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\textbf{Abstract}

New Cu(II) coordination polymeric neutral chains of formula [Cu\textsubscript{2}(1,4-tpbd)Cl\textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{2}] (1,4-tpbd = \textit{N},\textit{N},\textit{N}′,\textit{N}′-tetrakis(2-pyridylmethyl)benzene-1,4-diamine, \textit{L} = 1,1,3,3-tetracyano-2-ethoxypropenide (tcnoet)\textsuperscript{−} (1) or 1,1,3,3-tetracyano-2-propoxypropenide (tcnopr)\textsuperscript{−} (2)) have been synthesized and characterized by infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and magnetic measurements. The crystal structure determinations of 1 and 2 reveal in both cases a one-dimensional structure in which the 1,4-tpbd acts as a bis-chelating ligand and the two chloride anions as asymmetrical bridging ligands. The Cu···Cu distances through the bis-chelating 1,4-tpbd ligand (8.194(1) and 8.245(3) Å for 1 and 2 respectively) are in the range of the corresponding distances observed for parent complexes involving similar bridges; and as expected, the Cu···Cu distances through the asymmetrical (\textmu\textsubscript{2}-Cl)\textsubscript{2} bridges are significantly shorter (4.021(2) and 4.125(2) Å for 1 and 2, respectively). For both compounds, magnetic measurements exhibit a maximum in the $\chi_m$ vs. $T$ plot (at 50 K for 1, and at 48 K for 2), characteristic of antiferromagnetic exchange interactions occurring mainly through the tpbd organic ligand that can be very well reproduced with a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ dimer model with $g = 2.114$, $J = -41.2$ cm\textsuperscript{-1} for 1 and $g = 2.105$ and $J = -39.3$ cm\textsuperscript{-1} for 2.
1. Introduction

For many years, with the main purpose to prepare new molecular materials, our group has developed the coordination chemistry of polynitrile anions and especially cyanocarbanion species (Scheme 1) which have been evidenced as fascinating ligands for the preparation of various "M(II)/cyanocarbanion" binary systems from discrete to polymeric systems [1–6]. Taking into account the crucial role of these anionic ligands, we are interested in using them in combination with other chelating or bridging neutral co-ligands such as the aromatic N-containing ligands to explore the role of their structural and electronic characteristics in the field of molecular materials including switchable materials exhibiting magnetic bistability [7-13], as in the intriguing derivative [Cu(bpym)(tcnoet)₂].H₂O (bpym = 2,2’-bipyrimidine; (tcnoet)⁻ = 1,1,3,3-tetracyano-2-ethoxypropenide) that displays a zigzag infinite chain with an unusual magnetic transition and reversible thermochromism [13]. Experimental and theoretical studies revealed that both magnetic and thermochromic transitions are induced by several structural and electronic effects such as the flexibility of the cyanocarbanion ligand, the rigidity and the bis-chelating coordination mode of the bpym ligand and the dynamic Jahn-Teller effect of the Cu(II) metal ion. In this context, we have decided to extend this system to other rigid bridging ligands such as the tetra-N-functionalized p-phenylenediamine co-ligands acting with a bis(tridentate) bridging coordination mode [14] (Scheme 1). As the 2,2’-bpym ligand, it has been clearly established that such rigid hexadentate bridging co-ligand, owing to its specific electronic and structural features, could be a suitable building block for the design of various co-ordination derivatives, from mononuclear to extended molecular systems [14-17]. Furthermore, such derivatives could find applications in the bioinorganic chemistry field as exemplified by the copper(II) complexes based on the 1,4-tpbd ligand (N,N,N’N’-tetrakis(2-pyridylmethyl)benzene-1,4-diamine) which have recently been investigated for their DNA cleavage properties [18-19].

![Scheme 1](image-url)
In this work, we report the syntheses, structural characterizations and magnetic properties of two new copper coordination polymers formulated as [Cu_2(1,4-tpbd)Cl_2L_2] with L = tcnoet^- (1) and tcnopr^- (2), based on the 1,4-tpbd molecule and organocyanide anions (Scheme 1).

