In the large families of polydentate molecules, the use of the polypyridine-based ligands of different denticities, such as 2,2'-dipyridylamine (dpa) [18,19], tris(2-pyridyl)methane (tpc) [20,28,29], and tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (tpma) [21,23,30–33], has allowed the preparation of discrete and extended coordination compounds exhibiting original SCO transitions, allowing to understand more on the SCO phenomenon, such as the origin of cooperativity, the presence of complete or incomplete transitions, and the occurrence of one-step or multi-step behaviors and photo-induced effects. In this context, we have reported, in the last few years, a new series of dinuclear Fe(II) complexes based on the tetradeptate tmpa ligand [23] and, more recently, a dinuclear complex and a one-dimensional coordination polymer, both based on the functionalized tris(2-pyridyl)methane (tpc) tripodal ligands and displaying unusual FeN₅S coordination spheres. By experimental and theoretical magnetostructural studies, we have shown in both systems the crucial role of the linearity of the N-bound terminal thiocyanato ligand in the presence of the SCO transition.

As a continuation of this research, we have pursued our investigations using the N-functionalized 2,2'-dipyridylamine (dpa) bidentate ligands (see Scheme 1). The two first Fe(II) SCO systems based on the dpa ligands were reported by J. A. Real et al. [18,19]. The first one, [Fe(dpa)₂(NCS)₂], containing two cis-thiocyanato-kN ligands, showed an incomplete SCO transition at 88 K, while the second one, Fe(dpa)(NCS)₂bpym (bpym = 2,2'-bipyrimidine, acting as bis-chelating ligand), was reported as a dinuclear Fe(II) neutral complex with a very gradual SCO behavior at 245 K. Inspired by these observations, a few years later, S. Bonnet et al. prepared a new rigid ligand, N-(6-(6-(pyridin-2-ylamino)pyridin-2-yl)pyridin-2-yl)pyridin-2-amine (bapbpy, Scheme 1), composed by two directly linked dpa units, likely to induce stronger intermolecular interactions. The latter led to the new Fe(II) complex, [Fe(bapbpy)₂(NCS)₂], exhibiting a two-step SCO transition with an [HS–LS–LS] intermediate phase [22].

![Scheme 1](image-url)

**Scheme 1.** Examples of ligands based on 2,2’-dipyridylamine (dpa), including those used in this work (see dpea and dppa).

With the same objectives, K.S. Murray et al. and P. Gamez et al. [34–50], separately designed triazinones containing one, two, or three chelating dpa units and a variety of additional groups, such as halogen atoms, aryl groups, alkyl chains, aminoalkyl and nitriles units, as well as crown groups (see examples in Scheme 1). These sophisticated ligands have led to a variety of SCO materials exhibiting discrete structures generated by two chelating dpa units and two NCX (X = S, Se, BH₃)
acting as cis- or trans-terminal ligands [34–42], dinuclear complexes [43–45], or 1D coordination polymers in which the Fe(II) metal ions are connected through the central triazine group containing two or three dpa units (see dpyatriz ligand and some examples of its derivatives in Scheme 1) [45–50]. Magnetic investigations revealed various magnetic behaviors ranging from incomplete and gradual transitions to abrupt complete SCO transitions. However, since such sophisticated designed ligands did not result in significantly more cooperative SCO transitions than those obtained using simple dpa or bapbpy ligands [18,19,22], we have examined very recently the design of new Fe(II) SCO systems based on dpa ligands substituted by simple alkyl groups such dpma, dpea, and dppa (see Scheme 1) or by other rigid aryl functional groups such as luminophore units.

In this context, we report in the present work, the synthesis, crystal structures, and magnetic properties of a new series of spin crossover (SCO) Fe(II) complexes, based on dipyridyl-N-alkylamine and thiocyanate ligands, with the chemical formulae [Fe(dpea)₂(NCS)₂] (1) (dpea = 2,2'-dipyridyl-N-ethylamine), I-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2), II-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂], and (2') (dppa = 2,2'-dipyridyl-N-propylamine).

