



HAL
open science

An Intercomparison Study of the Germanium Isotope Composition of Geological Reference Materials

Raphaëlle Escoube, Olivier J. Rouxel, Béatrice Luais, Emmanuel Ponzevera,
Olivier François Xavier Donard

► **To cite this version:**

Raphaëlle Escoube, Olivier J. Rouxel, Béatrice Luais, Emmanuel Ponzevera, Olivier François Xavier Donard. An Intercomparison Study of the Germanium Isotope Composition of Geological Reference Materials. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, 2012, 36 (2), pp.149-159. 10.1111/j.1751-908X.2011.00135.x . hal-00732175

HAL Id: hal-00732175

<https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-00732175>

Submitted on 2 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The definitive version is available at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/>

An Intercomparison Study of the Germanium Isotope Composition of Geological Reference Materials

Raphaëlle Escoube^{1,2}, Olivier J. Rouxel^{2,3,4,*}, Béatrice Luais⁵, Emmanuel Ponzevera⁴,
 Olivier F.X. Donard²

¹ LCABIE, U. Pau et Pays de l'Adour, CNRS UMR 525, Hélioparc 64053 Pau, France

² UEB-UBO, European Institute for Marine Studies IUEM, 29280 Plouzané, France

³ Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA

⁴ IFREMER, Centre de Brest, DRO/GM, 29280 Plouzané, France

⁵ Centre de Recherches Péetrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG) CNRS – UPR 2300, Nancy-Université, 15 rue Notre Dame des Pauvres, BP 20, 54501 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

*: Corresponding author : Olivier J. Rouxel, email address : orouxel@ifremer.fr

Abstract :

Recent analytical developments in germanium stable isotope determination by multicollector ICP-MS have provided new perspectives for the use of Ge isotopes as geochemical tracers. Here, we report the germanium isotope composition of the NIST SRM 3120a elemental reference solution that has been calibrated relative to internal isotopic standard solutions used in the previous studies. We also intercalibrate several geological reference materials as well as geological and meteoritic samples using different techniques, including online hydride generation and a spray chamber for sample introduction to MC-ICP-MS, and different approaches for mass bias corrections such as sample–calibrator bracketing, external mass bias correction using Ga isotopes and double-spike normalisation. All methods yielded relatively similar precisions at around 0.1‰ (2s) for $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ values. Using igneous and mantle-derived rocks, the bulk silicate Earth (BSE) $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ value was re-evaluated to be $0.59 \pm 0.18\text{‰}$ (2s) relative to NIST SRM 3120a. Several sulfide samples were also analysed and yielded very negative values, down to -4.3‰ , consistent with recent theoretical study of Ge isotope fractionation. The strong heavy isotope depletion in ore deposits also contrasts with the generally positive Ge isotope values found in many modern and ancient marine sediments.

Résumé :

De récents développements analytiques ont permis la détermination de la composition isotopique du germanium par ICP-MS multi-collecteur, permettant de nouvelles perspectives dans l'utilisation des isotopes du germanium en tant que traceur géochimique. Dans ce papier, la composition isotopique du germanium de la solution de référence élémentaire NIST SRM 3120a a été calibrée par rapport aux standards utilisés dans les précédentes études. Différents matériaux de références ont été aussi intercalibrés ainsi que des échantillons géologiques et météoritiques en utilisant différentes techniques tels que l'introduction par génération d'hydrure en ligne et par chambre cyclonique, mais aussi différentes approches de correction de biais de masse tels que l'encadrement « échantillon-standard », l'utilisation d'un standard externe avec l'introduction de Ga et la normalisation par double spike. Toutes ces méthodes présentent des précisions relativement similaires autour de 0.1‰ (2s) pour la mesure du $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$. A partir des roches ignées et dérivées du manteau, la valeur de $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ de la terre silicatée globale (BSE) a été réévaluée autour de $0.59 \pm 0.18\text{‰}$ (2s) par rapport au NIST SRM 3120a. Plusieurs échantillons de sulfures ont aussi été analysés et présentent des valeurs très négatives, jusqu'à -4.3‰ , ce qui est consistant avec la récente étude théorique sur les fractionnements isotopiques du germanium. De plus, ce fort appauvrissement en isotope lourds dans les dépôts sulfurés contraste avec la tendance positive de la composition isotopique du germanium observée dans les sédiments marins actuels et passé.

Keywords : germanium ; Isotope ; Intercalibration ; reference materials

Mots clés : germanium ; Isotope ; Intercalibration ; matériaux de référence

Introduction

Germanium (Ge) has 5 natural isotopes: ^{70}Ge , ^{72}Ge , ^{73}Ge , ^{74}Ge and ^{76}Ge with average relative isotope abundances of 20.84, 27.54, 7.73, 36.28 and 7.61 %, respectively. Early investigations of Ge isotopes using thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS), SIMS and MC-ICP-MS were limited by an uncertainty of several per mil (Green *et al.* 1986, Hirata 1997, Shima 1964), mainly due to the presence of Ar-based interferences (Ar_2^+ and ArO_2^+) at m/z 72, 74, 76, as well as interferences from ^{70}Zn and ^{76}Se . More recently, further analytical developments by MC-ICP-MS have permitted high precision Ge-isotope measurement with repeatability around 0.06 ‰ per mass unit (Galy *et al.* 2003, Luais 2003, 2007, Rouxel *et al.* 2006, Siebert *et al.* 2006, Yang and Meija 2010). Notably, Rouxel *et al.* (2006) and Siebert *et al.* (2006) applied a hydride generation (HG) technique coupled to MC-ICP-MS, which allow high-precision Ge-isotope analyses on natural samples for Ge amounts down to ~15 ng.

The analyses of Siebert *et al.* (2006) were done using a double spike approach while Rouxel *et al.* (2006) measured isotopic ratios and corrected for instrument fractionation with bracketing standards. In contrast, Luais *et al.* (2000) and Luais (2003, 2007) used a hexapole-collision cell MC-ICP-MS (Isoprobe GV Instrument) with H_2 gas to remove Ar_2 interferences, and corrected for instrument fractionation by using both Ga-isotopes as an internal normalization standard and by bracketing standards. Despite the obvious success in obtaining high precision measurement of Ge isotopes by MC-ICP-MS in a range of terrestrial and meteoritic materials, those previous studies have used a large diversity of techniques, standards, normalization ratios and instrumentations which preclude a straightforward comparison of published values. Thus, the concept of metrological traceability has not been applied.