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and techniques

Tetracyanoethylene, urea, potassium terbutoxide (C_4H_9OK), malononitrile (CH_2(CN)_2), p-phenylenediamine, 2-(picolyl)chloride hydrochloride, tetramethylorthosilicate (TMOS) and CuCl_2.2H_2O were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Solvents were used and purified by standard procedures. The N,N,N’N’-tetrakis(2-pyridylmethyl)benzene-1,4-diamine (1,4-tpbd) was prepared following the procedure described in reference [14]. Elemental analyses were performed by the "Service Central d’Analyses du CNRS", Gif-sur-Yvette, France. Infrared spectra were recorded in the range 4000-50 cm^-1 on a FT-IR Brucker ATR Vertex 70 Spectrometer. Diffraction analyses were performed using an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur κ-CCD diffractometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker DRX 300 or Brucker Avance 400 and 500 MHz. Magnetic measurements were performed with a Quantum Design MPMS-XL-5 SQUID magnetometer in the 2-300 K temperature range with an applied magnetic field of 0.1 T on polycrystalline samples of compounds 1 and 2 (with masses of 2.00 and 13.37 mg, respectively). The susceptibility data were corrected for the sample holders previously measured under the same conditions, and for the diamagnetic contributions as deduced by using Pascal’s constant tables (\(\chi_{dia} = -547.4 \times 10^{-6}\) and -571.6x10^{-6} emu.mol^-1 for 1 and 2, respectively) [20].

2.2 Preparations

2.2.1. Synthesis of the potassium cyanocarbanion salts.

The potassium salt of 1,1,3,3-tetracyano-2-ethoxypropenide, K(tcnoet) was synthesized according to reference [3]. Anal. Calcd. for KC_9H_5N_4O: C, 48.2; N, 25.0; H, 2.3 %. Found: C, 47.9; N, 25.3; H, 2.2. IR data (v/cm^-1) for K(tcnoet): 2998(w), 2986(w), 2940(w), 2225(w), 2196(s), 2164(m), 2149(m), 1488(s), 1444(m), 1422(s), 1377(s), 1345(s), 1281(w), 1260(w), 1228(m), 1177(s), 1140(m), 1101(m), 996(s), 915(w), 847(m), 707(m), 618(w), 568(m), 539(s), 490(m), 472(s), 414(w). NMR \(^1\)H (D_2O, 300 MHz): 1.38 (t, 3H, \(^3\)J_H-H = 7.1Hz, CH_3), 4.42 (q, 2H, \(^3\)J_H-H = 7.1Hz, CH_2). NMR \(^13\)C (D_2O, 125 MHz, 25°C): 17.50 (-CH_3), 49.15 (-C(CN)_2), 75.37 (-CH_2), 121.11 (-CN), 186.37 (-O-C(C(CN)_2)_2). The potassium salt of 1,1,3,3-tetracyano-2-propoxypropenide, K(tcnopr) was prepared with the following procedure: tetracyanoethylene (3.2 g, 25 mmol) and urea (1.5 g, 25 mmol) were dissolved in 40 mL of propan-1-ol and warmed to 25°C during 4 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum and the solid was extracted with 20 mL of a 1:1
water/diethyl ether mixture. The aqueous phase was extracted two more times with 20 mL of diethyl ether. The organic fraction was dried (MgSO$_4$) and the solvent removed to give the 1,1-dicyano-2,2-dipropanoethylene as a yellow oil. (3.28 g; 67 %). IR data (v/cm$^{-1}$): 2973(s), 2928(m), 2882(m), 2229(w), 2216(w), 2206(w), 2174(w), 1747 (w), 1729 (w), 1642(w), 1551(m), 1380(m), 1324(s), 1271(w), 1174(w), 1087(s), 1045(s), 880(s), 801(w), 825 (m), 430(m). The yellow oil of 1,1-dicyano-2,2-dipropanoethylene (2.90 g, 15 mmol) was dissolved in 40 mL of EtOH and a mixture of CH$_2$(CN)$_2$ (1.00 g, 15 mmol) and tBuOK (1.67 g, 15 mmol) in EtOH (40 mL) was poured on it. The resultant solution was stirred under reflux for 2 hours, and allowed to cool before being placed in a freezer to obtain K(tcnopr) as an orange powder. (2.45 g, 69 %). IR data (v/cm$^{-1}$): 2973(w), 2942(w), 2929(w), 2881(w), 2224(w), 2206(w), 2198(s), 1482(s), 1430(s), 1382(m), 1364(s), 1348(s), 1275(w), 1252(w), 1178(s), 1144(w), 1046(m), 930(s), 896(m), 860(m), 829 (s), 623(w), 571(w), 540(s), 477(m). NMR $^1$H (300 MHz, D$_2$O): 1.00 (t, 3H, J = 7.42 Hz, CH$_3$); 1.77 (m, 2H, CH$_2$); 4.35 (t, 2H, J = 6.35 Hz, CH$_2$O).