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis

The compound 2,2'-dipyridyl-N-ethylamine (dpea) was prepared according to the procedure described in reference [51], while 2,2'-dipyridyl-N-propylamine (dppa) was prepared by using a slightly modified procedure, by replacing ethyl iodide by propyl iodide (see Figures S1–S8) [51]. The complexes, [Fe(dpea)₂(NCS)₂] (1), I-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2), and II-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2'), were prepared, as single crystals, using the slow-diffusion procedure in a fine glass tube (3.0 mm diameter). A solution resulting from the mixture of an aqueous solution of FeCl₂·4H₂O and of an ethanolic solution of dpea ligand was carefully layered onto an aqueous solution of potassium thiocyanate in a 1:2:2 ratio. The infrared spectra showed a strong absorption band pointed at 2049 cm⁻¹ for 1 and at 2057 cm⁻¹ for 2 and 2', which can be assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration modes (ν(CN)) of the thiocyanato-N coordination modes (see Figures S9–S11).

2.2. Crystal Structure Descriptions

Based on the conclusions derived from the thermal variation of the magnetic data, the crystal structures of the [Fe(dpea)₂(NCS)₂] (1) complex and of the two polymorphs I-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2) and II-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2') were determined at 296 and 170 K. Complexes 1, 2, and 2' crystallized in the Pna2₁, Pccn, and space PT space groups, respectively. The pertinent crystallographic data and selected bond lengths and bond angles for the three complexes are depicted in Table S1 and 2.10, respectively. In each complex, the iron(II) metal ion exhibits a distorted FeN₆ polyhedron, arising from the coordination of the four pyridine nitrogen atoms (N3, N4, N5, N6 for 1 and 2'; N3, N4, N3[6],...
N4\(^{(a)}\) for 2) of the two 2,2’-dipyridyl-N-alkylamine chelating ligands and from the two nitrogen atoms (N1 and N2 for 1 and 2’; N1, N1\(^{(a)}\) for 2) belonging to the two terminal thiocyanato-κN ligands. At room temperature (296 K), the four Fe–Npyr distances in the 2.151–2.204 Å range, are longer than the Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato-κN ligands (2.102–2.150 Å), as observed in other Fe(II) complexes involving rigid pyridine-based ligands and terminal thiocyanato-κN groups [20,28,29]. The bond angles, depicted in Table 1, deviate considerably from the ideal values (80.05° to 95.22°), as demonstrated by the high values of the Σ distortion parameter [52] (Σ = 45.80° for 1, 41.08° for 2 and 39.87° for 2’) summarized in Table 1.
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**Figure 1.** ORTEP drawings (50% probability ellipsoids) [53], showing the molecular structures at 170 K, the atom labelling schemes, and the coordination environments of the iron (II) ions for the three discrete complexes (1 and the polymorphs 2 and 2’). Codes of equivalent position: \(^{(a)}\) 1/2 – x, 1/2 – y, z.
Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) and the Σ distortion parameters for the complexes 1, 2, and 2’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2’</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
<td>Fe–N1</td>
<td>2.150(5)</td>
<td>1.971(3)</td>
<td>2.123(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe–N2</td>
<td>2.102(4)</td>
<td>1.968(3)</td>
<td>2.114(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe–N3</td>
<td>2.151(5)</td>
<td>1.983(3)</td>
<td>2.175(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe–N4</td>
<td>2.198(4)</td>
<td>1.986(3)</td>
<td>2.184(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe–N5</td>
<td>2.179(4)</td>
<td>1.976(2)</td>
<td>2.174(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe–N6</td>
<td>2.162(4)</td>
<td>1.978(3)</td>
<td>2.163(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;d_{(Fe–N)}&gt;</td>
<td>2.157(5)</td>
<td>1.977(3)</td>
<td>2.155(4)</td>
<td>2.018(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–N1–C1</td>
<td>164.7(5)</td>
<td>171.5(3)</td>
<td>171.6(3)</td>
<td>162.2(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–N2–C2</td>
<td>150.7(4)</td>
<td>161.6(2)</td>
<td>155.6(4)</td>
<td>174.2(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Fe–N2</td>
<td>94.10(17)</td>
<td>93.36(11)</td>
<td>90.98(15)</td>
<td>89.70(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Fe–N3</td>
<td>94.29(18)</td>
<td>92.50(11)</td>
<td>93.75(12)</td>
<td>93.52(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Fe–N5</td>
<td>89.94(15)</td>
<td>89.07(10)</td>
<td>89.69(13)</td>
<td>90.02(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Fe–N6</td>
<td>89.42(17)</td>
<td>86.98(11)</td>
<td>90.37(12)</td>
<td>87.23(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2–Fe–N3</td>
<td>92.31(17)</td>
<td>88.22(11)</td>
<td>90.28(13)</td>
<td>88.16(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2–Fe–N4</td>
<td>87.28(16)</td>
<td>86.98(11)</td>
<td>90.26(13)</td>
<td>89.40(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2–Fe–N6</td>
<td>93.07(17)</td>
<td>91.23(11)</td>
<td>94.85(13)</td>
<td>92.33(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3–Fe–N4</td>
<td>81.24(17)</td>
<td>86.42(11)</td>
<td>80.52(11)</td>
<td>85.91(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3–Fe–N5</td>
<td>94.29(18)</td>
<td>93.83(11)</td>
<td>94.36(12)</td>
<td>93.73(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4–Fe–N5</td>
<td>89.23(13)</td>
<td>90.63(10)</td>
<td>89.54(12)</td>
<td>90.90(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4–Fe–N6</td>
<td>94.90(15)</td>
<td>94.10(11)</td>
<td>95.22(12)</td>
<td>93.34(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5–Fe–N6</td>
<td>80.05(18)</td>
<td>86.74(11)</td>
<td>80.45(12)</td>
<td>85.78(10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Σ /° 45.80 31.24 39.87 27.66 41.08 25.79