Rouxel *et al.* (2006) obtained a crude estimate of the Ge isotopic composition of the Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE) by the analysis of various igneous rocks such as tholeiitic glasses from mid-ocean ridges, continental basalts and volcanic island basalts. Deep sea clays have $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ values similar to BSE while modern deep-sea sponges and glauconite have $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ values heavier than BSE. Considering that Ge isotope fractionation during biogenic opal and glauconite may favour enrichment in light isotopes in the forming product, it has been suggested that the Ge isotopic composition of the ocean is enriched in heavy isotopes relative to BSE (Rouxel *et al.* 2006). In addition, Mantoura (2006) carried out laboratory experiments indicating that cultured diatoms do not fractionate Ge isotopes. Luais (2003, 2007)

1
2
3 81 demonstrated that iron meteorites have a $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ values heavier than terrestrial samples. The
4
5 82 homogeneous $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ values for magmatic iron meteorites, regardless of their oxidation state
6
7 83 suggests, in agreement with experimental data (Luais et al., 2009), that core formation
8
9 84 processes do not fractionate significantly Ge isotopes in the metal phase with respect to the
10
11 85 primitive material, providing an estimate for Ge isotopic composition of the solar nebula.

12 86 Siebert et al. (2006) reported Ge isotope composition of hydrothermal waters collected
13
14 87 in the Oregon Cascades and in marine settings and found lighter isotope signatures relative to
15
16 88 basalts. However, a preliminary intercomparison performed by the authors and colleagues
17
18 89 suggests that the reported Ge-isotope compositions are plagued by calculating errors during
19
20 90 the data reduction scheme (C. Siebert, personal communication), precluding further
21
22 91 comparison with our study.

23 92 The aim of this paper is to compare analytical techniques to obtain Ge-isotope
24
25 93 composition of selected geological reference materials and to intercalibrate Ge-isotope
26
27 94 standards relative to the BSE estimate. We propose the use of a new reference material
28
29 95 NIST3120a to report natural Ge-isotope variations. This approach, together with further
30
31 96 studies of experimental and theoretical fractionation of Ge-isotopes (Galy *et al.* 2003, Luais et
32
33 97 al., 2009, Li *et al.* 2009, Li and Liu 2010) are essential steps toward the development of Ge-
34
35 98 isotopes as new geochemical tracer.

37 100 **Experimental procedures**

39 101 *Reagents and Germanium standard solutions*

42 102 The hydride generation reagent is composed of 10 g of sodium borohydride powder
43
44 103 (high purity NaBH_4 , Fisher Chemical) and 5 g of sodium hydroxide pellets (analytical grade
45
46 104 NaOH , Acros Organics) dissolved into 1 l of ultra pure water (Milli-Q 18.2M Ω .cm,
47
48 105 Millipore), and is freshly prepared before each analytical session. During chemical
49
50 106 dissolution and purification, we used high purity HNO_3 (distilled grade, CleanAcid, Analab)
51
52 107 and HF (optima grade, Fisher Chemical). Germanium standards used in this study include
53
54 108 NIST3120a (Lot #000411, 1000 $\mu\text{g/g}$); Spex (Lot #11-160GE); Aldrich (Lot #01704KZ;
55
56 109 Luais, 2000, Luais 2007), JMC (Johnson Matthey, Karlsruhe, Lot # 301230S; Luais 2007) and
57
58 110 Aristar (Lot # used in Rouxel et al., 2006 – incorrectly reported as Aldrich standard solution).
59
60 111 We also used Ga (Spex; Lot #12-98Ga; Cat # PLGA2-2Y) and Ga international isotopic
112
113 112 standard (NBS - SRM994, Lot# 680205, Luais et al., 2000; Luais 2007) prepared from Ga
114
115 113 metal as internal standards for instrumental mass bias corrections. Double Spike was prepared

1
2
3 115 from Ge metal spikes 73-70 from Isoflex USA Company (Ge-70 #32-01-70-3259 and Ge-73
4 #32-01-73-1405). Each spike was dissolved separately in a mixture HNO₃, sulfuric acid and
5 116
6 trace HF and mixed to obtain a ⁷³Ge/⁷⁰Ge ratio of ~ 0.6.
7 117
8 118

9 118

10 119 *Geological reference materials and other geological samples*

11 119
12 120 Several geological reference materials have been analyzed in this study for
13 121 intercomparison purposes with previous studies by Rouxel et al. (2006). They include USGS
14 122 standards (Govindaraju 1994) BHVO-2 (Hawaiian basalt), BIR-1 (Icelandic basalt), BCR-1
15 123 (Basalt, Columbia River Group USA), G-2 (Granite, Rhode Island USA), GH (Granite,
16 124 Hoggar, Algeria), DNC-1 (Braggtown Dolerite, North Carolina, USA), DTS-1 (Dunite,
17 125 Hamilton, Washington), PCC-1 (Peridotite- a partially serpentinized harzburgite, California
18 126 USA), AN-G (Anorthosite, Fiskenaeset, Western Greenland), GLO (Glauconite, Normandy
19 127 France), and IF-G (Iron Formation, West Greenland).
20 127
21 128

22 128 We also analyzed the Iron meteorite Odessa (IAB group) for which Ge-isotope
23 129 composition has been previously reported by Luais (2003, 2007). We also report Ge-isotope
24 130 composition of hydrothermal sulfides (sphalerite) from volcanogenic sulfide deposits, the
25 131 Navan Zn+Pb ore deposit in Ireland (Blakeman *et al.*, 2002), from continental hydrothermal
26 132 ZnS ores from the St Salvy deposit in France (Luais, 2003, 2007), and from modern seafloor
27 133 hydrothermal systems, the Lucky Strike field (Rouxel *et al.* 2004).
28 134
29 135