NMR $^{13}$C (125 MHz, D$_2$O): 12.37 (-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 25.64 (-C(H$_2$-CH$_3$)), 48.75 (-C(CN)$_2$), 80.80 (-CH$_2$-O-), 121.17 (-CN), 186.62 (-O-C(C(CN)$_2$)$_2$).

2.2.2. Synthesis of the Cu complexes (1 and 2).

Both complexes were prepared using a similar procedure: Salts of cyanocarbanion (Ktcnoet or Ktcnopr, 0.24 mmol) and CuCl$_2$.2H$_2$O (20 mg, 0.12 mmol) were dissolved in 9 mL of water. Then, 1 mL of TMOS was added and the mixture was stirred for obtaining a full homogenization of the mixture. Few hours later, the gel was formed and a solution of tpbd (28 mg, 0.06 mmol) in CH$_3$CN (10 mL) was slowly poured on it. Dark green prismatic single crystals appear in the top of the gel after 4 or 5 days. Crystals were separated from the gel under the microscope. [Cu$_2$(1,4-tpbd)Cl$_2$(tcnoet)$_2$] (1). Yield: 20 mg (33 %). Elemental analysis data: calculated. (%) for C$_{48}$H$_{38}$O$_2$N$_{14}$Cu$_2$Cl$_2$ (1040.93 g/mol): C, 55.39; H, 3.68; N, 18.84. Found (%): C, 55.27; H, 3.68; N, 18.74. IR data (v/cm$^{-1}$): 3095(w), 2981(w), 2220(w), 2197(s), 2144(w), 1610(m), 1575(w), 1514(m), 1487(s), 1445(s), 1407(m), 1379(m), 1344(s), 1322(m), 1285(m), 1244(w), 1227(w), 1192(m), 1174(s), 1155(m), 1145(m), 1116(w), 1094(m), 1055(m), 1032(m), 998(s), 965(m), 899(m), 854(m), 829(s), 787(s), 777(s), 744(m), 715(m), 678(m), 654(m), 632(w), 610(w), 568(m), 537(m), 493(w), 480(m), 469(m), 425(m), 419(m), 314(m), 292(s), 283(s), 246(w), 208(s), 160(s), 143(s), 103(m), 86(s). [Cu$_2$(1,4-tpbd)Cl$_2$(tcnopr)$_2$] (2). Yield: 45 mg (71 %). Elemental analysis data: calculated. (%) for C$_{50}$H$_{42}$O$_2$N$_{14}$Cu$_2$Cl$_2$ (1068.98 g/mol): C, 56.18; H, 3.96; N, 18.34. Found (%): C, 56.26; H, 3.95; N, 18.31. IR data (v/cm$^{-1}$): 3089(w), 2956(w), 2216(vw), 2196(s), 2149 (vw), 1610(m), 1574(w), 1515(m), 1482(s), 1446(s), 1410(m), 1379(m), 1356(m), 1333(m), 1323(m), 1286(m), 1249(w), 1227(w), 1192(m), 1165(s), 1114(w), 1092(m), 1055(m), 1032(m), 1000(w), 980(w), 965(m), 980(w), 965(m), 940(w), 908(w), 875(w), 840(m), 801(w), 767(w), 730(w), 693(w), 656(m), 622(w), 587(w), 554(w), 522(w), 490(m), 457(w), 426(w), 400(w), 375(m), 352(m), 330(m), 308(m), 283(w), 246(w), 218(s), 199(s), 170(s), 144(s), 126(s), 108(s), 92(s), 75(s), 55(s), 38(s), 29(s), 21(s), 15(s), 9(s).
950(m), 931(m), 899(m), 863(m), 830(m), 785(s), 744(m), 715(m), 678(m), 654(m), 633(m), 569(m), 538(m), 494(w), 480(m), 468(m), 427(m), 418(m), 352(w), 314(m), 295(s), 283(s), 246(w), 207(s), 160(s), 143(s), 108(m), 86(s).

