

# **Trophic resources of the bivalve, Venus verrucosa, in the Chausey archipelago (Normandy, France) determined by stable isotopes and fatty acids**

Véronique Perez, Frédéric Olivier, Rejean Tremblay, Urs Neumeier, Julien

Thébault, Laurent Chauvaud, Tarik Méziane

## **To cite this version:**

Véronique Perez, Frédéric Olivier, Rejean Tremblay, Urs Neumeier, Julien Thébault, et al.. Trophic resources of the bivalve, Venus verrucosa, in the Chausey archipelago (Normandy, France) determined by stable isotopes and fatty acids. Aquatic Living Resources, 2013, 26 (3), pp.229-239.  $10.1051/a$ lr/2013058. hal-00946527

# **HAL Id: hal-00946527 <https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-00946527v1>**

Submitted on 13 Feb 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Trophic resources of the bivalve, Venus verrucosa, in the Chausey archipelago (Normandy, France) determined by stable isotopes and fatty acids**

Véronique PEREZ<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric OLIVIER<sup>1,2</sup>, Réjean TREMBLAY<sup>1,a</sup>, Urs NEUMEIER<sup>1</sup>, Julien THÉBAULT<sup>3</sup>, Laurent CHAUVAUD<sup>3</sup> and Tarik MEZIANE<sup>2</sup>

1 Institut des sciences de la mer, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 310 allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, Québec, G5L 3A1 Canada

<sup>2</sup> Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, DMPA, UMR 7208 BOREA CNRS-P6-MNHN-IRD, 61 rue Buffon, 75231 Paris, France

3 Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, LEMAR, UMR 6539, UBO-IRD-CNRS, Technopôle Brest-Iroise, rue Dumont d'Urville, 29280 Plouzané, France

Received 14 November 2012; Accepted 30 May 2013

**Abstract –** In the Chausey archipelago, growth of the burrowing bivalve *Venus verrucosa* (Mollusca: Veneridae) has been shown to be highly variable between closely located sites (<1 km). To explain this small-scale spatial variability, we tested the trophic hypothesis using both fatty acid markers, and carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes ( $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}$ N). Environmental parameters, including substrate, were also analysed to discriminate their effects on potential trophic differences. Results of isotopic fractionation and lipid profiles of water column and digestive gland samples both showed a large contribution of phytoplankton to the diet of *V. verrucosa*. More surprisingly, the same results suggest that Phaeophyceae and Rhodophyceae macroalgae could contribute to the nutrition of *V. verrucosa* as dissolved exudates. Whereas site differences were not observed between the food sources of *V. verrucosa*, we showed that growth performance index was correlated to wave height. Thus, we hypothesized that the high local growth variability could be controlled by the hydrosedimentary dynamics. In addition, although no significant growth differences were found between intertidal and subtidal populations, better condition index and more total lipids were found in the digestive gland of intertidal *V. verrucosa*, suggesting potential compensatory growth mechanisms.

**Keywords:** Shell growth / Benthic filter feeder / Food sources / Stable isotope / Lipid / Macrotidal flat / Atlantic Ocean

## **1 Introduction**

The burrowing venerid bivalve *Venus verrucosa* Linnaeus 1785, also known as warty venus, colonizes coarse sediments of the the low intertidal zone and shallow waters to a depth of about 30 m in the eastern Atlantic, from Norway to South Africa, and in the Mediterranean Sea (Poppe and Goto [1993](#page-11-0); Arneri et al. [1998](#page-9-0)). This species is of great commercial interest and particularly appreciated by European consumers (El-Menif et al. [2008\)](#page-10-0). In Mauritania, as well as the Adriatic and Aegean Sea region, annual production can reach 500 t, although no reliable statistics are available (CNROP [1993](#page-10-1); Arneri et al. [1998\)](#page-9-0). In Europe, the yield is particularly large in France (Arneri et al. [1998](#page-9-0); Tirado et al. [2003\)](#page-11-1), where 95% of total harvesting is done in the NormanoBreton Gulf (Pitel et al. [2001\)](#page-11-2). In 1962 and 1975, more than 3500 t were landed per year at Granville (Normandy), which was one of the largest French shellfish ports at this time. The stock collapsed twice between these two dates and, since 1993, annual production has decreased to less than 400 t (Pitel et al. [2001\)](#page-11-2).

In the Chausey archipelago (English Channel, Normandy, France), *V. verrucosa* is considered as an emblematic species of recreational and commercial fisheries (Godet [2008\)](#page-10-2) and has a high heritage value locally. The gathering of such bivalves on foot constitutes one of the main activities for thousands of tourists that come every year to the tidal flats, and the number of fishers per day can reach 1500 during spring tides (Godet [2008](#page-10-2)). To maintain the current stock and continue to benefit from it, a sustainable management plan is needed. The first steps to efficiently protect this species are to make a stock assessment (Gosling [2003\)](#page-10-3) and to obtain knowledge on its basic biology in relation to environmental constraints. Apart from some studies on toxicity (Romeo and Gnassia-Barelli 1998; Gunsen et al. [2008](#page-10-4); Pasquale et al. [2012\)](#page-10-5), interactions with pathogens or parasites (Trigui El-Menif et al. [2005;](#page-11-3) Morton et al. [2011\)](#page-10-6) and physical properties of the shell (Glover and Taylor [2010](#page-10-7)), data relating to the physiology of *V. verrucosa* are very sparse. Previous studies deal with

<sup>a</sup> Corresponding author: rejean\_tremblay@uqar.qc.ca

growth (Arneri et al. [1998](#page-9-0); El-Menif et al. [2008\)](#page-10-0), reproduction (Djabali and Yahiaoui [1978;](#page-10-8) Barbin et al. [2003](#page-9-1); Tirado et al. [2003;](#page-11-1) Siniscalchi et al. [2004\)](#page-11-4) and food assimilation (Amouroux [1984\)](#page-9-2).

In 2010, this lack of information stimulated the launch of a research program to study the population dynamics of *V. verrucosa* in some selected sites of the Chausey archipelago. Preliminary results revealed that the growth parameters of these populations differed at a very local spatial scale (less than 1 km), which can only be partially explained by variations in the sediment granulometry (Gaillard [2010](#page-10-9)). Shellfish gathering is more intense in some areas of the islands than in others (Le Berre and Brigand [2011\)](#page-10-10). Because *V. verrucosa* is a relatively slow-growing species (Peharda et al. [2010](#page-10-11)), the combined effects of low growth and high fishing pressure could increase the vulnerability of its populations. To avoid overexploitation, there is a need to understand the environmental causes of the growth variability, such as temperature, salinity, aerial exposure, hydrodynamics and stock density (Gosling [2003\)](#page-10-3). All these factors closely impact the food supply, which is considered the most important factor for growth sustainability of bivalves (Thompson [1984](#page-11-5); de Montaudouin [1996;](#page-10-12) Gosling [2003\)](#page-10-3).

As secondary consumers are enriched in  $^{13}$ C and  $^{15}$ N relative to their food supply or preys, stable isotopes of carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) are useful tools to determine the assimilation of food on the long-term scale (Peterson and Fry [1987;](#page-11-6) Post [2002\)](#page-11-7), as the turnover time of invertebrate tissues can take a few months (Lorrain et al. [2002;](#page-10-13) Nerot et al. [2012\)](#page-10-14). Diet has also been extensively investigated with specific lipid markers of primary producers that can be tracked in the fatty acids (FAs) of consumers as they remain mostly unchanged through trophic pathways (Dalsgaard et al. [2003](#page-10-15); Kelly and Scheibling [2012](#page-10-16)). In bivalves, FAs of digestive gland can be used to obtain insight into more recent food ingestion (Ezgeta-Balic et al. [2012\)](#page-10-17) as this is the main organ of digestion and plays a significant role in storage of metabolic reserves (Gosling [2003\)](#page-10-3).

The combination of these techniques (stable isotopes and FA composition) will allow us to determine the diet of *V. verrucosa* on an integrated interval of time (Ronconi et al. [2010\)](#page-11-8), and help us to test the following hypotheses: (1) the quality and quantity of the food available for *V. verrucosa* are different between sites, (2) these differences are responsible for the local growth variability and (3) variability in environmental conditions (other than food availability) has a minor impact on growth differences.