30 134

31 135 *Sample dissolution and chromatographic separation*

32 136 A procedure adapted from Rouxel et al. (2006), Luais (2007) and Luais (submitted)
33 137 was applied in this study for Ge purification from siliceous matrices, iron meteorites and
34 138 sulfides. For HG-MC-ICP-MS method (IFREMER and WHOI laboratories), about 50 mg of
35 139 siliceous material was dissolved in ~ 3 ml of 10 mol/l HF in a closed Teflon beaker on a hot
36 140 plate at 70 °C and left to equilibrate overnight with an appropriate addition of double spike
37 141 (spike/natural ratio ~ 1). After appropriate dilution to 1 mol/l HF with ultrapure water, the
38 142 solution was directly purified without evaporation through a chromatographic column
39 143 containing 2 mL of anion exchange resin (AG1-X8 Resin; 100-200 mesh; Chloride Form; Cat
40 144 # 140-1441). The column is cleaned with several washes of 5 ml of 0.28 mol/l HNO₃, distilled
41 145 3 mol/l HNO₃, and ultrapure water. After conditioning with 5 ml of 1 mol/l HF, the sample
42 146 was loaded on the column and germanium strongly adsorbed on the resin. Matrix elements
43 147 such Ca, Fe, Si or Zn were eluted and the resin further cleaned with 5 ml of 1 mol/l HF
44 148 followed by 3 ml of ultrapure water. Ge was then eluted with 10 ml of 3 mol/l HNO₃ and the

1
2
3 149 solution evaporated slowly to dryness on a hot plate. The final residue was dissolved in 3 ml
4
5 150 of 0.28 mol/l HNO₃ and ready for isotope analysis. Since the HG sample introduction system
6
7 151 allow complete separation of volatile Ge hydride from the aqueous sample matrix (e.g.
8
9 152 Rouxel et al., 2006), no further purification using cation exchange resin is required.
10
11 153 Procedures for iron meteorite and sulfide dissolution are detailed in Luais et al. (2000) and
12
13 154 Luais (2007). Briefly, it consists of dissolution using 2 mol/l and 14 mol/l HNO₃,
14
15 155 respectively, followed by Ge isolation using AG50X8 cation exchange resin with 0.5 mol/l
16
17 156 HNO₃. Procedures for silicate matrices used at CRPG (Luais, submitted) consist of HNO₃ +
18
19 157 HF dissolution followed by several steps of HF leaching to isolate Ge (\pm matrix) in the
20
21 158 supernatant. After evaporation and subsequent dissolution in 1 mol/l HF, an aliquot of the
22
23 159 sample is loaded on a AG1X8 anion exchange resin. Most of the remaining matrix is eluted
24
25 160 with 1 mol/l HF, and Ge is subsequently collected using 0.2 mol/l HNO₃. Purification of Ge is
26
27 161 then performed on AG50X8 cationic resin similarly developed for iron meteorite matrix. The
28
29 162 efficiency of these procedures including 100 % yield and no isotopic fractionation during all
30
31 163 steps of Ge chemistry is demonstrated by Ge isotopic measurements of various matrices
32
33 164 doped with Ge standard, as well as Ge standard solutions after column separation. Details are
34
35 165 given in Luais (2007) for iron meteorite matrix and in Luais (submitted) and Rouxel et al.
36
37 166 (2006) for various silicate matrices from ultra-mafic, mafic to granitic in composition. In all
38
39 167 cases, the Ge isotopic composition of these Ge-doped matrices is similar within error to the
40
41 168 measured Ge standard solution.

169

170 *MC-ICP-MS isotope ratio measurements:*

171 Germanium isotopes ratios were determined with a Thermo *Neptune* (Thermo Fisher
172 Scientific; Waltham, MA, USA) at the Pôle Spectrométrie Océan (PSO, Brest) at IFREMER
173 (Plouzané, France) and WHOI (Woods Hole, USA) using the hydride generation technique
174 described by Rouxel *et al.* (2006). Pure standard solution and purified sample measurements
175 were also performed on a Nu Plasma MC-ICP-MS at LCABIE laboratory (Pau, France) and
176 Isoprobe (GV) MC-ICP-MS at CRPG laboratory (Nancy, France) (Luais 2007). The Neptune
177 at WHOI and IFREMER was operating at low-mass resolution mode and ⁷⁰Ge, ⁷²Ge, ⁷³Ge
178 and ⁷⁴Ge were measured on L2, C, H1, and H2 cups while ⁶⁸Zn, ⁶⁹Ga, ⁷¹Ga and ⁷⁷Se were also
179 monitored on L4, L3, L1 and H4 cups. The isotope ⁷⁶Ge was not measured due to a major
180 interference of ³⁸Ar₂. For the Nu plasma measurements at LCABIE, we set the cups as H6,
181 H4, H2, and L2 for 74, 73, 72 and 70 at low mass resolution and the Ax and L3 cups for 71
182 and 69 Ga isotopes. For the GV Isoprobe measurements (CRPG), ⁷⁰Ge, ⁷²Ge, ⁷³Ge and ⁷⁴Ge

1
2
3 183 were measured on Ax, H2, H3 and H4 cups while ^{68}Zn , ^{69}Ga , and ^{71}Ga were measured on L3,
4 184 L2, and H1 cups.

5
6 185 Several introduction systems were used and include: (1) a cyclonic spray chamber
7 186 (SiS) equipped with PTFE micro concentric nebuliser at 50 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ for WHOI, IFREMER and
8 187 CRPG (Luais 2007) while a Quartz micro concentric nebuliser at 100 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ was used for
9 188 LCABIE measurements; (2) continuous flow hydride generation systems, CETAC HGX-200
10 189 at WHOI and IFREMER (see Rouxel *et al.*, 2006 for instrument settings) and a custom-built
11 190 HG generator at the LCABIE.

12 191 Instrumental mass bias was corrected using several techniques as described in
13 192 previous studies (Galy *et al.* 2003, Luais 2007, Rouxel *et al.* 2006, Siebert *et al.* 2006): (1) a
14 193 sample-standard bracketing (referred to as SSB) technique that involves the measurement of
15 194 the Ge standard solution, before and after each unknown sample (Galy *et al.* 2003, Rouxel *et*
16 195 *al.* 2006); (2) external normalization to Ga-isotopes (referred as Ga-Cor) (Galy *et al.* 2003,
17 196 Hirata 1997, Luais *et al.* 2000, Luais 2007, Siebert *et al.* 2006) and Cu-isotopes. (3) Double
18 197 spike (DS) correction ($73/70$) was performed as described by Siebert *et al.* (2006). The double
19 198 spike solution was mixed with the sample before chemical purification with a spike/natural
20 199 ratio of around 1 (g/g). Data reduction of Ge-isotope ratios was performed using a scheme
21 200 similar to Siebert *et al.* (2001). In some cases, we coupled the hydride generation system with
22 201 the regular cyclonic spray chamber using an extra inlet available on the spray chamber. This
23 202 approach allowed a direct comparison of instrumental mass bias induced by both techniques
24 203 and the calculation of hydride generation yield. It also permitted the use of Ga as an internal
25 204 standard to correct for instrumental mass bias during hydride generation.