2.3. X-ray crystallography

Single crystal X-ray data for compounds 1 and 2 were collected at \( T = 296 \) K on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur \( \kappa \)-CCD diffractometer, using a graphite monochromated Mo-\( K\alpha \) radiation (\( \lambda = 0.71073 \) Å). Data collection and data reduction were done with the CRYRALIS-CCD and CRYRALIS-RED programs [21]. The crystal structures were solved by direct methods and successive Fourier difference syntheses, and were refined on \( F^2 \) by weighted anisotropic full-matrix least-square methods [22]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically while the hydrogen atoms were calculated and therefore included as isotropic fixed contributors to \( F_c \). All other calculations were performed with standard procedures (WINGX) [23]. Scattering factors and corrections for anomalous dispersion were taken from the International tables for X-ray crystallography [24]. The thermal ellipsoid drawings were performed using the ORTEP [25]. Pertinent crystal data and selected bond distances and bond angles are listed in tables 1 and 2.

3. Results and discussion

Both derivatives 1 and 2 have been synthesized according to a sol-gel procedure using tetramethylorthosilicate (TMOS) as the gel-forming reagent, by slow diffusion of an acetonitrile solution of the organic molecule 1,4-tpbd into a freshly prepared gel obtained by mixing aqueous solutions of the organocyanide anions, copper (II) chloride and TMOS. After few days, suitable crystals for X-ray studies were obtained and analyzed as \([\text{Cu}_2(1,4\text{-tpbd})\text{Cl}_2(tconoet)]_2\) for 1 and \([\text{Cu}_2(1,4\text{-tpbd})\text{Cl}_2(tcnopr)]_2\) for 2. Both compounds display similar infrared spectra patterns in the region ascribable to the vibration of the CN bonds i.e. a strong absorption band at 2197 and 2196 cm\(^{-1}\) for 1 and 2 respectively, together with two smaller narrow bands (2220 and 2145 cm\(^{-1}\) for 1; 2216 and 2149 cm\(^{-1}\) for 2). These values are nearly similar to those observed for the corresponding potassium salts (\(\text{K(tconoet)}: 2225(\text{w}), 2196(\text{s}), 2164(\text{m}), 2149(\text{m})\) and \(\text{K(tcnopr)}: 2224(\text{w}), 2198(\text{s}), 2152(\text{m})\)) containing the non coordinated cyanocarbonanion moieties, and consequently do not allow any discussion about the coordination of some CN groups for both compounds. Considering the tpbd features, it is worth to note that the absorption band, at almost 1610 cm\(^{-1}\), is slightly shifted towards higher wave numbers with respect to the free ligand, indicative of the coordination of the ligand to the metal centre in both derivatives [18]. Besides, there are two bands at 293 and 246 cm\(^{-1}\), ascribable to the Cu-Cl vibrations, which are similar to those observed in the \([\text{CuCl}_2(\text{py})_2]\) complex [26].