## **2 Materials and methods**

## **Study site**

The Chausey archipelago, located in the Normano-Breton Gulf (Normandy, France), is exposed to an extreme tidal regime, with tidal ranges up to 14 m during spring tides. This fragmented environment includes about 1500 ha of soft sediment tidal flat (Toupoint et al. [2008\)](#page-11-9).

Shellfish gathering on foot mainly concerns the tidal flats, where *V. verrucosa* is also more exposed to highly variable pressures of waves, emersion and temperature. We selected two contrasting areas (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0) where growth performance was either high (area 1) or low (area 2) (Gaillard [2010\)](#page-10-9). An additional site was also selected in an area protected from fishing (area 3) in order to judge the potential impact of such activities. To consider environmental variation, samples were taken at two levels in each area, one in the intertidal zone (i) and one in the subtidal zone (s).

#### **Environmental conditions**

Temperature was monitored using probes (Onset Hobo Water Temp Pro V2 Data logger U22-001) buried 3 cm under the water-sediment interface, which recorded one measurement every 4 min for 3 months (July to September 2011) in each site. Sediment samples, previously collected using a shipeck grab in each subtidal site  $(n = 3)$ , were rinsed with freshwater, dried, separated using the AFNOR standard (17 sieves), weighed and then analyzed using Gradistat 4.1 (Blott and Pye [2001\)](#page-10-18).Wave recorders (RBR TWR-2050) were installed 0.25 m above the seabed during October 2011, when storms were frequent, to compare wave influence in the three subtidal sites. Pressure data were corrected to compensate for the frequency-dependent attenuation of pressure variations, and significant wave height  $H<sub>m0</sub>$  was computed by spectral analysis using the standard method (Tucker and Pitt [2001](#page-11-10)).

#### **Venus verrucosa sampling**

In each area and level (intertidal and subtidal), twenty bivalves (mean height =  $47.4 \pm 4$  mm;  $n = 120$ ) were collected either by hand fishing on foot (intertidal) or by using a professional dredge with 2.5-cm space between its rods. A few hours later, back at the laboratory, five specimens were selected according to their reproductive stage (no gametes visible with the naked eye). Digestive glands of the chosen individuals were separated from the organism for FA analyses and the rest of their tissues were crushed and used for isotopic analyses. All samples were frozen at  $-20$   $\degree$ C.

#### **Potential food sampling**

Three potential food sources of *V. verrucosa* were considered in this study, and characterized using two biochemical markers: stable isotopes and FAs. Firstly, we considered that intertidal and subtidal levels came into contact with similar bottom waters, so we collected 2-L samples  $(n = 5)$  in the three areas during flood tide just after bivalve sampling. In the laboratory, this water was filtered on Whatman GF/C filters ( $\emptyset$  = 47 mm). Secondly, the first 5 mm of the surficial sediments were collected using a cut off syringe with an inner diameter of 1.6 cm  $(n = 5)$ . Finally, the most abundant macroalgae were collected (Phaeophyceae: *Ascophyllum nodosum*, *Fucus serratus*, *Fucus vesiculosus*, *Laminaria digitata, Pelvetia canaliculata*, *Sargassum muticum*; Chlorophyceae: *Enteromorpha* sp., *Ulva* sp.; Rhodophyceae: *Chondrus* sp., *Vertebrata lanosa* (= *Polysiphonia lanosa*, epiphyte,



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1.** Locations of the six study sites in the Chausey archipelago, open triangles and circles represent intertidal and subtidal levels, respectively; modified from Godet [\(2008](#page-10-2)).

most commonly associated with the Fucales algae *Ascophyllum nodosum*); as well as seagrass: *Zostera marina*. Three specimens were collected for each species, then, salt and epibionts were removed with Milli-Q water. All samples were lyophilized and kept at –20 ◦C before analysis.

The quantities of food associated with the water column and sediments were estimated in each site by measuring chlorophyll *a* and total particulate organic matter (TPOM). Chlorophyll *a* was extracted from one GF/C filter, using acetone 90% for 16 h, and the resulting solution was analyzed by spectrophotometer (Spectronic Genesys 2, Milton Roy) according to the equations of Jeffrey et al. (1997). TPOM was obtained by loss-on-ignition of dry mass (Higgins and Thiel 1988): previously dried samples (60 ◦C for 24 h) were weighed, then burned at 550 ℃ for 4 h and weighed again.

## **Stable isotopes**

The isotopic ratio (*R*) values of dried samples of *V. verrucosa* tissues and food sources  $(^{13}C)^{12}C$  or  $^{15}N/^{14}N$ were determined by the methods developed at the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility (Department of Plant Sciences, University of California at Davis, Davis, California), using a PDZ Europa ANCA-GSL elemental analyzer interfaced with a PDZ Europa 20–20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, UK), and are reported in standard delta notation  $(\delta^{13}C \text{ or } \delta^{15}N)$ , in parts per thousand  $(\%_0)$ :

$$
\delta^{13}
$$
C or  $\delta^{15}$ N = [(R<sub>sample</sub>/R<sub>standard</sub>) - 1] × 1000.

Two different standards were used for the analysis, selected to be compositionally similar to the samples being analyzed and calibrated against NIST Standard Reference Materials (IAEA-N1, IAEA-N2, IAEA-N3, USGS-40, and USGS-41).The standard deviations of the ratios are equal to 0.2% for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $0.3\%$  for  $\delta^{15}N$ . Sediment samples were first decarbonated with a solution of 10% HCl and dried at 60 ◦C for 24 h.

#### **Fatty acid analyses**

Around 100 mg in freeze-dried weight (FDW) of digestive glands and 500 mg in FDW of macroalgae, seagrass and suspended particulate organic matter from the water column or sediment were used for FA analysis. These samples were processed using a slightly modified Bligh and Dyer [\(1959\)](#page-10-19) method. Extraction, saponification of FAs and transformation to methylesters were done as described in Meziane and Tsuchiya [\(2002\)](#page-10-20). Gas chromatography (GC; Varian CP-3800 with a flame ionization detector) was used to separate and quantify the FAs using helium as a carrier gas in a Supelco OMEGAWAX 320 column (30 m × 0.32 mm internal diameter, 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness). After the injection of 1  $\mu$ l of sample at 60 ◦C, the temperature was raised to 150 ◦C at 40 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, then to 240 °C (held 14 min) at 3 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (Mortillaro et al. [2011\)](#page-10-21). Two methods were used to identify peaks of FAs: (1) comparison of their retention time with a commercial standard (SupelcoInc) and (2) and identification with a Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer (GC–MS; ThermoFinnigan TRACE DSQ).

#### **Biometry and sclerochronology**

The shell of all the *V. verrucosa* were cleaned, dried, weighed and measured for length (anterior to posterior margin), width and height (axis of the maximum growth) using a vernier caliper.

Condition index (CI) was calculated for all specimens  $(n = 120)$  using the total freeze-dried weight (lyophilized for 48 h) on the shell weight.