26 205

27 206 **Results and discussion**

28 207

29 208 *Notation and data normalization*

30 209 Germanium isotope composition can be reported using several possible notations, such as:

31 210

$$32 211 \delta^x\text{Ge} (\text{‰}) = \left(\frac{({}^x\text{Ge}/{}^{70}\text{Ge})_{\text{sample}}}{({}^x\text{Ge}/{}^{70}\text{Ge})_{\text{STD}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)$$

33 212

34 213 Where $x = 74, 73$ or 72 and STD corresponds to the normalization to Ge-standard.

35 214 Currently, there is no consensus in the way to report Ge-isotope ratios. Siebert *et al.* (2006)

1
2
3 215 reported $\delta^{74/72}\text{Ge}$ due to the double spike correction using ^{73}Ge and ^{70}Ge spikes. Galy *et al.*
4
5 216 (2003) reported δGe per mass unit while Rouxel *et al.* (2006) and Luais (2003, 2007) reported
6
7 217 $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ (together with other 73/70 and 72/70 isotope ratios). Due to larger abundances and
8
9 218 minor isobaric interferences, the $^{74}\text{Ge}/^{70}\text{Ge}$ ratio seems to be optimum for reporting Ge-
10
11 219 isotopes. Throughout this study, we reported $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}/^{70}\text{Ge}$ values relative to SRM3120a which
12
13 220 is a concentration standard produced in large amount.
14
15 221

16 222 *Isobaric interferences and instrumental mass bias*

17 223 Ge isotope measurements suffer from molecular interferences, such as $^{35}\text{Cl}^{35}\text{Cl}^+$ on
18 224 $^{70}\text{Ge}^+$; $^{40}\text{Ar}^{16}\text{O}_2^+$ and $^{36}\text{Ar}^{36}\text{Ar}^+$ on $^{72}\text{Ge}^+$, $^{58}\text{Ni}^{16}\text{O}^+$ and $^{38}\text{Ar}^{36}\text{Ar}^+$ on $^{74}\text{Ge}^+$ and $^{38}\text{Ar}^{38}\text{Ar}^+$ and
19 225 $^{36}\text{Ar}^{40}\text{Ar}^+$ on $^{76}\text{Ge}^+$. On the Neptune and Nu Plasma coupled to HG, those interferences are
20
21 226 minimized and are generally negligible except for ^{76}Ge , as previously discussed by Rouxel *et*
22
23 227 *al.* (2006). We however observed a ubiquitous interference on $^{70}\text{Ge}^+$ during the early stage of
24
25 228 analytical development on the Neptune, probably from $^{40}\text{Ar}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}$ due to the use of X-cones
26
27 229 which may induce a higher oxide-level. Therefore, we only used normal cones throughout this
28
29 230 study. On the GV Isoprobe, hydrogen addition in the hexapole collision cell was used to
30
31 231 remove Ar_2 interferences (Luais *et al.* 2000; Luais, 2007).
32

33 232 Ge-isotope measurements, and in particular double-spike correction, may suffer from
34 233 potential GeH^+ interference. Therefore, we evaluated the contribution of $^{70}\text{GeH}^+$ on mass 71
35 234 by measuring $(^{71}\text{Ga}^+ + ^{70}\text{GeH}^+)/^{69}\text{Ga}^+$ ratios. This approach permits us to evaluate the influence
36 235 of GeH^+ on the signal, which is around 0.001 ‰ and thus negligible, in agreement with
37 236 Luais *et al.* (2000).
38

39 237 Isotopic measurements on all instruments were also corrected for Zn interferences on
40 238 mass 70 using ^{68}Zn , with a mass bias applied on $^{70}\text{Zn}/^{68}\text{Zn}$ ratios (Luais, 2007).
41

42 239 As germane (GeH_4) formation in the hydride generator may induce isotope
43 240 fractionation, we coupled the spray injection system with the HG to evaluate potential mass
44 241 bias. This analytical setup allowed a direct comparison of the Ge-isotope ratios of the
45 242 standard introduced using conventional spray chamber (“Standard”) vs. hydride generation
46 243 (“Sample”) (Figure 1). The SRM3120a Ge standard solution was adjusted to a concentration
47 244 of 250 ng/g for spray chamber injection and to 10 ng/g for hydride generation to reach similar
48 245 intensity for both introduction systems. The results suggest that the difference in mass bias
49 246 between the spray chamber and the HG system is about 0.05 +/- 0.07 ‰ (2s) per mass unit,
50 247 which suggest that, either Ge-hydride formation does not fractionate Ge-isotopes or that the
51 248 hydride formation yield is quantitative. Considering that the spray chamber system has an

1
2
3 249 efficiency of Ge transfer into the plasma torch of about 20 % (determined using the volume of
4
5 250 solution taken vs. solution drained in waste), we can determine an overall sensitivity in
6
7 251 abundance of 0.85 pg/V. In comparison, we calculate that hydride generation has a sensitivity
8
9 252 of 0.91 pg/V which suggest that about 100% of germanic acid in solution is reduced to
10
11 253 germane species.

12 254

13 14 255 *Assessment of standard and double-spike isotope composition*

15 256 While Ga is traditionally used to control the mass bias for Ge analyses (Galy *et al.*
16 257 2003, Hirata 1997, Luais *et al.* 2000, Luais 2007,), we recognize that such approach may not
17 258 allow absolute Ge isotope ratio measurement since instrumental mass bias may be different
18 259 between elements (e.g. Maréchal *et al.* 1999, Yang and Meija 2010, Meija *et al.* 2009). We
19 260 however found that Ga or Cu can be appropriate elements for external mass bias correction
20 261 and determination of relative isotopic composition (in delta value) of Ge standards or
21 262 samples. The assumption of identical mass bias for analyte and standard however require
22 263 identical matrix composition and concentrations. In addition, external mass bias correction
23 264 yields identical results in delta values than standard-sample bracketing method or double
24 265 spike normalization, as presented in Tables 2 and 3 and discussed below.