The crystal structures of 1 and 2 have been determined at room temperature. Both derivatives
crystallize in the $P\bar{1}$ triclinic space group (Table 1).
Table 1
Crystal data and structural refinement parameters for compounds 1-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Empirical formula</strong></td>
<td>C_{48}H_{38}Cl_{2}Cu_{2}N_{14}O_{2}</td>
<td>C_{50}H_{42}Cl_{2}Cu_{2}N_{14}O_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight</td>
<td>1040.90</td>
<td>1068.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>8.5870(4)</td>
<td>8.892(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>12.0710(7)</td>
<td>12.189(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>12.3607(7)</td>
<td>12.203(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>112.933(5)</td>
<td>113.82(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>101.275(4)</td>
<td>101.72(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>92.431(4)</td>
<td>93.51(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>1147.17(11)</td>
<td>1169.4(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aZ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ_{calc} (g.cm(^{-3}))</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>1.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
<td>0.25 x 0.08 x 0.07</td>
<td>0.17 x 0.11 x 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refl. measured</td>
<td>8931</td>
<td>8940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2θ range (°)</td>
<td>3.09-26.37</td>
<td>3.36-26.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refl. unique / R_{int}</td>
<td>4664/0.0321</td>
<td>4749 / 0.1221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refl. with I&gt;2(σ(I))</td>
<td>3171</td>
<td>2635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_{\nu}</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_1)</td>
<td>0.0408 / 0.0952</td>
<td>0.0765 / 0.2310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(wR_2)</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GooF)</td>
<td>+0.384 / -0.262</td>
<td>+0.773 / -0.786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe asymmetric unit contains 0.5 of the chemical formula
b\(R_1 = \sum |F_o-F_c|/F_o\).
c\(wR_2= \{\Sigma w(F_o^2-F_c^2)^2/\Sigma [w(F_o^2)]\}^{1/2}\).
d\(GooF= \{\Sigma[w(F_o^2-F_c^2)^2]/(N_{\text{obs}}-N_{\text{var}})\}^{1/2}\).

The molecular structures are shown in Figure 1 and selected bond angles and lengths are gathered in Table 2. Careful examination of the structural features (Tables 1-2) points out that both compounds exhibit almost similar structures. The asymmetric unit displays one copper (II) ion, one organocyanide anion (tcnoet^- for 1, tcnopr^- for 2) and one chlorine atom, all in general positions, and half tpbd molecule located on an inversion centre. The whole molecular structure is completed by symmetry and, accordingly, these compounds can be described as centro-symmetric dinuclear copper complexes (Figure 1).
Figure 1. The thermal ellipsoid drawing [25] (40 % probability ellipsoids) of the molecular structures of 1 (a) and 2 (b) showing the labeling scheme of the asymmetric unit and some symmetrically equivalent atoms. Code for equivalent positions: (i) 1-x, 1-y, 1-z; (ii) 1-x, -y, 1-z; (iii) x, 1+y, z.
The copper (II) ion is surrounded by three nitrogen atoms (N1, N2 and N3) arising from the 1,4-tpbd ligand and by a chlorine atom, according to a distorted square planar geometry with Cu-N bond lengths in the range 1.975-2.143 Å and Cu-Cl bond lengths close to 2.27 Å (2.2709(8) Å for 1, 2.266(2) Å for 2). Despite the deviation from the theoretical value (90°) observed for the N1-Cu-N3 and N2-Cu-N1 bond angles within this plane, due to constraints induced by the chelating ligand, the sum of the four N-Cu-N and N-Cu-Cl angles, close to 360°, traduces the quasi planarity of this CuN$_3$Cl plane (maximum deviation from planarity being 0.135 and 0.138 Å in 1 and 2 respectively). The copper atom is weakly bonded to a fourth nitrogen atom (N4) arising from the terminal organocyanide ligand that occupies an apical position. The Cu-N4 bond length, which is noticeably longer than the equatorial ones (2.395(3) Å for 1 and 2.445(6) Å for 2), allows however the copper centre to extend its coordination number to 5 according to a distorted square planar pyramid (τ = 0.19 for both complexes) [27].