All shells were prepared and sliced using the same method and material as Royer et al. [\(2013\)](#page-11-11), except for the following differences: hells were ground to a thickness of about 800  $\mu$ m and polished with only 3  $\mu$ m Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. A mosaic of fifteen images taken with a Hamamatsu C4742-95 digital camera connected to a binocular Leica MZ16 FM was assembled using Axiovision software (AxioVC40 V4.8.2.0). For each individual, the straight distances were measured from the umbo to each growth line, i.e., height "h 1" at 1 year ("h" age-1), 5 years, and 9 years, throughout the life of the specimens, using the software Image J 1.45 s. The von Bertalanffy growth function,  $L_{(t)} = L_{\infty}[1 - e^{[-K(t - t_0)]}]$ , where  $L_{\infty}$  is asymptotic shell length ("h", mm), *K* the growth coefficient (year<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) and *t*<sup>0</sup> (year) the theoretical age at zero length, was chosen to predict length as a function of age, as it is commonly used to describe bivalve growth (Dang et al. [2010](#page-10-22)), this is suitable for *V. verrucosa* individual shell increments. The growth performance index  $(\varphi')$ , calculated using the previous parameters:  $\varphi' = 2 \log(L_{\infty})$  +  $log(K)$  after Pauly and Munro [\(1984\)](#page-10-23), was obtained using a program written by Thomas Brey http://[www.thomasbrey.](http://www.thomasbrey.de/science/virtualhandbook/spreadsheets/index.html) de/science/[virtualhandbook](http://www.thomasbrey.de/science/virtualhandbook/spreadsheets/index.html)/spreadsheets/index.html using the growth model fit to size-at-age.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Univariate variables (Chl *a*, TPOM, growth parameters,  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N of clam tissues, quantity of lipids and condition index) were compared using one-way ANOVA as a function of the three areas, or two-way ANOVA as a function of the three areas, the two bathymetric levels and their interactions.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Seawater temperature time series during spring tide (tidal range above 12 m), data were collected in area 3 (September 28th to October 5th 2011), dashed and solid lines correspond to intertidal and subtidal levels, respectively.

The assumption of homoscedasticity was verified visually by the spread of residuals, as suggested by Quinn and Keough (2002), normality was tested by a Shapiro-Wilk test and data were transformed (logarithm, square root or exponential) when necessary. A posteriori comparisons were made using Tukey's test. When transformation could not normalize data or eliminate heteroscedasticity, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed and a posteriori comparisons made using a Wilcoxon pairwise test. These statistical analyses were done using JMP $^{\circledR}$  2007 7.0 and  $R^{\odot}$ -2.13.0.

A distance-based permutational multivariate analysis of variance was used to compare multivariate variables (sediment granulometry and FA profiles). Assumptions of homoscedasticity were verified with a PERMDISP test, and data were transformed when necessary (arcsine transformation) (Sokal and Rohlf [1995\)](#page-11-12), and then a PERMANOVA (9999 permutations) was performed. A posteriori comparisons were done using a PERMANOVA pairwise test. To analyze the similarity between the profiles, non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (n-MDS) and SIMPER analysis were performed, using either a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix (FA profiles) or Euclidean distance (sediment granulometry). These statistical analyses were done under PRIMER 6 6.1.12 and PERMANOVA+ 1.0.2.

## **3 Results**

#### **Environmental parameters**

Between all areas from the same bathymetric level, the mean temperature over the summer differed by less than  $0.1 \degree C$ , which should have a minor biological impact on bivalves. Temperature varied between 14.5 and 24.9 ◦C in intertidal and between 16.2 ◦C and 19.8 ◦C in subtidal areas. During a typical spring tide, individuals living on the tidal flats were logically exposed to a wider range of temperature, as much as  $9 °C$  twice a day (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0), and to temperatures exceeding 20 °C during  $8 \pm 1\%$  of the time. Such thermal shocks were not observed for subtidal clams.

The wave heights were significantly different between the three areas, they were highest and variable in area 2, smaller and variable in area 1, and smallest and more constant in area 3

Grain size	Area 2			Area 1	Area 3		
	Intertidal	Subtidal	Intertidal	Subtidal	Intertidal	Subtidal	
Gravel	$40.1 \pm 7.7$	$17.0 \pm 15.3$	$38.2 \pm 5.6$	$23.8 \pm 8.9$	$5.1 \pm 3.9$	$12.9 \pm 2.4$	
Very coarse sand	$25.9 \pm 9.9$	$21.2 \pm 17.3$	$19.6 \pm 0.6$	$21.8 \pm 9.7$	$13.7 \pm 2.1$	$14.6 \pm 4.7$	
Coarse sand	$14.3 \pm 8.0$	$14.8 \pm 5.9$	$14.1 \pm 0.9$	$18.2 \pm 3.8$	$19.2 \pm 5.1$	$17.5 \pm 7.0$	
Medium sand	$7.1 \pm 3.2$	$30.4 \pm 24.9$	$18.3 \pm 3.2$	$18.0 \pm 5.4$	$27.4 \pm 5.2$	$30.7 \pm 4.5$	
Fine sand	$7.2 \pm 8.4$	$13.4 \pm 9.0$	$8.2 \pm 2.1$	$12.6 \pm 10.5$	$30.7 \pm 6.0$	$22.7 \pm 14.3$	
Very fine sand	$3.1 \pm 2.7$	$2.4 \pm 0.9$	$1.1 \pm 0.6$	$4.6 \pm 5.8$	$3.2 \pm 0.9$	$1.3 \pm 1.0$	
Silt	$2.2 \pm 2.7$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.7$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$0.4 \pm 0.3$	

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 1.** Grain size fractions in each area, at each bathymetric level (mean percent ± standard deviation).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Fig. 3.** Average significant wave heights calculated by the spectral methods  $(H_{m0}) \pm$  standard deviation in area 1 to 3 (*x*-axis); letters indicate significantly different groups (Wilcoxon pairwise test).

(Kruskal-Wallis test:  $\chi^2 = 2928.96$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Wilcoxonpairwise test,  $p < 0.0001$ ) (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). Intertidal sediments were more gravelly than subtidal ones, which is a sign of stronger hydrodynamics, except for in area 3 (Table [1\)](#page-5-1). There was a gradient of decreasing particle size from area 2 to area 1 (Table [1\)](#page-5-1), area 3 differing from the other areas (two-way PERMANOVA, pseudo- $F_{(2,12)} = 4.560$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ), with no interaction between area and bathymetric levels (two-way PERMANOVA, pseudo- $F_{(2,12)} = 2.380, p = 0.052$ .

#### **Food availability**

TPOM and chlorophyll *a* were compared between areas, based on samples from the water column, and between areas and bathymetric levels for the sediment samples. The quantity of TPOM in the water column did not differ between areas (mean =  $1.3 \pm 0.3$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, one-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,14)} = 1.9$ ,  $p = 0.192$ , but there was significantly more chlorophyll *a* in area 2 (3.7 ± 0.3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) than in area 1 (2.7 ± 0.3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>; one-way ANOVA, *F*(2,14) = 7.4, *p* = 0.008; Tukey's HSD,  $p = 0.006$ ). Area 3 values were between those of area 2 and area 1, with a mean of 3.3  $\pm$  0.2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. For the surficial sediment TPOM, we found a significant interaction between area and bathymetric level but with no clear pattern (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,24)} = 15.5$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ). TPOM values varied from  $172 \pm 82$  mg m<sup>-2</sup> in area 1 (subtidal) to  $474 \pm 143$  mg m<sup>-2</sup> in area 2 (intertidal). Chl *a* values in the surficial sediments differed significantly according to both area (two-way ANOVA,

 $F_{(2,24)} = 19.1$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ) and bathymetric level (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1,24)} = 13.7$ ,  $p = 0,005$ ; Tukey's HSD,  $p = 0.006$ ). Chl *a* concentrations were highest in area 2 (215±101 mg m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to area 1 (116  $\pm$  54 mg m<sup>-2</sup>; Tukey's HSD,  $p =$ 0.005) and to area 3 (64 ± 43 mg m<sup>-2</sup>; Tukey's HSD,  $p \le$ 0.0001). Between the two bathymetric levels, there was more chlorophyll *a* in intertidal (167  $\pm$  100 mg m<sup>-2</sup>) than in subtidal surficial sediments (96±73 mg m<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> ) and no area × bathymetric level interaction was found (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,24)} = 0.2$ ,  $p = 0.852$ .

## **Growth**

An interaction between the "area" and "bathymetric" factors affected the height of *V. verrucosa* at 1 year (h 1, age one year, first winter rings on shells) and 5 years (h 5), although no clear trend could be identified at these ages (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). The same interaction was found for the *K* coefficient in the von Bertalanffy growth function, but *a posteriori* comparisons were not significant. For the 9-year heights (h 9), data were pooled per area to obtain sufficient replicates  $(n = 55)$  to perform statistical analyses. In area 3 (no fishing, finer sand), the growth of *V. verrucosa* was significantly higher in terms of mean maximum size, i.e., shell height (*L*∞, ), and annual growth rate  $(\varphi')$  (Table [2\)](#page-6-0).