25 266 The double-spike composition was defined relative to the NIST 3120a composition
26 267 obtained on the Neptune at PSO, Brest (Table 1) using conventional spray chamber
27 268 introduction system. In order to calibrate the Ge-isotope ratios of NIST 3120a, we used a
28 269 Gallium standard solution (Spex; Lot #12-98Ga) to correct for instrumental mass bias using
29 270 $^{69}\text{Ga}/^{71}\text{Ga}$ ratio of 1.50676 (Machlan *et al.*, 1986). This approach was also used to monitor
30 271 instrumental mass bias between analyses of pure standard and double spike. It is important to
31 272 note that both our double spike and NIST 3120a calibration reported in Table 1 may differ
32 273 from the *true* value but this potential bias does not affect the final isotope ratios when using
33 274 the delta notation. Although we didn't determine the optimum double spike composition or
34 275 spike/natural ratios using error propagation tests, Figure 2 shows that spike/natural ratio
35 276 between 0.8 to 3.5 yield consistent results with overall precision of 0.15 ‰ (2s). In practice, a
36 277 ratio between 1 to 2 was routinely used for isotope analysis. This optimal ratio is very similar
37 278 to the one used by Siebert *et al.* (2006).

38 279

39 280 *Intercomparison of Ge isotope compositions of Ge standard solutions*

40 281 The average compositions of NIST3120a and other standard solutions over multiple
41 282 analytical sessions for different instruments and measurement setups are reported in Table 2.

1
2
3 283 *Aristar* and *Spex* solutions show an enrichment in the light isotope of approximately the same
4 proportion ($\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge} = -0.64 \pm 0.18 \text{ ‰}$ (2s) and $-0.71 \pm 0.20 \text{ ‰}$ (2s), respectively). *JMC*
5 284
6 standard also presents an enrichment in the light isotope although in smaller proportion, with
7 285
8 a $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ value of $-0.32 \pm 0.10 \text{ ‰}$ (2s). The *Aldrich* standard shows the lightest $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$
9 286
10 values at $-2.01 \pm 0.22 \text{ ‰}$ (2s). Several sample introduction systems were tested, using either
11 287
12 spray chamber (SiS) or hydride generation (HG) for different instruments (Neptune, Nu
13 288
14 plasma or Isoprobe) and no systematic differences were found. It is also important to note that
15 289
16 standard-sample bracketing, Ga normalization and double spike corrections yielded similar
17 290
18 results, both in terms of Ge isotope composition and analytical precision.
19 291
20 292

21 293 *Ge isotope composition of geochemical RM and re-evaluation of the bulk silicate Earth value*

22
23 294 Selected geochemical RM, measured using double spike (this study), sample-standard
24 295
25 bracketing (Rouxel *et al.* 2006), and Ga normalization (Luais 2007) methods are reported in
26 296
27 Table 3. In addition, we report precise Ge concentrations determined by isotope dilution since
28 297
29 the double spike data reduction scheme offers the advantage to also calculate precisely the Ge
30 298
31 concentration of the samples.

32 299 Based on these data, the bulk silicate Earth value has been re-evaluated as $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge} =$
33
34 300 0.56 ‰ versus NIST SRM3120a. This average is determined from igneous rocks (BHVO-2;
35 301
36 BIR-1; BCR-1) having limited variations (2s = 0.08 ‰). Granitic rocks display significant
37 302
38 deviation from the bulk silicate Earth with G-2 (Rhode Island, USA) having a slightly lighter
39 303
40 composition at $0.40 \pm 0.04 \text{ ‰}$ (2s). Ultramafic rocks, although less concentrated in Ge,
41 304
42 present similar compositions as basaltic rocks. We note that NIST3120a is the standard whose
43 305
44 isotope composition is the closest to the BSE relative to the other standard solutions used
45 306
46 previously (Table 2). As discussed below, iron formation IF-G and marine sediment GL-O
47 307
48 yield heavier $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ values at $1.03 \pm 0.10 \text{ ‰}$ (2s) and $2.44 \pm 0.14 \text{ ‰}$ (2s) respectively.

49 308 Although Ge concentrations have been widely reported in geochemical reference
50 309
51 materials (Govindaraju 1994), they are only rarely analyzed by isotope dilution and even
52 310
53 more rarely by MC-ICP-MS after chemical purification. In most cases, our new concentration
54 311
55 data in Table 3 are within 5% of previously reported data (e.g. BIR-1, BCR-1, G-2, DNC-1,
56 312
57 AN-G, IF-G) while differences may be up to 10-20% in some cases (e.g. GH, DTS-1, PCC-1,
58 313
59 GL-O).
60 314

60 315 *Ge isotope composition of meteorites, sediments and sulfide deposits*

1
2
3 316 As shown in **Figure 3**, the absolute range of $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ values in rocks and minerals is
4
5 317 about 8.05‰ which is comparable or even larger than most non traditional stable isotope
6
7 318 systems (e.g. Anbar and Rouxel 2007). The strong heavy isotope depletion in sulfides
8
9 319 contrasts strongly with the generally positive Ge isotope values found in many modern and
10
11 320 ancient marine sediments (e.g. banded iron formations, glauconite).

12 321 Glauconite (GLO) and Iron Formation (IF-G) are two iron-rich rocks where redox
13
14 322 conditions were important in their formation. Glauconite is a secondary clay mineral which is
15
16 323 formed in marine sediments during the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II), while Archean Iron
17
18 324 Formation is believed to have formed in globally anoxic oceans where hydrothermal Fe(II)
19
20 325 was partially oxidized and co-precipitated with silica, either biotically or abiotically (e.g.
21
22 326 Bekker *et al.*, 2010). In both deposit types, Ge is enriched relative to crustal values with
23
24 327 Ge/Si ratios of 6.44×10^{-6} and 2.7×10^{-5} mol/mol for Glauconite and Iron formation,
25
26 328 respectively. Since Galy *et al.* (2002) and Li and Liu (2010) suggested that Ge adsorbed onto
27
28 329 iron oxyhydroxide is enriched in light isotopes, the positive $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ values for GLO and IF-G
29
30 330 is best explained by the heavy isotope composition of their Ge sources. In this case, the
31
32 331 slightly lighter values for IF-G may potentially result from the contribution of hydrothermal
33
34 332 Ge to Archean oceans (Hamade *et al.* 2003). Further studies are however required to address
35
36 333 this issue.