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: (a) 1/2 – x, 1/2 – y, z. bΣ is the sum of the deviation from 90° of the 12 cis-angles of the FeN6 octahedron [52].

Examination of the crystal packing in the three complexes did not reveal any strong intermolecular contacts. However, since the three complexes exhibit similar molecular structures, in particular the two polymorphs, a short description of the crystal packing for each compound should give the main differences between the complexes and show clearly that the two polymorphs display different crystal packing. In order to get a global view of the intermolecular interactions, Hirshfeld surface [54] was calculated for the three complexes, and the whole interaction map is displayed as fingerprints [55] in Figure 2. On fingerprints, d_i and d_e represent the distance to the surface of one atom respectively inside and outside the surface. Hirshfeld surfaces and fingerprints were drawn by using the crystalexplorer software [56]. In a first approximation, the fingerprints looked similar for the three complexes at room temperature. The main intermolecular interactions are thus of the same nature and consist of hydrogen-like contacts involving the sulfur atoms (corresponding to the couple (d_i, d_e) ≈ (1.7, 1.1 Å) on the fingerprints). The main differences between the three complexes involve H–H Van der Waals contacts corresponding to the broad peak at (d_i, d_e) between (1.0, 1.0 Å) for 2’ to (1.2, 1.2 Å) for 2 on the fingerprints; consequently, the crystal structure of 2’ appeared slightly more compact than the others. At low temperature, the fingerprints looked very similar to the corresponding ones at room temperature but with lower (d_i, d_e) couples.
The susceptibility measurements were performed at 0.1 T magnetic field at variable temperatures in the 2–300 or 2–350 K range for the three complexes. The thermal dependences of the molar magnetic susceptibility and the temperature (\( \chi_mT \)) are shown in Figure 3 for complex 1 and in \( \chi_mT \) at 170 K (see text for definitions).

Thus, the intermolecular interactions are of the same nature but slightly shorter because of thermal contraction. This confirmed the absence of a structural transition associated to SCO for the three complexes. The main S⋯H interactions were found in the three complexes between one sulfur atom and one aromatic hydrogen from the pyridine moiety in meta position to the N atom (corresponding to H6 and H19 for 1 and 2', and to H9 for 2). According to the intermolecular S⋯H distances (Table 2), which ranged between 2.873 and 3.105 Å, these interactions are weak comparing to those found in others SCO compound containing the NCS anion, such as in the [Fe(PM-L)\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)] series [52,57]. All these complexes should thus show a relatively low cooperativity, explaining the gradual spin conversions revealed by the magnetic data.