Table 2

Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°) for 1-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1-Cu</td>
<td>2.135(2)</td>
<td>2.143(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Cu</td>
<td>1.975(2)</td>
<td>1.981(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-Cu</td>
<td>1.978(2)</td>
<td>1.975(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4-Cu</td>
<td>2.395(3)</td>
<td>2.445(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-Cl</td>
<td>2.2709(8)</td>
<td>2.2659(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Cu-N3</td>
<td>161.54(9)</td>
<td>161.25(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Cu-N1</td>
<td>82.22(9)</td>
<td>82.48(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-Cu-N1</td>
<td>82.82(9)</td>
<td>82.56(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Cu-Cl</td>
<td>96.30(7)</td>
<td>96.02(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-Cu-Cl</td>
<td>97.19(7)</td>
<td>97.43(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-Cu-Cl</td>
<td>172.81(6)</td>
<td>172.86(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Cu-N4</td>
<td>94.32(9)</td>
<td>98.65(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3-Cu-N4</td>
<td>97.80(9)</td>
<td>93.96(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-Cu-N4</td>
<td>95.35(8)</td>
<td>95.47(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-Cu-N4</td>
<td>91.77(7)</td>
<td>91.66(13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, a very weak interaction occurs between the Cu (II) centre and the chlorine atom Cl$^{(iii)}$ (Cu-Cl$^{(iii)}$ distance: 3.1755(9) Å for 1 and 3.293(2) Å for 2) and accordingly occupies the second apical position of the coordination sphere. This Cu···Cl interaction allows to view the Cu(II) environment as a very elongated Cu(N$_3$Cl)(NCl) octahedron (Figure 1). Besides the distorted octahedral environment, this weak Cu···Cl$^{(iii)}$ interaction generates chlorido bridges connecting the "Cu-tpbd-Cu" dinuclear units. Thus, the molecular structure of both compounds can be described as chains alternating 1,4-tpbd bridges and double asymmetric Cl bridges along the b-axis (Figure 2). Finally, owing to the weak hydrogen interactions occurring between adjacent chains the overall
The Cu···Cu distance across the bis-chelating organic ligand (8.194(1) Å for 1 and 8.245(3) Å for 2) is in the range of the corresponding distances observed for parent complexes involving similar "Cu-tpbd-Cu" bridges [14,18-19]; and, as expected, the Cu···Cu distance through the asymmetrical (μ₂-Cl)₂ bridges is significantly shorter (4.021(2) Å for 1, 4.125(2) Å for 2).

![Diagram of the chain structure of 1 (a) and 2 (b) along the [010] direction showing the bis-chelating coordination mode of the 1,4-tpbd organic ligand and the double asymmetric Cl bridges. Code of equivalent positions: (i) 1-x, 1-y, 1-z; (ii) 1-x, -y, 1-z; (iii) x, 1+y, z; (iv) x, -1+y,z; (v) 1-x, -1-y, 1-z.](image)

A careful examination of the reported copper (II) complexes involving double μ-dichlorido bridges and a distorted octahedral coordination geometry, reveals that they all display discrete dinuclear structures and asymmetrical bridges characterized by two different Cu-Cl distances [28-35]. The shortest distance corresponds to a normal Cu-Cl bond length and does not show any significant variation from complex to complex (2.218-2.278 Å); while the longest one shows a large deviation (2.698-3.112 Å). It is worthy to note that this difference becomes more marked in complexes 1 and 2 (3.175(1) Å for 1; 3.293(2) Å for 2). This may be explained by the steric hindrance imposed by the bulky organocyanide anions in both compounds.

It is worth to note that the 1,4-tpbd organic ligand acting with its regular bis-chelating mode,
can adopt a *cis* or a *trans* conformation as observed in several complexes involving M-tpbd-M
dinuclear units (Scheme 2). Complexes **1** and **2** (see Figure 1) present the *trans* conformation that
minimizes the steric hindrance and is the most commonly observed in the reported complexes
involving the bis-chelating coordination mode of this ligand.

![Cis conformation](image)

**Scheme 2.** The *Cis* and *Trans* conformations observed for the bis-chelating 1,4-tpbd ligand.

In addition, in the metal ion coordination sphere, the two tridentate moieties of the 1,4-tpbd
ligand can act in either *mer* or *fac* configuration (Scheme 3) depending of the geometrical constraints
of the involved ligands. In the case of **1** and **2**, the pyridine rings display a *mer* arrangement with
respect to the metal ion as established by the N2-Cu-N3 angle values, 161.54(9)° for **1** and 161.3(2)°
for **2**. This *mer* coordination may be favoured by the presence of the bulky terminal organocyanide
anions.

![Mer and Fac coordination](image)

**Scheme 3.** *mer* (left) and *fac* (right) coordination modes of the pyridine groups in the 1,4-tpbd ligand.

Finally we can conclude that despite the rigidity of the central fragment of the 1,4-tpbd ligand,
the presence of the two flexible "N(CH2-Py)2" coordinating groups make the 1,4-tpbd a versatile
ligand for the design of original coordination polymers with a large structural diversity.