37 334 Deep sea sponges of the NE Pacific (Rouxel *et al.* 2006) show an average isotope
38
39 335 composition at around 2.47 ‰. The relatively high $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ values obtained for different
40
41 336 species at different depths also suggest that sponges likely record the Ge isotope composition
42
43 337 of seawater. This value is a lower bound of the Ge isotopic composition of modern seawater
44
45 338 because, if sponges fractionate Ge isotopes, they likely discriminate against heavy isotopes as
46
47 339 already observed for Si isotopes. More recently, Mantoura (2006) reported $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ values for
48
49 340 cleaned diatom opal from Holocene sediments at around 3.3 ‰. Those results, together with
50
51 341 the lack of Ge isotope fractionation observed during Ge uptake by cultured diatoms
52
53 342 (Mantoura, 2006), further confirm that seawater is enriched in the heavy Ge isotopes,
54
55 343 probably close to 3 ‰.

56 344 Two independent Ge isotope analyses of the non-magmatic iron meteorite Odessa are
57
58 345 reported in Table 4 and are in perfect agreement. Also is reported the recalculated Ge isotopic
59
60 346 composition of the magmatic iron meteorite Braunau (IIA). It is worthwhile to note that the
347 Ge isotope composition of various types of iron meteorites (magmatic and non-magmatic
348 irons) deviate from BSE in contrast to other heavy stable isotope systems (such as Cu, Zn, Fe;

1
2
3 349 Moynier *et al.* 2007), confirming the complexity of metal-silicate segregation and the
4
5 350 importance of volatilization/alteration effects (Luais, 2007).

6
7 351 Both sphalerite from ancient Pb-Zn ore deposits (Blakeman *et al.* 2002) and seafloor
8
9 352 hydrothermal deposits at Lucky Strike (Rouxel *et al.* 2004) have been analyzed and an
10
11 353 average $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ value of $-3.53 \pm 0.51\text{‰}$ has been obtained (Table 4). Sphalerite from the single
12
13 354 ZnS ore deposit of St Salvy mine (France), precipitated from hydrothermal fluids related to
14
15 355 plutonic intrusion, also exhibit negative values with a range of -1 to -2 ‰ (Luais, 2007).
16
17 356 These results are interesting because Ge isotopes in sulfides are completely shifted toward
18
19 357 negative values by $\sim 4\text{‰}$ relative to BSE. In contrast, preliminary Ge isotope analysis from
20
21 358 hydrothermal fluids yield variable $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ values around $1.9 \pm 0.5\text{‰}$ (Escoubé *et al.* 2008,
22
23 359 Rouxel *et al.* 2008). The recent study by Li *et al.* (2009) estimating equilibrium fractionation
24
25 360 factors in the Ge isotope system suggested a very large fractionation toward lighter values (up
26
27 361 to 10‰ at 25 °C) in Ge-bearing sulfides relative to 4-coordinated Ge–O compounds (e.g.
28
29 362 $\text{Ge}(\text{OH})_4(\text{aq})$ or quartz). Therefore, the large difference between sulfides and BSE is best
30
31 363 explained by a strong isotopic fractionation (may be up to 4.5‰) during sulfide precipitation
32
33 364 in hydrothermal systems.

34 365 Conclusion

35 366 This study permits an intercomparison of germanium isotope measurement techniques
36
37 367 as well as a calibration of several geological reference materials and mono-elemental standard
38
39 368 solutions used in previous studies. Different methodologies were used during this study: (1)
40
41 369 introduction by on-line hydride generation and spray chamber, and (2) mass bias correction
42
43 370 by sample-standard bracketing, external mass bias correction using Ga or Cu isotopes and
44
45 371 double spike normalization. Even if all methods yield consistent isotope composition with
46
47 372 similar precisions ($2s \sim 0.15\text{‰}$), the double spike correction offers the advantage of providing
48
49 373 high-precision Ge concentrations and correcting for potential Ge isotope fractionation during
50
51 374 sample dissolution and purification.

52 375 Using igneous and mantle-derived rocks, the Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE) was re-
53
54 376 evaluated to $0.59 \pm 0.18\text{‰}$ ($2s$) relative to NIST3120a. In comparison, Iron meteorites
55
56 377 exhibit more positive values of $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ up to $+1.5\text{‰}$. We report an overall $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ variation
57
58 378 in terrestrial rocks and minerals of about 8‰ . This range is comparable or even larger than
59
60 379 most non-traditional stable isotope systems (e.g., Fe). This large range of compositions is
380
381 380 mainly due to the extreme value (down to -4.3‰) for sulfide samples originating from

1
2
3 382 hydrothermal environments, consistent with theoretical calculations (Li *et al.* 2009). This
4
5 383 strong isotope depletion in sulfides contrasts drastically with the generally positive Ge isotope
6
7 384 values found in many modern and ancient marine sediments (e.g. banded iron formations,
8
9 385 glauconite). Large variation in germanium stable isotopes and their reproducible measurement
10
11 386 provides new perspectives on the use of Ge as a novel geochemical tracer, in particular for
12
13 387 tracing planetary and oceanic processes.
14
15 388
16 389

17 390 **Acknowledgements**

19 391 This study was supported by the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Europole
20
21 392 Mer, UEB, UBO, IFREMER and CRPG Nancy (INSU-PNP). Lary Ball (WHOI), Jurek
22
23 393 Blustajn (WHOI), Maureen Auro (WHOI), Yoan Germain (IFREMER), Sylvain Bérail
24
25 394 (LCABIE) and Delphine Yeghicheyan (SARM-CRPG-Nancy) are thanked for their technical
26
27 395 support. We thank Stefan Lalonde, Thomas Meisel and two anonymous reviewers for helpful
28
29 396 comments on the manuscript. Adrian Boyce and Yves Fouquet are thanked for providing
30
31 397 sulfide samples.
32
33 398
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 399 **Figure Captions**
4

5 400
6
7 401 Figure 1: (A) Comparison between hydride generation (HG) and spray chamber (SiS)
8 402 methods for Ge isotope analysis. A NIST3120a solution was alternatively introduced through
9 403 the HG (square), considered as an “unknown sample” at a concentration of 10 ng/g, and
10 404 through the SiS (Diamond), used as “bracketing standard” at a concentration of 250 ng/g. The
11 405 concentrations were adjusted to obtain the same voltage (graph A). (B) Ge isotope
12 406 composition (in delta values) of the NIST3120a standard determined by HG and normalized
13 407 to SiS values.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 409 Figure 2: Ge isotope composition of NIST3120a and Aldrich standards determined using a
22 410 double spike method with different Spike/Sample ratios. While the addition of spike in
23 411 proportions of 0.6-4 relative to sample yielded approximately stable $\delta^{74}\text{Ge}$ values, analyses
24 412 are best performed with Spike/Sample ratios between 1 and 2.
25
26
27
28
29

30 414 Figure 3: Compilation of Ge isotope composition ($\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$) of geological reference materials
31 415 and natural samples versus NIST3120a; (a) Luais, 2007; (b) Rouxel et al., 2006; (c) this
32 416 study; (d) Mantoura (2006).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 418 **Table Captions**
4

5 419

6
7 420 Table 1: Ge isotope compositions of NIST3120a standard and Ge70-73 double spike
8
9 421 determined on the Neptune MC-ICP-MS after internal normalisation to Ga for instrumental
10
11 422 mass bias correction
12

13 423

14 424 Table 2: Intercalibration of Ge isotope composition of standard solutions against NIST 3120a.