### Table 2. Intermolecular S⋯H (Å) and corresponding S⋯C (Å) distances for compounds 1, 2, and 2'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 1</th>
<th>Compound 2</th>
<th>Compound 2'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d(S⋯C)</td>
<td>d(S⋯H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1⋯H6-C6 (i)</td>
<td>3.755</td>
<td>2.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1⋯H9-C9 (ii)</td>
<td>3.692</td>
<td>3.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2⋯H6-C6 (iii)</td>
<td>3.692</td>
<td>3.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2⋯H10-C10 (iv)</td>
<td>3.702</td>
<td>2.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2⋯H19-C19 (v)</td>
<td>3.702</td>
<td>2.873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry codes: (i) \(-3/2 - x, 1/2 + y, -1/2 + z\) for 1; \(1/2 - x, y, 1/2 + z\) for 2; (ii) \(1/2 + x, -1/2 - y, z\) for 1; \(-1/2 + x, -1/2 + y, 1 - z\) for 2; (iii) \(1 - x, 1 - y, -z\); (iv) \(1 + x, -1 + y, z\); (v) \(-3/2 - x, -1/2 + y, 1/2 + z\).

#### 2.3. Magnetic Properties

The susceptibility measurements were performed at 0.1 T magnetic field at variable temperatures in the 2–300 or 2–350 K range for the three complexes. The thermal dependences of the products of...
the molar magnetic susceptibility and the temperature \((\chi mT)\) are shown in Figure 3 for complex 1 and in Figure 4 for the two polymorph complexes (2 and 2'). For compound 1, the \(\chi mT\) product of 3.205 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 300 K, slightly higher than the spin only value calculated for an isolated metal ion with \(S = 2\) (3.0 emu K mol\(^{-1}\)), agrees well with the expected value for a magnetically isolated Fe(II) ion in the HS state \((S = 2)\) (Figure 3) [17–20]. Upon cooling, the \(\chi mT\) value decreased gradually until approximately 250 K and then sharply decreased, reaching a value of 0.024 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2 K, indicating the presence of a complete and gradual HS to LS transition at \(T_{1/2} = 229\) K, as also revealed by the thermoschromism (yellow at 296 K and red at 150 K) observed on single crystals (see Figure 3). For the two polymorph complexes I-[Fe(dppa)\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)] (2) and II-[Fe(dppa)\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)] (2'), the thermal variation of the \(\chi mT\) products depicted in Figure 4, showed clearly that the two polymorphs exhibited similar magnetic behaviors. For the polymorph 2, the \(\chi mT\) value at 300 K (3.377 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\)) was slightly lower than the corresponding value observed for the polymorph 2' (3.462 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\)).

However, in both cases, these values are in agreement with the expected value for a magnetically isolated Fe(II) ion in the HS state [17–20] with \(g\) factors of 2.12 and 2.15, respectively. Upon cooling, the \(\chi mT\) value decreased gradually, in both cases, until approximately 260 K and then sharply decreased reaching a value of 0.02 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2 K, indicating the presence of a complete and gradual HS to LS transition which was accompanied, as expected, by a change of color observed for each single crystal (See Figure 4: orange to red for 2, yellow to red for 2'). The two magnetic behaviors were similar and agree well with the presence of complete spin cross-over transitions at almost similar transition temperatures \((T_{1/2} = 211\) K for 2; 212 K for 2'). For the three complexes, the magnetic properties were measured in both cooling and warming modes, but no hysteretic effects were detected.

Figure 3. Thermal variation of the \(\chi mT\) product for complex [Fe(dpea)\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)] (1).
1.977(3) Å for 1 (see Table 1) which deviate considerably from ideal values (80.05° to 95.22°), as demonstrated by the relatively high values of the Σ distortion parameter (Table 1).

2.111(4) Å for 2' to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1). The six Fe–N distances are substantially smaller (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are in good agreement with the corresponding values observed for the HS Fe(II) ion in a FeN₆ distorted octahedral environment [6,7]. As shown in Table 1, the Fe–N bond lengths constitute the first structural parameter at the origin of the distorted FeN₆ coordination spheres in the three complexes, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. The crystal structures derived at 170 K for the three compounds revealed, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. The crystal structures derived at 170 K for the three compounds revealed, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. The crystal structures derived at 170 K for the three compounds revealed, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. The crystal structures derived at 170 K for the three compounds revealed, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. The crystal structures derived at 170 K for the three compounds revealed, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. The crystal structures derived at 170 K for the three compounds revealed, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter.