Compounds **1** and **2** display very similar magnetic behaviors (Figures 3 and 4). The product of
the molar magnetic susceptibility per Cu(II) dimer times the temperature, \(\chi_m T\), shows a value at room
temperature of *ca. 0.8 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) for both compounds, the expected value for two isolated \(S = \frac{1}{2}\)
Cu(II) ions. When the temperature is decreased, \( \chi_m T \) shows a continuous decrease that becomes more abrupt below \( ca. 60 \) K and reaches values close to zero at 2 K. This behavior suggests the presence of moderate antiferromagnetic Cu···Cu interactions in both compounds, as confirmed by the maxima observed at \( ca. 50 \) K in the thermal variation of \( \chi_m \) in both compounds. At very low temperatures both compounds show a divergence of \( \chi_m \) indicating the presence of a small amount of paramagnetic impurities probably due to the presence of crystal defects and vacancies that give rise to monomeric paramagnetic Cu(II) species.

![Graph](image)

Figure 3. Thermal dependence of \( \chi_m \) (left scale) and \( \chi_m T \) (right scale) for compound 1. Solid lines are the best to the model (see text).

![Graph](image)

Figure 4. Thermal dependence of \( \chi_m \) (left scale) and \( \chi_m T \) (right scale) for compound 2. Solid lines are the best to the model (see text).

Given the alternating chain structure displayed by both compounds, we can consider, in a first approach, two possible models to fit the magnetic properties: (i) an alternating \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) chain model or (ii) a simple \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) dimer model if one of the exchange pathways is negligible compared with the other. A detailed analysis of the literature shows that the asymmetric double chlorido bridges always give rise to very weak antiferromagnetic couplings lower than 0.2 cm\(^{-1}\). In contrast the few examples
of dinuclear Cu(II) complexes with 1,4-tpbd bridges present moderate antiferromagnetic exchange interactions as in [Cu₂(1,4-tpbd)(H₂O)₄](S₂O₆)₂ (3) [14] and [Cu₂(1,4-tpbd)(H₂O)₄](ClO₄)₄ (4) [18] that show coupling constants of -15.6 and -26.6 cm⁻¹, respectively. The only exception is compound [Cu(H₂O)(phen)]₂(1,4-tpbd)](ClO₄)₄, (5) that shows a negligible antiferromagnetic exchange due to geometric factors [36] (see below). These results show that the magnetic coupling through the 1,4-tpbd bridge in 1 and 2 must be ca. 100 times higher than that through the double asymmetric chlorido bridge and, therefore, we can neglect this latter bridge and consider compounds 1 and 2 as simple Cu(II) dimers connected through 1,4-tpbd bridges. Accordingly, we have used the classical Bleaney-Bowers S = ½ dimer model to fit the magnetic properties of both compounds [37]. In order to reproduce the Curie-tail at very low temperatures observed in the χ_m vs. T plot, we have included a paramagnetic S = ½ impurity. This model reproduces very satisfactorily the magnetic properties of the two compounds in the whole temperature range, with the following parameters: g = 2.114, J = -41.2 cm⁻¹ and ρ = 4.1 % for compound 1 (solid lines in figure 3, the hamiltonian is written as H = -JS₁S₂); and g = 2.105, J = -39.3 cm⁻¹ and ρ = 1.3 % for compound 2 (solid lines in figure 4).