15 425

16
17 426 Table 3: Intercomparison study of Ge isotope compositions of geochemical RM versus NIST
18
19 427 3120a.
20

21 428

22
23 429 Table 4: Ge isotope compositions of sulfides and iron meteorites.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 430 **References.**

4
5 431
6 432 **Anbar A.D. and Rouxel O. (2007)**
7 433 Metal stable isotopes in paleoceanography. **Annual Review of Earth and Planetary**
8 434 **Sciences**, 717-746.
9 435

10 436 **Blakeman R.J., Ashton J.H., Boyce A.J., Fallick A.E. and Russell M.J. (2002)**
11 437 Timing of interplay between hydrothermal and surface fluids in the Navan Zn + Pb ore body,
12 438 Ireland: Evidence from metal distribution trends, mineral textures, and d34S analyses
13 439 **Economic Geology**, 97, 73-91.
14 440

15 441 **Chang T.-L., Li W.-J., Qiao G.-S., Qian Q.-Y. and Chu Z.-Y. (1999)**
16 442 Absolute isotopic composition and atomic weight of germanium **International Journal of**
17 443 **Mass Spectrometry**, 189, 205-211.
18 444

19 445 **Escube R., Rouxel O. and Donard O.F.X. (2008)**
20 446 Measurement of Germanium isotope composition in marine samples by hydride generation
21 447 coupled to MC-ICP-MS. **Geophysical Research**, 10, abstract EGU2008-A-12035.
22 448

23 449 **Galy A., Pokrovsky O.S. and Shott J. (2002)**
24 450 Ge-isotope fractionation during its sorption on goethite: an experimental study **Geochemica**
25 451 **et Cosmochimica Acta**, 66, A259.
26 452

27 453 **Galy A., Pomiès C., Day J.A., Pokrovsky O.S. and Schott J. (2003)**
28 454 High precision measurement of germanium isotope ratio variations by multiple collector-
29 455 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry **Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry**,
30 456 **18**, 115-119.
31 457

32 458 **Govindaraju K. (1994)**
33 459 compilation of working values and sample description for 383 geostandards **Geostandards**
34 460 **Newslett.**, 18, 1-158.
35 461

36 462 **Green M.D., Rosman K.J.R. and De Laeter J.R. (1986)**
37 463 The isotopic composition of germanium in terrestrial samples **International Journal of Mass**
38 464 **Spectrometry and Ion Processes**, 68, 15-24.
39 465

40 466 **Hamade T., Konhauser K.O., Raiswell R., Goldsmith S. and Morris R.C. (2003)**
41 467 Using Ge/Si ratios to decouple iron and silica fluxes in Precambrian banded iron formations
42 468 **Geology**, 31, 35-38.
43 469

44 470 **Hirata T. (1997)**
45 471 Isotopic variations of germanium in iron and stony iron meteorites **Geochimica et**
46 472 **Cosmochimica Acta**, 61, 4439-4448.
47 473

48 474 **Li X., Zhao H., Tang M. and Liu Y. (2009)**
49 475 Theoretical prediction for several important equilibrium Ge isotope fractionation factors and
50 476 geological implications **Earth and Planetary Science Letters**, 287, 1-11.
51 477

52 478 **Li X.F. and Liu Y. (2010)**

- 1
2
3 479 First-principles study of Ge isotope fractionation during adsorption onto Fe(III)-
4 480 oxyhydroxides surfaces **Chemical Geology**, **278**, 15-22.
5 481
6
7 482 **Luais B. (2007)**
8 483 Isotopic fractionation of germanium in iron meteorites: Significance for nebular condensation,
9 484 core formation and impact processes **Earth and Planetary Science Letters**, **262**, 21-36.
10 485
11 486 **Luais B., Framboisier X., Carignan J., and Ludden J. (2000)** Analytical development of
12 487 Ge isotopic analyses using multi-collection plasma source mass spectrometry: Isoprobe MC-
13 488 Hex-ICP-MS (Micromass). **Geoanalysis 2000**, Pont-à-Mousson, France, 45-46.
14 489
15 490
16 491 **Luais B. (2003)**. Germanium isotope systematics in Meteorites. **Meteorit. Planet. Sci.**, **38**,
17 492 A31.
18 493
19 494 **Luais B., Toplis M.J., Tissandier L., Roskosz M. (2009)**
20 495 Metal-silicate segregation and fractionation of Ge isotopes : comparison with experimental
21 496 data and meteorites, **Meteorit. Planet. Sci.** **44**, **7**, A124.
22 497
23 498 **Machlan, L.A., Gramlich, J.W., Powell, L.J., Lambert, G.M. (1986)**
24 499 Absolute isotope abundance ratio and atomic weight of a reference sample of Gallium. **J. Res.**
25 500 **Natl. Bur. Stand.** **91**, 323–331.
26 501
27 502 **Mantoura S. (2006)**
28 503 Development and Application of Opal Based Paleooceanographic Proxies. **PhD Thesis**,
29 504 **University of Cambridge**, 204 p.
30 505
31 506 **Maréchal C.N., Télouk P. and Albarède F. (1999)**
32 507 Precise analysis of copper and zinc isotopic compositions by plasma-source mass
33 508 spectrometry **Chemical Geology**, **156**, 251-273.
34 509
35 510 **Meija J., Yang L., Sturgeon R., Mester Z. (2009)**
36 511 Mass Bias Fractionation Laws for Multi-Collector ICPMS: Assumptions and Their
37 512 Experimental Verification. **Analytical Chemistry**, **81**, 6774-6778.
38 513
39 514
40 515 **Moynier F., Blichert-Toft J., Telouk P., Luck J.M. and Albarède F. (2007)**
41 516 Comparative stable isotope geochemistry of Ni, Cu, Zn, and Fe in chondrites and iron
42 517 meteorites **Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta**, **71**, 4365-4379.
43 518
44 519 **Rosman K.J.R. and Taylor P.D.P. (1998)**
45 520 Isotopic composition of the elements 1997 **Pure & Appl. Chem**, **70**, pp. 217-235.
46 521
47 522 **Rouxel O., Escoube R. and Donard O.F.X. (2008)**
48 523 Measurement of Germanium isotope composition in marine samples by hydride generation
49 524 coupled to MC-ICP-MS **Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta**, **72**, (12S) A809.
50 525
51 526 **Rouxel O., Fouquet Y. and Ludden J.N. (2004)**
52 527 Subsurface processes at the Lucky Strike hydrothermal field, Mid-Atlantic Ridge; evidence
53 528 from sulfur, selenium, and iron isotopes **Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta**, **68**, 2295-2311.