#### **Stable isotopes**

No significant differences were found between  $\delta^{15}$ N in the different tissue samples, indicating similarity in the trophic levels (two-way ANOVA, *p* > 0.05 for area, bathymetric level and their interactions). Corresponding  $\delta^{13}$ C ratios varied between  $-21.1\%$  and  $-20.0\%$ , and we observed a significant interaction between area and bathymetric level (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,12)} = 6.580$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ). This suggests that different sources of carbon were used for food but, as no clear pattern could be observed and values were very similar, we considered all clam samples as belonging to the same group (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1).

Seagrass, Chlorophyceae (*Ulva* sp., *Enteromorpha* sp.) and sediment were excluded as potential food sources for *V. verrucosa*. Sediment had highly variable values (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1), but these were still out of the range of the theoretical isotopic fractionation (TIF, McCutchan et al. [2003](#page-10-24)) of the *V. verrucosa* in this study:  $0.4\%$  for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $2.3\%$  for  $\delta^{15}$ N. Based on the TIF values, *V. verrucosa* is likely to feed on a water column

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2.** Growth parameters (mean ± standard deviation) of *Venus verrucosa* from the different sites. h: shell height (in mm), e.g., "h 1" (age one year: first winter rings of shells), different superscript letters indicate significant differences at *p* < 0.05 according to two-way ANOVA, as a function of the three areas (1,2, 3), the two bathymetric levels (*i*: intertidal and *s*: subtidal) and their interactions followed by a posteriori comparisons made with Tukey's test.

				Post-hoc tests			
Variable	<b>ANOVA</b>	$\boldsymbol{p}$	Area 2	Area 1	Area 3		
$h1$ (mm) age one year	Area Bathy. Area $\times$ Bathy.	0.545 0.072 0.017	(i) $6 \pm 2^{ab}$ (s) $7 \pm 3^{ab}$	(i) $6 \pm 2^b$ $(s)8 \pm 3^a$	(i) $7 \pm 1^a$ $(s)6 \pm 3^{ab}$		
$h 5$ (mm) age five years	Area Bathy. Area $\times$ Bathy.	0.158 (i) $30 \pm 3^{ab}$ 0.037 (s) $29 \pm 4^b$ 0.043		(i) $32 \pm 3^a$ $(s) 29 \pm 3^{b}$	(i) $30 \pm 3^{ab}$ (s) $30 \pm 4^{ab}$		
h9(mm) age nine years	Area	0.024	$39 \pm 3^{b}$	$40 \pm 3^{ab}$	$41 \pm 3^a$		
$K$ (year <sup>-1</sup> )	Area Bathy. Area $\times$ Bathy.	0.728 0.180 0.036	NS $K_{mean} = 0.35 \pm 0.25$				
$L_{\infty}$ (mm)	Area Bathy. Area $\times$ Bathy.	0.001 ${<}0.001$ 0.084	$44 \pm 7^{\rm b}$ (i) $44 \pm 4^b$	$45 \pm 6^b$ $(s)47 \pm 0^a$	$47 \pm 1^a$		
$t_0$ (year)	Area Bathy. Area $\times$ Bathy.	< 0.001 0.501 0.542	$-1.7 \pm 1.6^{\circ}$	$-1.9 \pm 0.0^b$	$-4.0 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$		
$\varphi'$ (mm year <sup>-1</sup> )	Area Bathy. Area $\times$ Bathy.	${<}0.001$ 0.202 0.372	$2.8 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$2.8 \pm 0.2^b$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^a$		

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Fig. 4.** Mean ( $\%$ <sup>0</sup> ± standard deviation)  $\delta$ <sup>13</sup>C and  $\delta$ <sup>15</sup>N of *V. verrucosa* and its potential food sources. WC: water column sampled in areas 1, 2 and 3 (3: protected area). *V. verrucosa* food sources according to theoretical isotopic fractionation are shown by the grey zone.

source. These were highly variable in our study, probably due to the tidal cycle and to some local effects (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1). Phaeophyceae appear to mix with the water column signal, in particular for *Pelvetia canaliculata*. Rhodophyceae (*Chondrus* sp. and *Vertebrata lanosa*) and could also be in the range of the theoretical food source, being lower in  $^{13}$ C and  $^{15}$ N. Although the isotopic signal associated with water column samples was highly variable, related *C*/*N* ratios fluctuated within the classic range for macroalgae  $(4 < C/N < 10$ , Meyers [1997](#page-10-25)), suggesting that they contribute to the water column.

#### **Lipids**

Total FA concentration (sum of all fatty acids) was significantly higher in the digestive glands of *V. verrucosa* in intertidal sites (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,24)} = 4.4$ ,  $p = 0.047$ , Table [3\)](#page-7-0), with no significant differences between areas  $(p = 0.450)$  or for the interaction  $(p = 0.910)$ .

The same pattern was found for the condition index (CI), with no significant differences between areas ( $p = 0.374$ ) or for the interaction (*p* = 0.941), but better CI in *V. verrucosa* from the intertidal level (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,114)} = 13.9$ ,  $p = 0.0003$ , Fig. [5\)](#page-8-0).

FA profiles of digestive glands were different between the two bathymetric levels (two-way PERMANOVA, pseudo- $F_{(1,24)} = 3.8, p = 0.013,$  Table [3\)](#page-7-0), but not between areas  $(p = 0.509)$ , and the interaction was not significant  $(p = 0.876)$ . The SIMPER analysis showed that their composition was more than 88% similar. FA compositions of elements identified as a potential food source, either by the isotopic results or by similarity with digestive gland FAs of *V. verrucosa* are shown in Table [3](#page-7-0) (water column, sediment, Phaeophycea and Rhodophyceae macroalgae). In the Phaeophyceae, only the Fucales group, which includes *A. nodosum*, *F. serratus*, *F. vesiculosus* and *P. canaliculata*, is shown in Table [3,](#page-7-0) as their lipidic profiles were 90% similar. Main FAs of the Phaeophyceae (data not shown) were the same as the Fucales group (Table 3) with small variations in their relative proportions, less than 6%, except for *Sargassum muticum* profiles, for which C16:0 was higher ( $24.5 \pm 1.7\%$ ) and C18:1 $\omega$ 9 was lower (7.6  $\pm$  0.4%) than that proportion. A significant difference was found between the lipid profiles associated with

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3.** Fatty acid composition (mean percent ± standard deviation) of the digestive gland of *Venus verrucosa* in the intertidal and subtidal sites, water column, sediment, and seaweeds (Phaeo- and Rhodophyceae), bold type and letters indicate significantly different groups (Tukey's HSD,  $p > 0.05$ ); total fatty acids given in mg g<sup>-1</sup> dry mass.