Since compounds 1 and 2 represent the fourth and fifth examples of Cu(II) dimers connected through a 1,4-tpbd bridge, it is not possible to establish any magneto-structural correlation. Albeit, we can, in principle, distinguish two possible situations: on one side compound [Cu(H₂O)(phen)]₂(1,4-tpbd)](ClO₄)₄ (5) [36], that presents a negligible coupling and on the other side, the four remaining compounds: [Cu₂(1,4-tpbd)(H₂O)₄](S₂O₆)₂ (3) [14], [Cu₂(1,4-tpbd)(H₂O)₄](ClO₄)₄ (4) [18] and compounds 1 and 2, that present moderate antiferromagnetic couplings in the range -15.6 to -41.2 cm⁻¹. If we analyze the structural features of these compounds, we can see that in compound 5 the amine N atom of the 1,4-tpbd occupies an axial position of an elongated octahedron around the Cu(II) atom, resulting in a negligible orbital overlap (since the magnetic orbital is located on the equatorial plane). In contrast, in compounds 1-4 the amine N atom occupies always an equatorial position (of elongated octahedron in 1 and 2, of a square plane in 3 and of a square pyramid in 4). This geometry favors the orbital overlap between the Cu(II) ions and the central aromatic ring, giving rise to a moderate antiferromagnetic coupling, as observed in compounds 1-4. This moderate antiferromagnetic coupling results from the spin polarization mechanism along the N-Ph-N bridge connecting both Cu(II) ions (scheme 4). This situation is due to the fact that in both complexes the magnetic SOMO orbital (dₓ²−y²) of both Cu(II) ions are almost perpendicular to the phenylenediamine plane, leading to a direct overlap of the dₓ²−y² orbital and the π cloud of the pyridyl ring. As a result of this overlap, the magnetic coupling is controlled by the spin polarization mechanism resulting in the prevalence of the well known alternation spin rule that leads
to an antiparallel alignment of the spins on the Cu(II) ions (scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Spin density distribution in the 1,4-phenylenediamine bridging ligand in Cu(II) dimers

Interestingly, the J values found in 1 and 2 (-41.2 and -39.3 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively) are very similar to those observed in several closely related Cu(II) dimers with 1,4-phenylenediamine bridges where similar magnetic couplings, in the range -35.2 to -70.2 cm\(^{-1}\), have been found [38,39]. Finally, in order to explain the larger values of |J| found in 1 and 2 (-41.2 and -39.3 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively) compared with 3 and 4 (-15.6 and -26.6 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively) we can use the magneto-structural correlation established between the J value and the Cu-N-C-C torsion angle (\(\phi\)). Although this correlation uses only two points, it clearly shows that the value of |J| increases as the \(\phi\) angle increases [38]. With this correlation, we can deduce that the J values for compounds 1 and 2 (with \(\phi\) values of 85.4\(^o\) in 1 and 84.7\(^o\) in 2) should be close to -45 and -44 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, in agreement with the experimental values (-41.2 and -39.3 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively) and close to the one observed in the 1,4-phenylenediamine bridged complex \([\text{Cu}_2(\text{tren})_2(\text{ppda})](\text{ClO}_4)_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}(6)\), where \(\phi = 80.9\) and 86.5\(^o\) and J = -51.4 cm\(^{-1}\) [38]. On the other hand, the \(\phi\) values observed for 3 and 4 (53.2\(^o\) and 66.2\(^o\), respectively) [14,18] lead to lower J values (in absolute terms) of ca. -16 and -25 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, also in good agreement with the experimental values (-15.6 and -26.6 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively). The inclusion of these three complexes presenting a similar conformation of the 1,4-phenylenediamine bridge (1, 2 and 4) in the magneto-structural correlation confirms the exponential dependence of J with the angle \(\phi\) and results in an improved relationship with |J| = 3.094 * exp(0.0314*\(\phi\)) (solid line in figure 5).
Figure 5. Angular dependence of the magnetic coupling (logarithmic scale) in 1,4-phenylenediamine bridged Cu(II) complexes. Solid line is the best fit to an exponential law (see text).

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have reported the structural characterization and the magnetic properties of two copper coordination polymers based on the 1,4-tpbd ligand and two cyanocarbanion ligands (tcnoet$^-$ and (tcnopr)$. Both exhibit very close molecular structures which can be described as zigzag chains alternating Cu-tpbd-Cu and asymmetric double chlorido bridges. For both complexes, the magnetic properties show antiferromagnetic exchange interactions, taking place through the 1,4-tpbd bridge (since the double asymmetric chlorido bridge gives always a negligible coupling). The magnetic data were fitted using a simple $S = \frac{1}{2}$ dimer model and the presence of a moderate antiferromagnetic coupling has been rationalized from the coordination geometry for compounds 1 and 2 as well as for the three other similar reported Cu(II) dimers with a 1,4-tpbd bridge. Furthermore, these compounds have confirmed the magneto-structural correlation between J and the torsion Cu-N-C-C angle ($\phi$), previously established with only two examples.
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