2.4. Magneto-Structural Relationships

On the basis of the transition temperatures derived from the magnetic studies above, the crystal structures of 1, 2, and 2' were determined at 170 K. Since the average value of the Fe–L distances (Fe–N) and the distortion parameter (Σ) are highly sensitive to the Fe(II) spin state, these structural parameters will be used in this section to assign the spin state on the Fe(II) centers. Table 1 lists the temperature evolution of the Fe–N bond lengths and selected bond angles (N–Fe–N and Fe–N–C) observed for each complex, as well as the values of the Σ distortion parameter. At room temperature (296 K), the average value of the six Fe–N distances (<d(Fe-N)>: 2.157(5) Å for 1, 2.175(3) Å for 2, and 2.155(4) Å for 2') are in good agreement with the corresponding values observed for the HS Fe(II) ion in a FeN₆ distorted octahedral environment [6,7]. As shown in Table 1, the Fe–N bond lengths constitute the first structural parameter at the origin of the distorted FeN₆ coordination spheres in the three complexes, since the four slightly different Fe–Npy distances (2.151–2.198 Å for 1, 2.184–2.204 Å for 2, and 2.163–2.184 Å for 2') are significantly longer than the two Fe–N distances corresponding to the terminal thiocyanato ligands (2.150(5) Å and 2.102(4) Å for 1, 2.137(3) Å for 2, 2.123(4) Å and 2.111(4) Å for 2'). This metric distortion is strengthened by the values of the Σ distortion parameter.
significant differences for the LS state (1.968–1.986 Å for 1, 1.968–1.990 Å for 2, and 2.004–2.034 Å for 2'), suggesting less distorted FeN₆ environments, as demonstrated by the lower Σ distortion parameters (Table 1). It should be noted that the evolution of the Σ distortion parameter from the HS to the LS state (ΔΣ) for the three complexes was rather small (14.5° (1), 15.3° (2), and 12.2° (2')) [20,52]. This may explain the absence of any photo-induced state in the three compounds. As clearly shown by the structural characterizations, the three complexes displayed a similar discrete mononuclear structure without significant intermolecular contacts, in agreement with gradual switching behaviors, suggesting the absence of any significant cooperative effects. This observation allows to expect almost similar transition temperatures for the three complexes. Effectively, the two polymorph complexes displayed, as expected, similar transition temperatures (T₁/₂ = 211 K for 2; 212 K for 2'), while complex 1 exhibited a SCO transition at a higher temperature (T₁/₂ = 229 K). This observation led us to examine other structural parameters within the molecular structure of the complexes, such as the Fe–N–CS bond angles. On the basis of previous experimental and theoretical magnetostructural studies in which some of us suggested that the bent N-bound terminal thiocyanato ligand promotes a weaker ligand bond with the Fe(II) ion than the linear configuration [20,29], the examination of the Fe–N–CS angles, summarized in Table 1 for the three complexes, clearly showed that the linearity of the Fe–N–CS angles is more pronounced in complex 2, exhibiting the highest transition temperature.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Materials and Instrumentation

All the starting reagents were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, Isère, France), Acros (Illkirch, Bas-Rhin, France), and Alfa Aesar (Zeppelinstraße, Karlsruhe, Germany)) and used without further purification. Deuterated solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer Elemental Analyzer. Infrared (IR) spectra were collected in the range 4000–200 cm⁻¹ on a FT-IR BRUKER ATR VERTEX70 Spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AMX-400 and AMX-75 spectrometers, and the spectra were referenced internally using residual proton solvent resonances relative to tetramethylsilane (δ = 0 ppm). Magnetic measurements were performed with a Quantum Design MPMS3 SQUID magnetometer in the 2–350 K temperature range. Experimental susceptibility was corrected for the diamagnetism of the constituent atoms of the sample by using Pascal’s tables and the diamagnetism of the sample holder.