Fatty acids	Digestive gland		Water column		Sediment		Phaeophyceae	Rhodophyceae		
	Intertidal <sup>a</sup>	Subtidal <sup>b</sup>	$2^{\rm a}$	1 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>c</sup>	Intertidal <sup>a</sup>	Subtidal <sup>b</sup>	Fucales	Vertebrata lanosa	Chondrus sp.
Saturated										
C12:0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.5$	$0.6 \pm 0.4^{\rm a}$	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$2.0 \pm 0.6$
C14:0	$8.8\pm1.4^{\rm a}$	$6.8\pm1.0^{\rm b}$	$20.1 \pm 1.4^{ab}$	$19.0\pm0.8^a$	$21.0 \pm 1.1^b$	$3.7\pm0.6^a$	$6.8\pm0.6^{\rm b}$	$10.5 \pm 1.0$	$2.7\pm0.1$	$18.7\pm2.3$
C15:0	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.1^{ab}$	$0.7 \pm 0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.9 \pm 0.1^b$	$1.4 \pm 0.9$	$1.4 \pm 0.9$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.4\pm0.0$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$
C16:0	$23.1 \pm 4.7$	$21.5 \pm 2.5$	$17.0 \pm 1.7$	$17.0 \pm 0.9$	$17.0 \pm 0.7$	$25.5 \pm 3.3$	$27.2 \pm 3.3$	$14.4 \pm 2.1$	$24.7 \pm 0.6$	$55.2 \pm 3.8$
C18:0	$4.2 \pm 0.9$	$4.1 \pm 1.0$	$3.6\pm1.0$	$3.2 \pm 0.6$	$4.1\pm0.7$	$4.0\pm1.2^a$	$11.1 \pm 1.2^b$	$1.0\pm0.5$	$1.2 \pm 0.0$	$1.8 \pm 0.5$
C20:0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$1.4 \pm 0.1^{\rm a}$	$1.2\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$1.0 \pm 0.1^b$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$\Sigma$ SFA	$36.5 \pm 7.1^a$	$32.9 \pm 4.5^b$	$44.0 \pm 4.6^{ab}$	$42.0 \pm 2.6^a$	$45.1 \pm 3.1^{\rm b}$	$35.5 \pm 6.7^{\rm a}$	$49.3 \pm 6.7^{\rm b}$	$26.6 \pm 3.8$	$29.1 \pm 0.8$	$78.3 \pm 7.3$
<b>Branched</b>										
$C15:0$ (iso)	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.7 \pm 0.2^b$	$0.8\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2^b$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.0$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$C15:0$ (anteiso)	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.4\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.3\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.6 \pm 0.2^b$	$0.7\pm0.3^a$	$1.1 \pm 0.3^b$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.0$	$\mathbf{0}$
$\Sigma$ Branched	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.8 \pm 0.2^{\rm a}$	$0.7 \pm 0.1^{\rm a}$	$1.3 \pm 0.5^{\rm b}$	$1.5 \pm 0.7^{\rm a}$	$2.2 \pm 0.5^{\rm b}$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.0$	$\mathbf{0}$
Monounsaturated										
C14:1	$1.9\pm0.4$	$2.2 \pm 0.5$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$C16:1\omega9$	$0.1\pm0.0^a$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$1.4\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$1.0 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$1.3\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$
$C16:1\omega$ 7	$10.0 \pm 1.3$	$9.3 \pm 1.1$	$15.0 \pm 0.8$	$16.1 \pm 0.6$	$14.9 \pm 1.4$	$26.0 \pm 5.7^{\rm a}$	$14.5 \pm 5.7^b$	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	$9.3 \pm 1.0$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$
$C18:1\omega9$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$2.4\pm0.4$	$2.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.0 \pm 0.3$	$2.4\pm0.3$	$2.7\pm0.3$	$22.5 \pm 3.4$	$4.1\pm0.1$	$7.8\pm0.6$
$C18:1\omega$ 7	$5.7 \pm 0.9$	$5.1\pm0.7$	$2.0 \pm 0.2$	$2.0\pm0.3$	$2.4\pm0.4$	$3.9\pm0.9$	$3.2\pm0.7$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$10.5\pm0.6$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$
C <sub>20</sub> : $1\omega$ <sup>7</sup>	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$\Sigma$ MFA	$20.5 \pm 3.2^a$	$19.5 \pm 3.0^{\rm b}$	$20.9 \pm 1.6$	$21.3 \pm 1.2$	$20.6 \pm 2.1$	$33.5 \pm 7.5^{\rm a}$	$21.9 \pm 7.5^{\rm b}$	$25.2 \pm 4.6$	$25.3 \pm 2.0$	$11.5 \pm 1.3$
Polyunsaturated										
$C16:2\omega4$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$2.0\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$1.7 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$1.8\pm0.2^{\rm ab}$	$1.4\pm0.3^{\rm a}$	$0.9\pm0.3^{\rm b}$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.2 \pm 0.5$	$\mathbf{0}$
$C16:3\omega4$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.0$	$\mathbf{0}$
$C16:4\omega1$	$0.9\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$1.1 \pm 0.3^b$	$2.8 \pm 0.7$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	$2.6\pm0.7$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
C18:2 $\omega$ 6	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.5\pm0.6^a$	$1.0 \pm 0.6^b$	$10.9 \pm 0.9$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$
$C18:3\omega3$	$0.7\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$0.8 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$1.5\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	$1.8 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$1.1\pm0.1^c$	$1.4 \pm 1.1$	$0.7\pm1.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.8$	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$C18:4\omega3$	$3.2 \pm 0.7$	$3.5 \pm 0.7$	$5.8\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$6.0 \pm 0.1^{\rm a}$	$4.6 \pm 0.2^b$	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	$4.0 \pm 0.8$	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$C20:2\omega$ 7	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.6\pm0.0^{\rm a}$	$0.5\pm0.0^{\rm ab}$	$0.5 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$1.1 \pm 0.7$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
C20:4 $\omega$ 6	$1.8\pm0.4^{\rm a}$	$1.5 \pm 0.3^b$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.8 \pm 1.4$	$2.5\pm1.4$	$14.7 \pm 1.2$	$6.3\pm1.1$	$5.6 \pm 1.7$
$C20:5\omega3$	$16.3 \pm 3.6$	$18.6 \pm 3.4$	$10.1 \pm 0.6$	$11.4 \pm 0.7$	$10.5 \pm 1.1$	$7.0 \pm 3.1$	$6.7 \pm 3.1$	$7.1 \pm 0.9$	$22.4 \pm 0.9$	$2.7 \pm 0.5$
C22:2 $\omega$ 9	$1.4 \pm 0.3^{\rm a}$	$1.9 \pm 0.6^b$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0.0 \pm 0.3$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
C22:4 $\omega$ 6	$0.9\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$1.2 \pm 0.2^b$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$C22:5\omega3$	$1.1\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$1.5 \pm 0.4^b$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$C22:6\omega3$	$4.6 \pm 1.2^{\rm a}$	$5.8 \pm 1.1^{\rm b}$	$4.9 \pm 0.4^{\rm a}$	$6.3 \pm 1.2^b$	$5.2 \pm 1.3^{ab}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.5$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$\mathsf{\Sigma PUFA}% _{\mathsf{Lip}}^{\mathsf{Lip}}(\mathcal{N}_{0})\cong\mathsf{Lip}_{\mathsf{Lip}}^{\mathsf{Lip}}(\mathcal{N}_{0})$	$33.9 \pm 7.3^a$	$38.7 \pm 7.5^{\rm b}$	$32.0 \pm 2.7^{ab}$	$33.7 \pm 3.1^a$	$30.0 \pm 4.2^b$	$19.2 \pm 8.6$	$16.4 \pm 8.6$	$44.2 \pm 6.0$	$39.6 \pm 4.2$	$9.2 \pm 2.4$
Total fatty acids	$41.6 \pm 9.4^{\rm a}$	$34.2 \pm 7.1^{\rm b}$	$30.3 \pm 5.4$	$38.1 \pm 5.2$	$27.7 \pm 11.7$	$0.1\pm0.04^a$	$0.08 \pm 0.03^b$	$1.8 \pm 1.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$

water column samples from the three areas (one-way PER-MANOVA, pseudo- $F_{(2,12)} = 4.1284$ ,  $p = 0.0003$ , Table [3\)](#page-7-0), but their similarities exceeded 92%. The main FAs of the water column were C14:0, C16:0, C16:1ω7, C18:4ω3, C20:5ω3 and C22: $6\omega$ 3 (Table [3\)](#page-5-0).

The sources the most similar to digestive gland FA compositions were water column samples (>67%), *Vertebrata lanosa* (>68%) and Phaeophyceae (>46%), respectively. The sediment samples, as shown by isotopic markers, can be excluded as a direct potential source (Fig. [6\)](#page-8-1). Contributions of important FAs associated with Phaeo- and Rhodophyceae macroalgae (C18:1 $\omega$ 9 and C20:4 $\omega$ 6) were negligible (<2%) in the digestive glands (Table 3). The FA profiles from the digestive glands of *Venus verrucosa* resembled those of the Phaeophyceae as shown by n-MDS analysis (Fig. [6\)](#page-8-1).

## **4 Discussion**

Our results clearly show that local-scale growth differences in *V. verrucosa* are not explained by differential trophic resources in the Chausey archipelago. In this study, long term (stable isotopes) or more recent food (FA profiles of the digestive glands) assimilations did not differ between sites. Food availability is a major factor influencing growth rates of bivalves (Richardson et al. [1980;](#page-11-13) Nerot et al. [2012\)](#page-10-14). In the Mediterranean Sea, Arneri [\(1998](#page-9-0)) found better growth rates where the quantity of nutrients was higher in sites with similar temperatures, suggesting that food availability plays an important role in the growth of venerids. Moreover, no changes were found in the amount of TPOM between all sites, but the lowest growth rates observed in our work were associated with

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Fig. 5.** Upper panel: total fatty acid content of the digestive gland (± standard deviation) of *V. verrucosa*; lower panel: condition index ( $% \pm$  standard deviation) in area 1 to 3 (*x*-axis). Circles or squares correspond intertidal and subtidal levels, respectively.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**Fig. 6.** Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling of the Bray-Curtis similarity matrix based on the relative abundance of fatty acid profiles associated with digestive gland (DG) of either intertidal or subtidal samples (O) and the potential food sources as water column  $( \Box )$  open square), sediment from either the intertidal or subtidal level ( $\Delta$  open triangle), Phaeophyceae ( $\Diamond$  open losange), Chlorophyceae (plain losange), *Chondrus* sp. (Rhodophyceae, ▲plain triangle), *Vertebrata lanosa* (Rhodophyceae, open inverted triangle) and seagrass (x).

the areas that had the richest chlorophyll *a* concentrations. All these indicators of food availability suggest that we cannot discriminate the growth rate differences of *V. verrucosa* observed in Chausey archipelago according to this factor.

Amongst other environmental factors that influence bivalve growth, salinity and density could be crucial, but the Chausey archipelago is located 17 km off Granville (on the coast of Normandy) and isolated from freshwater inputs (Royer et al. [2013](#page-11-11)), and the mean density of *V. verrucosa* seems very low  $(0.27 \pm 0.05 \text{ ind. m}^{-2})$ ; Gaillard [2010](#page-10-9)), compared to the mean density in the "Rade de Brest" where

a wider size range (from 5 mm to 60 mm) was considered (4 to 14 ind.  $m^{-2}$ , Pitel-Roudaut et al. [2006\)](#page-11-14). Moreover, temperatures and emersion times were similar between areas with the same bathymetric level.

Height of waves was a highly variable parameter between the studied areas and it is well known that waves generate strong hydrodynamic benthic boundary layer stress (Vogel [1984;](#page-11-15) Dame [1996\)](#page-10-26). Indeed, the slow-growing site was characterized by higher wave amplitudes, and its sediment contained larger sand grains. Whereas moderate levels of turbulence (e.g., area 3 in this study) can favour passive filterfeeding by decreasing the pumping activity of bivalves and also avoid food depletion, high turbulence levels often inhibit feeding processes due to a differential pressure between the exhalant and inhalant aperture of the siphon (Wildish and Saulnier [1993\)](#page-11-16) and can induce shell closure (Wildish and Kristmanson [2005\)](#page-11-17). For a filter-feeder, shell closure makes feeding impossible, which would reduce the potential energy input for *V. verrucosa* and might lead to a diminution in growth rate. Also, a strong hydrodynamic influence on sediment stability can dislodge the bivalves from their physical position (Rufino et al. [2010\)](#page-11-18). These movements also induce the bivalves to rebury themselves, which can be costly in terms of energy (Urban [1994\)](#page-11-19). In the Chausey archipelago, we suggest that the hydrosedimentary stress, which generates sediment instability, could have a major influence on *V. verrucosa* growth.

#### **Trophic resources**

Coupled approaches of isotopic and fatty acid analyses showed that Chausey *V. verrucosa* feeds mostly on pelagic microalgae but also that its diet is enriched in dissolved exudates of Rhodophyceae and Phaeophyceae macroalgae. The *V. verrucosa* diet could be inferred from stable isotope results, as each source showed a distinct signal (Riera et al. [2004\)](#page-11-20). The content of the water column was identified as the main food source with the isotopic fractionation factor of  $0.4\%$ for  $\delta^{13}$ C and 2.3‰ for  $\delta^{15}$ N, which is a mean for aquatic animals (McCutchan et al. [2003;](#page-10-24) Belicka et al. [2012\)](#page-10-27). From isotopic signals, sediments were excluded as a food source even if their FAs profiles showed similarity with those of digestive gland and water column samples. This could be explained by the prevalence of similar microalgae markers in both water and sediment samples, such as diatoms ( $C16:1\omega$ 7 and C20:5 $\omega$ 3) and dinoflagellates (C18:4 $\omega$ 3 and C22:6 $\omega$ 3; Dalsgaard et al. [2003;](#page-10-15) Kelly and Scheibling [2012](#page-10-16)). The similarity between lipid profiles of *V. verrucosa* tissue and water column samples emphasize the conclusion that *V. verrucosa* is a strict filter-feeding species.

The benthic food web is supported by many sources of primary production (macroalgae, vascular plants, phytoplankton, etc.) and it can be difficult to distinguish their specific contributions when an organism has an omnivorous diet (Dalsgaard et al. [2003](#page-10-15); Kelly and Scheibling 2012). We observed a great variation of stable isotopes in the suspended particulate organic matter of the water column. This variability could be caused by blooms of phytoplankton at a very local scale or by different particulate organic matter enrichment of  $\delta^{13}C$  from the water masses, depending on whether these come from

inshore or offshore (Miller and Page [2012\)](#page-10-28). One great challenge in characterizing the suspended particulate organic matter in the water column is to separate phytoplankton from macrophyte detritus (Michener and Kaufman [2007\)](#page-10-29). The isotopic results suggest that Rhodophyceae and Phaeophyceae macroalgae, which are common in Chausey (Lami [1972](#page-10-30)), would excrete exudates that might be associated with the particulate organic matter of the water column. Phaeophycea and Rhodophycea macroalgae can secrete exudates that could play a significant role as a carbon source in nearshore systems (Alber and Valiela [1996;](#page-9-3) Fredriksen [2003;](#page-10-31) Gollety et al. [2010](#page-10-32)), either as aggregates or dissolved organic material, at various contribution levels (Cranford and Grant [1990;](#page-10-33) Alber and Valiela [1996\)](#page-9-3). As these exudates might not be lipidic, this could explain why macroalgae traces are found in isotope signals of the water column and not in *V. verrucosa*. It is not the first time that *V. verrucosa* has been shown to assimilate dissolved exudates, especially when these originate from bacte-

ria rather than phytoplankton (Amouroux [1984\)](#page-9-2). Thus, there is still a need to understand the nature and the ecological roles of such macroalgal exudates.

#### **Mechanism of compensatory growth**

No growth differences could be observed between intertidal and subtidal *V. verrucosa* in this macrotidal environment (up to 14 m, tidal range), although intertidal specimens were subjected to potential thermal (exposure up to 25 °C with a 10 °C thermal range within 12 h) and emersion stresses. The limits of thermal tolerance of this species are not welldefined, but it has been shown that the tidal cycle in temperate areas slows down the growth rate for many other bivalves, such as cockles (Richardson et al. [1980;](#page-11-13) de Montaudouin [1996\)](#page-10-12) and mussels in temperate areas (Menai Strait, UK), in which growth is slower below 3 ◦C and above 20 ◦C (Almada-Villela et al. [1982\)](#page-9-4). Those lower growth rates could be related to other factors in the intertidal environment, such as physiological stress caused by high temperature variations, especially in macrotidal areas (Thompson [1984;](#page-11-5) Pernet et al. [2007\)](#page-11-21). In the Mediterranean Sea, Arneri et al. [\(1998\)](#page-9-0) hypothesized that high summer temperatures could inflate *V. verrucosa* metabolism and decrease growth performance. Emersion could also restrict access to food supply and affect condition index (de Montaudouin [1996\)](#page-10-12). *V. verrucosa* showed the same growth performances in intertidal areas as in subtidal ones, but had higher total lipid concentrations in the digestive glands and better condition index in intertidal areas. These results could suggest that *V. verrucosa* can adapt its filtration rate during immersion in the intertidal zone to ingest more food than in the subtidal zone. Similar acclimatization patterns have already been observed on the cirriped *Semibalanus balanoides* and were also suggested in the cockle *Cerastoderma edule* (Richardson et al. [1980\)](#page-11-13). This acclimatization pattern could be defined as a mechanism of compensatory growth, which is an energy supplementing adaptation resulting in greater nutritional input per unit immersion time in intertidal compared with subtidal individuals of the same population, as de-scribed by Gillmor [\(1982\)](#page-10-34). These physiological trade-offs are complex (Bayne [2004\)](#page-10-35) and better knowledge of *V. verrucosa* metabolism is needed to define this intertidal strategy.