3.2. Syntheses of the 2,2'-Dipyridyl-N-Alkylamine Ligands

2,2'-Dipyridyl-N-ethylamine (dpea) was prepared according to the procedure described in reference [51], with a yield of 1.777 g, 77%. IR data (ν cm⁻¹): 3068 w, 3052 w, 3001 w, 2973 w, 2929 w, 2869 w, 1640 w, 1582 s, 1560 m, 1466 s, 1420 s, 1320 m, 1263 s, 1046 w, 984 m, 953 m, 769 s, 736 m, 699 w, 637 w, 622 w, 573 m, 533 w, 494 w, 406 w. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.30 (3H, t, J₃H-CH₂ = 6.8 Hz); 4.30 (2H, q, J₃H-CH₂ = 7.2 Hz); 6.90 (2H, t, J₃H-H = 6 Hz); 7.08 (2H, d, J₃H-H = 8.4 Hz); 7.57 (2H, t, J₃H-H = 7.2 Hz); 8.37 (2H, d, J₃H-H = 4.3 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 13.66 (–CH₂-ethyl); 43.19 (N–CH₂-ethyl); 114.87 (C=C, aromatic); 116.94 (C=C, aromatic); 137.20 (C=C, aromatic); 148.44 (N=C, aromatic); 157.37 (C=C, aromatic, quat). 2,2'-Dipyridyl-N-propylamine (dppa) was prepared using a similar procedure as reported for 2,2'-dipyridyl-N-ethylamine (dpea), by replacing the ethyl iodide by the propyl iodide [51]. Yield (0.935 g, 73%). IR data (ν cm⁻¹): 3420 br, 3068 w, 3052 w, 3007 w, 2972 w, 2931 w, 2929 w, 2869 w, 1640 w, 1582 s, 1560 m, 1466 s, 1420 s, 1320 m, 1263 s, 1046 w, 984 m, 953 m, 769 s, 736 m, 699 w, 637 w, 622 w, 573 m, 533 w, 494 w, 406 w. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 0.96 (3H, t, J₃H-CH₂ = 7.6 Hz), 1.74 (3H, q, J₃H-H = 7.6 Hz, J₃H-CH₂ = 7.9 Hz); 4.18 (2H, t, J₃H-H = 8 Hz); 6.91 (2H, t, J₃H-H = 5.6 Hz); 7.06 (2H, d, J₃H-H = 8.4 Hz); 7.58 (2H, d, J₃H-H = 7.6 Hz); 8.37 (2H, d, J₃H-H = 4.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz,
CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 21.62 (CH₃–CH₂–); 50.12 (N–CH₂–); 114.84 (C=C, aromatic); 116.91 (C=C, aromatic); 137.19 (C=C, aromatic); 148.57 (N=C, aromatic); 157.88 (C=C, aromatic, quat).

3.3. Preparation of the Fe(II) Complexes [Fe(dpea)₂(NCS)₂] (1) and [Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] Polymorphs (2 and 2’)

[Fe(dpea)₂(NCS)₂] (1). Single crystals of 1 were prepared using a slow diffusion procedure, in a fine glass tube (3.0 mm diameter): a solution of potassium thiocyanate (12.63 mg, 0.13 mmol) in 1.0 mL of H₂O was placed in the fine glass tube. A second solution (2 mL), containing a mixture of an aqueous solution (1.0 mL) of FeCl₂.4H₂O (13 mg, 0.065 mmol) and an ethanol solution (1.0 mL) of dpea ligand (25.9 mg, 0.13 mmol), was then carefully added. After three days, yellow prismatic crystals of 1 were formed by slow diffusion at room temperature. CHN analysis: calculated for C₂₆H₂₆FeN₉S₂ (1): C, 54.7; N, 19.6; H, 4.6. Found: C, 54.9; N, 19.9; H, 4.6. IR data (ν/cm⁻¹): 3108 w, 3079 w, 3032 w, 2974 w, 2866 w, 2068 w, 2057 s, 1638 m, 1596 m, 1490 s, 1465 m, 1335 s, 1294 m, 1233 m, 1164 m, 1073 m, 1056 m, 1012 m, 910 w, 773 s, 749 s, 642 w, 629 w, 572 m, 507 m, 478 m, 449 m, 421 s.