## **5 Conclusion**

*Venus verrucosa* appears to be a strict filter-feeder in the Chausey archipelago, feeding mainly on microalgae of the water column, but with the capacity to use carbon from dissolved exudates of Rhodo- or Phaeophycea macroalgae as food sources. These other carbon sources are probably easily available in this area, given the large abundance of these macroalgae. As *V. verrucosa* from different areas at the same intertidal level ingest a similar quantity and quality of food sources in the long term, we conclude that the hydrodynamic conditions generated by waves are the major factor controlling growth performance in this archipelago. Furthermore, mechanisms of compensatory growth were revealed in the intertidal populations. All this new knowledge on the physiology of *V. verrucosa* should be taken into account in sustainable management programs for the Chausey populations.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would especially like to thank M.-F. Bernard, Y. Turgis (*Syndicat Mixte Espaces littoraux de la Manche* (SyMEL)) and J.-M. Mortillaro (MNHN) for helping us during the field work. We are also grateful to the fisherman P. Delacour and his crew for collecting warty venus specimens so close to the rocks! We thank C. Boinet and J. Fournier from the CRESCO (Dinard, France), E. Dabas from the LASAA/LEMAR (Brest, France) and J. Granger for their technical support with dredge improvements, shell sample work and laboratory analyses. We would also like to thank L. Godet from Géolittomer (Nantes, France) and C. Hubas from the MNHN (Paris, France) for their great help with the figures. Financial support, including an MSc grant to V. Perez, was provided by National Agencies (*Agence de l'eau, Seine Normandie* AESN, SyMEL, *Agence des Aires Marines Protégées*) in the context of Marine Protected Areas in the Atlantic Arc (MAIA) project. Special thanks to the *Réseau d'Aquaculture du Québec* (RAQ) for providing complementary funds for meetings and symposium trips by scientists and students and also for technical support at the ISMER/UQAR. We are grateful to the *Fonds de Recherche du Québec - Nature et Technologies* (FQRNT) for providing a grant to V. Perez for a 8-month placement in MNHN Paris to do the fatty acid analyses.

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Alber M., Valiela I., 1996, Utilization of microbial organic aggregates by bay scallops, *Argopecten irradians* (Lamarck). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 195, 71–89.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Almada-Villela P.C., Davenport J., Gruffydd L.D., 1982, The effects of temperature on the shell growth of young *Mytilus edulis* L. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 59, 275–288.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Amouroux J.M., 1984, Preliminary study on the consumption of dissolved organic matter (exudates) of bacteria and phytoplankton by the marine bivalve *Venus verrucosa*. Mar. Biol. 82, 109–112.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Arneri E., Giannetti G., Antolini B., 1998, Age determination and growth of *Venus verrucosa* L. (Bivalvia: Veneridae) in the southern Adriatic and the Aegean Sea. Fish. Res. 38, 193–198.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Barbin L., Boarini I., Borasio P.G., Barion P., Fiorini S., Rossi R., Biondi C., 2003, Nitric oxide-mediated arachidonic acid release from perifused *Venus verrucosa* oocytes. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 130, 215–221.
- <span id="page-10-35"></span>Bayne B.L., 2004, Phenotypic flexibility and physiological tradeoffs in the feeding and growth of marine bivalve molluscs. Integr. Comp. Biol. 44, 425–432.
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Belicka L.L., Sokol E.R., Hoch J.M., Jaffé R., Trexler J.C., 2012, A molecular and stable isotopic approach to investigate algal and detrital energy pathways in a freshwater marsh. Wetlands 32, 531–542.
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Bligh E.G., Dyer W.J., 1959, A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can J. Biochem. Physiol. 37, 911–917
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Blott S.J., Pye K., 2001, GRADISTAT: A grain size distribution and statistics package for the analysis of unconsolidated sediments. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 26, 1237–1248
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>CNROP, 1993, Fisheries and stock evaluation in Mauritania: avenues for development and management. Report of the 3rd Working Group of CNROP, Nouadhibou, Mauritania. http://[hdl.handle.](http://hdl.handle.net/1834/1246) net/1834/[1246.](http://hdl.handle.net/1834/1246)
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>Cranford P.J., Grant J., 1990, Particle clearance and absorption of phytoplankton and detritus by the sea scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* (Gmelin). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 137, 105–121.
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Dalsgaard J., St John M., Kattner G., Muller-Navarra D., Hagen W., 2003, Fatty acid trophic markers in the pelagic marine environment. Adv. Mar. Biol. 46, 225–340.
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Dame R.F., 1996, Ecology of marine bivalves: an ecosystem approach, Boca Raton, CRC Press.
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Dang C., de Montaudouin X., Gam M., Paroissin C., Bru N., Caill-Milly N., 2010, The Manila clam population in Arcachon Bay (SW France) : Can it be kept sustainable? J. Sea Res. 63, 108–118.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>De Montaudouin X., 1996, Factors involved in growth plasticity of cockles *Cerastoderma edule* (L), identified by field survey and transplant experiments. J. Sea Res. 36, 251–265.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Djabali F., Yahiaoui M., 1978, La praire (*Venus verrucosa* L.) en rade de Brest et en baie de Granville : biologie – production – exploitation. Thèse Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>El-Menif N.T., Guezzi Y., Lahbib Y., Ramdani M., Flower R., 2008, Effects of biogenic concretions, epibionts, and endobionts on the relative growth of the clam *Venus verrucosa* in Bizerta Lagoon, Tunisia. J. Shellfish Res. 27, 1087–1092.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Ezgeta-Balic D., Najdek M., Peharda M., Blazina M., 2012, Seasonal fatty acid profile analysis to trace origin of food sources of four commercially important bivalves. Aquaculture 334, 89–100.
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>Fredriksen S., 2003, Food web studies in a Norwegian kelp forest based on stable isotope ( $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N) analysis. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 260, 71–81.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Gaillard B., 2010, Gestion d'une espèce à haute valeur patrimoniale dans l'archipel des îles Chausey : rôles respectifs des contraintes environnementales et anthropiques dans la dynamique des populations de la praire *Venus verrucosa* (L., 1758). Gestion des écosystèmes marins et côtiers. Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale de Calais, USTL, Lille, Master 2 Pro
- <span id="page-10-34"></span>Gillmor R.B., 1982, Assessment of intertidal bivalve growth and capacity adaptations in suspension-feeding bivalves. Mar. Biol. 68, 277–286.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Glover E.A., Taylor J.D., 2010, Needles and pins: acicular crystalline periostracal calcification in venerid bivalves (Bivalvia: Veneridae). J. Mollus. Stud. 76, 157–179.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Godet L., 2008, L'évaluation des besoins de conservation d'un patrimoine naturel littoral marin: l'exemple des estrans meubles de l'archipel de Chausey. Thèse, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
- Godet L., Toupoint N., Fournier J., Le Mao P., Retiere C., Olivier F., 2009, Clam farmers and oystercatchers: effects of the degradation of *Lanice conchilega* beds by shellfish farming on the spatial distribution of shorebirds. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 589–595.
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>Gollety C., Riera P., Davoult D., 2010, Complexity of the food web structure of the *Ascophylum nodosum* zone evidenced by a  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N study. J. Sea Res. 64, 304–312.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Gosling E., 2003, Bivalve molluscs: Biology, Ecology and Culture, Oxford, Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Gunsen U., Aydin A., Ozcan A., 2008, Determination of the toxin profile of *Venus gallina*, *Venus verrucosa* and *Cardium edule* mussels in Turkey. Arch. Lebensmittelhyg. 59, 233–238.
- Higgins R.P., Thiel H., 1988, Introduction to the study of meiofauna, Washington, DC, Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Jeffrey S.W., Mantoura R.F.C., Whright S.W., 1997, Phytoplankton pigments in oceanography: guidelines to modern methods, Paris, **UNESCO.**
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Kelly J.R., Scheibling R.E., 2012, Fatty acids as dietary tracers in benthic food webs. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 446, 1–22.
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>Lami R., 1972, Florule algologique des Iles Chausey par Gontran Hamel. Bull. Lab. Marit. Dinard 1, 225–241.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Le Berre S., Brigand L., 2011, La pêche à pied dans l'archipel de Chausey (France) : contribution à une analyse des politiques de gestion durable de l'estran à travers la prise en compte des activités récréatives. In: Tagliani F. (Ed) Insularité et développement durable, Brest, IRD, Coll. Objectifs Sud, pp.149–167.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Lorrain A., Paulet Y.M., Chauvaud L., Savoye N., Donval A., Saout C., 2002, Differential delta C-13 and delta N-15 signatures among scallop tissues: implications for ecology and physiology. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 275, 47–61.
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>McCutchan J.H., Lewis W.M., Kendall C., McGrath C.C., 2003, Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. Oikos 102, 378–390.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Meyers P.A., 1997, Organic geochemical proxies of paleoceanographic, paleolimnologic, and paleoclimatic processes. Org. Geochem. 27, 213–250.
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Meziane T., Tsuchiya M., 2002, Organic matter in a subtropical mangrove-estuary subjected to wastewater discharge: Origin and utilisation by two macrozoobenthic species. J. Sea Res. 47, 1–11.
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Michener R.H., Kaufman L., 2007, Stable isotope ratios as tracers. In: Michener R.H., Lajtha K. (Eds.) Stable isotopes in ecology and environmental science. Blackwell, Malden, pp. 238–282.
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Miller R.J., Page H.M., 2012, Kelp as a trophic resource for marine suspension feeders: a review of isotope-based evidence. Mar. Biol. 159, 1391–1402.
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Mortillaro J.M., Abril G., Moreira-Turcq P., Sobrinho R.L., Perez M., Meziane T., 2011, Fatty acid and stable isotope  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N signatures of particulate organic matter in the lower Amazon River: Seasonal contrasts and connectivity between floodplain lakes and the mainstem. Org. Geochem. 42, 1159–1168.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Morton B., Peharda M., Petric M., 2011, Functional morphology of *Rocellaria dubia* (Bivalvia: Gastrochaenidae) with new interpretations of crypt formation and adventitious tube construction, and a discussion of evolution within the family. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 104, 786–804.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Nerot C., Lorrain A., Grall J., Gillikin D.P., Munaron J.M., Le Bris H., Paulet Y.M., 2012, Stable isotope variations in benthic filter feeders across a large depth gradient on the continental shelf. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 96, 228–235
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Pasquale V., Romano V., Rupnik M., Capuano F., Bove D., Aliberti F., Krovacek K., Dumontet S., 2012, Occurrence of toxigenic *Clostridium di*ffi*cile* in edible bivalve molluscs. Food Microbiol. 31, 309–312.
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Pauly D., Munro J.L., 1984, Once more on the comparison of growth in fishes and invertebrates. Fishbyte 2, 21–22
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Peharda M., Ezgeta-Balic D., Vrgoc N., Isajlovic I., Bogner D., 2010, Description of bivalve community structure in the Croatian part

of the Adriatic Sea - hydraulic dredge survey. Acta Adriat. 51, 141–158.

- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Pernet F., Gauthier-Clerc S., Mayrand É., 2007, Change in lipid composition in eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica* Gmelin) exposed to constant or fluctuating temperature regimes. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 147, 557–565.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Peterson B.J., Fry B., 1987, Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 18, 293–320.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Pitel M., Berthou P., Fifas S., 2001, Caractéristiques de dragues et pêcheries. Ifremer Rep., Brest.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Pitel-Roudaut M., Martin S., Fifas S., Huet J., Berthou P., 2006, Évaluation du stock de praires en rade de Brest. Ifremer Rep. **Brest**.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Poppe G.T., Goto Y., 1993, European seashells. Volume 2 (Scaphopoda, Bivalvia, Cephalopoda). Wiesbaden, Verlag Christa Hemmen.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Post D.M., 2002, Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: Models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology 83, 703–718.
- Quinn G.P., Keough M.J., 2002, Experimental design and data analysis for biologists, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Richardson C.A., Crisp D.J., Runham N.W., 1980, Factors influencing shell growth in *Cerastoderma edule*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. 210, 513–531.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Riera P., Stal L., Nieuwenhuize J., 2004, Utilization of food sources by invertebrates in a man-made intertidal ecosystem (Westerschelde, the Netherlands): a  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N study. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 84, 323–326.
- Romeo M., Gnassia-Barelli M., 1988, *Donax trunculus* and *Venus verrucosa* as bioindicators of trace-metal concentrations in Mauritanian coastal waters. Mar. Biol. 99, 223–227.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Ronconi R.A., Koopman H.N., McKinstry C.A.E., Wong S.N.P., Westgate A.J., 2010, Inter-annual variability in diet of nonbreeding pelagic seabirds *Pu*ffi*nus* spp. at migratory staging areas: evidence from stable isotopes and fatty acids. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 419, 267–282.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Royer C., Thébault J., Chauvaud L., Olivier F., 2013, Structural analysis and paleoenvironmental potential of dog cockle shells (*Glycymeris glycymeris*) in Brittany, northwest France. Palaeogeogr. Paleoclim. Paleoecol. 373, 123–132.
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Rufino M.M., Gaspar M.B., Pereira A.M., Maynou F., Monteiro C.C., 2010, Ecology of megabenthic bivalve communities from sandy beaches on the south coast of Portugal. Sci. Mar. 74, 163–178.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Siniscalchi A., Cavallini S., Sonetti D., Sbrenna G., Capuano S., Barbin L., Turolla E., Rossi R., 2004, Serotonergic neurotransmission in the bivalve *Venus verrucosa* (Veneridae): a neurochemical and immunohistochemical study of the visceral ganglion and gonads. Mar. Biol. 144, 1205–1212.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Sokal R.R., Rohlf F.J., 1995, Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in biological research. New York, 3rd edition, W.H. Freeman.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Thompson R.J., 1984, Production, reproductive effort, reproductive value and reproductive cost in a population of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* from a subarctic environment. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 16, 249–257.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Tirado C., Salas C., Marquez I., 2003, Reproduction of *Venus verrucosa* L., 1758 (Bivalvia: Veneridae) in the littoral of Malaga (southern Spain). Fish. Res. 63, 437–445.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Toupoint N., Godet L., Fournier J., Retière C., Olivier F., 2008, Does Manila clam cultivation affect habitats of the engineer species *Lanice conchilega* (Pallas, 1766)? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 56, 1429–1438.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Trigui El-Menif N., Guezzi Y., Le Pennec M., Boumaiza M., Le Pennec G., 2005, Infestation of the clam *Venus verrucosa* by Sipunculoidea and the lithophagus bivalve, *Gastrochaena dubia*. Acta Adrat. 46, 83–90.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Tucker M.J., Pitt E.G., 2001. Waves in Ocean Engineering. Elsevier Science.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Urban H.J., 1994, Adaptations of six infaunal bivalve species of Chile: Coexistence resulting from differences in morphology, burrowing depth and substrate preference. Arch. Fish. Mar. Res. 42, 183–193.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Vogel S., 1984, Drag and flexibility in sessile organisms. Am. Zool. 24, 37–44.
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Wildish D., Kristmanson D., 2005, Benthic suspension feeders and flow. New York, Cambridge University Press
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Wildish D.J., Saulnier A.M., 1993, Hydrodynamic control of filtration in *Placopecten magellanicus*. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 174, 65–82.