[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] polymorphs (2 and 2’). Using a similar procedure as that described above for 1, but replacing dpea with dppa (27.7 mg, 0.13 mmol), two single-crystal phases 2 (orange prisms) and 2’ (yellow prisms) formed after two weeks. CHN analysis: calculated for C₂₆H₂₆FeN₉S₂ (2): C, 56.2; N, 18.7; H, 5.0. Found: C, 56.4; N, 19.1; H, 4.9. IR data (ν/cm⁻¹) polymorph I (2): 3073 w, 3032 w, 2974 w, 2866 w, 2068 w, 2057 s, 1638 m, 1596 m, 1490 s, 1465 m, 1335 s, 1294 m, 1233 m, 1164 m, 1073 m, 1056 m, 1012 m, 910 w, 773 s, 749 s, 642 w, 629 w, 572 m, 507 m, 478 m, 449 m, 421 s.

3.4. X-ray Crystallography

Crystallographic studies of compounds 1, 2 and 2’ were performed at 296 and 170 K. The crystallographic data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur CCD diffractometer with Mo Kα radiation. For data collections, except for complex 2’, similar single crystals were used at both temperatures: 0.20 × 0.18 × 0.13 mm³ (1); 0.38 × 0.30 × 0.23 mm³ (2); 0.14 × 0.12 × 0.10 mm³ for 2’ at 296 K and 0.25 × 0.23 × 0.16 mm³ for 2’ at 170 K. All the data collections were performed using 1° ω-scans with different exposure times (50 s and 40 s per frame for 1 at 296 and 170 K, respectively; 10 s per frame for 2 at 296 and 170 K; 50 s and 13 s per frame for 2’ at 296 and 170 K, respectively). The unit cell determinations and data reductions were performed using the CrysAlis program suite on the full set of data [58]. The crystal structures were solved by direct methods and successive Fourier difference syntheses with the Sir97 program [59] and refined on Fl² by weighted anisotropic full-matrix least-square methods using the SHELXL97 program [60]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while the hydrogen atoms were calculated and therefore included as isotropic fixed contributors to Fc. Crystallographic data including refinement parameters, bond lengths and bond angles, are given in Table S1 and Table 1, respectively.

4. Conclusions

We prepared a new series of spin crossover (SCO) Fe(II) materials based on dipyridyl-N-alkylamine and thiocyanate ligands, with the chemical formulae [Fe(dpea)₂(NCS)₂] (1) (dpea = 2,2’-dipyridyl-N-ethylamine), I-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2), and II-[Fe(dppa)₂(NCS)₂] (2’) (dppa = 2,2’-dipyridyl-N-propylamine). All were structurally characterised by single-crystal X-ray diffraction at room temperature (296 K) and at 170 K and by magnetic studies as a function of temperature. Even if they displayed different crystallographic structures, as reflected by their different crystal packing, the three Fe(II) neutral complexes, exhibited almost similar molecular structures, which can be described as discrete mononuclear complexes of the general chemical formula.
[FeL\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)]\(_3\), where two L chelating ligands (L = dpea (1), dppa (2 and 2\(’\)) stand in the cis-positions, and the two thiocyanato-κN ligands complete the octahedral environment of the Fe(II) metal ions in the two remaining cis-positions. For complex 1, the thermal variation of the \(\chi mT\) product showed a complete gradual HS–LS spin crossover transition at \(T_{1/2} = 229\) K, while the two polymorphs I-[Fe(dppa)\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)] (2) and II-[Fe(dppa)\(_2\)(NCS)\(_2\)] (2\(’\)) displayed similar magnetic behaviors at lower transition temperatures (\(T_{1/2} = 211\) K for 2; 212 K for 2\(’\)), which is in good agreement with the strong structural changes of the FeN\(_6\) coordination spheres derived from the structural characterizations at room temperature and at 170 K. A careful examination of the intermolecular contacts in the three complexes did not reveal any significant intermolecular interaction, suggesting the absence of significant cooperative effects which agrees well the gradual behaviors shown by the magnetic data. However, complex 1 showed a transition temperature (229 K) clearly different from those observed for the two polymorph complexes (\(T_{1/2} = 211\) K for 2; 212 K for 2\(’\)). Such difference was ascribed to the more pronounced linearity of the Fe–N–CS angles observed for the two polymorphs 2 and 2\(’\).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4352/8/11/401/s1. Crystallographic data for the structure reported in this paper were deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication Nos. CCDC 1866637 (170 K) and 1866638 (296 K) for 1; 1866639 (170 K) and 1866640 (296 K) for 2; 1866641 (170 K) and 1866642 (296 K) for 2\(’\). A copy of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336-033; E-Mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
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