- 1
2
3 529
4 530 **Rouxel O., Galy A. and Elderfield H. (2006)**
5 531 Germanium isotopic variations in igneous rocks and marine sediments **Geochimica et**
6 532 **Cosmochimica Acta, 70**, 3387-3400.
7 533
8 534 **Shima M. (1964)**
9 535 The distribution of germanium and tin in meteorites **Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 28**,
10 536 517-532.
11 537
12 538 **Siebert C., Nägler T.F. and Kramers J.D. (2001)**
13 539 Determination of molybdenum isotope fractionation by double-spike multicollector
14 540 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry **Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 2**.
15 541
16 542 **Siebert C., Ross A. and McManus J. (2006)**
17 543 Germanium isotope measurements of high-temperature geothermal fluids using double-spike
18 544 hydride generation MC-ICP-MS **Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70**, 3986-3995.
19 545
20 546 **Yang L. and Meija J. (2010)**
21 547 Resolving the germanium atomic weight disparity using multicollector ICPMS **Analytical**
22 548 **Chemistry, 82**, 4188-4193.
23 549
24 550
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1

Name	$^{74}\text{Ge}/^{70}\text{Ge}$	2s	$^{73}\text{Ge}/^{70}\text{Ge}$	2s	$^{72}\text{Ge}/^{70}\text{Ge}$	2s
DS (^{70}Ge , ^{73}Ge)	0.07614	0.00010	0.60707	0.00008	0.05626	0.00008
NIST3120a	1.76094	0.00005	0.37335	0.00003	1.32901	0.00004

For Review Only

Table 2

Lab	Method	nb#	$\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$ vs. NIST3120a	2s	$\delta^{73/70}\text{Ge}$ vs. NIST3120a	2s	$\delta^{72/70}\text{Ge}$ vs. NIST3120a	2s	$\delta^{74/72}\text{Ge}$ vs. NIST3120a	2s
<i>"ARISTAR" standard</i>			<u>-0.64</u>	<u>0.18</u>	<u>-0.54</u>	<u>0.18</u>	<u>-0.38</u>	<u>0.26</u>	<u>-0.28</u>	<u>0.03</u>
#1	HG	SSB	11	-0.58	0.12	nd	-0.30	0.09	-0.28	0.04
#1	HG	Ga	11	-0.57	0.08	nd	-0.30	0.05	-0.28	0.07
#2	SiS	SSB	4	-0.59	0.02	-0.47	0.04	-0.33	0.01	-0.26
#2	SiS	DS	4	-0.61	0.11	-0.46	0.08	-0.31	0.06	-0.30
#3	SiS	Ga	6	-0.76	0.28	-0.61	0.20	-0.41	0.17	nd
#3	SiS	SSB	6	-0.75	0.28	-0.63	0.20	-0.63	0.17	nd
<i>"JMC" standard</i>			<u>-0.32</u>	<u>0.10</u>	<u>-0.23</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>-0.16</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>-0.16</u>	<u>0.05</u>
#1	HG	SSB	4	-0.33	0.33	nd	-0.15	0.27	-0.18	0.06
#1	HG	Ga	4	-0.31	0.29	nd	-0.14	0.25	-0.17	0.06
#2	SiS	SSB	4	-0.23	0.02	-0.14	0.04	-0.11	0.03	-0.12
#2	SiS	DS	4	-0.32	0.07	-0.24	0.05	-0.16	0.04	-0.16
#3	SiS	Ga	8	-0.37	0.04	-0.25	0.02	-0.19	0.07	nd
#3	SiS	SSB	8	-0.37	0.16	-0.28	0.14	-0.20	0.06	nd
<i>"SPEX" standard</i>			<u>-0.71</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>-0.56</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>-0.37</u>	<u>0.16</u>	<u>-0.31</u>	<u>0.08</u>
#1	HG	SSB	1	-0.59	nd	nd	-0.28	nd	-0.31	nd
#1	HG	Ga	1	-0.60	nd	nd	-0.28	nd	-0.31	nd
#1	HG	SSB	5	-0.84	0.16	nd	-0.48	0.10	-0.36	0.08
#1	HG	Ga	5	-0.81	0.11	nd	-0.46	0.09	-0.35	0.04
#2	SiS	SSB	3	-0.61	0.04	-0.51	0.09	-0.33	0.03	-0.28
#2	SiS	DS	4	-0.63	0.13	-0.48	0.10	-0.32	0.06	-0.31
#3	SiS	Ga	10	-0.81	0.19	-0.62	0.24	-0.41	0.11	nd
#3	SiS	SSB	10	-0.79	0.18	-0.62	0.16	-0.41	0.12	nd
#4	SiS	SSB	14	-0.64	0.42	nd	nd	0.00	-0.23	0.26
#4	SiS	Ga	14	-0.79	0.18	nd	nd	0.00	-0.31	0.04
<i>"ALDRICH" standard</i>			<u>-2.01</u>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>-1.54</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>-1.03</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>-0.97</u>	<u>0.15</u>
#2	SiS	SSB	4	-1.88	0.03	-1.46	0.07	-0.97	0.02	-0.92
#2	SiS	DS	4	-2.14	0.06	-1.61	0.05	-1.08	0.03	-1.05
#2	HG	DS	6	-1.90	0.10	-1.44	0.08	-0.96	0.05	-0.94
#3	SiS	Ga	84	-2.08	0.26	-1.61	0.20	-1.08	0.16	nd
#3	SiS	SSB	84	-2.05	0.22	-1.60	0.20	-1.06	0.14	nd

Table 3:

Sample Name	Sample Type	SiO ₂ % (m/m)	Ge ug/g	$\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$	2s	reference	Mean $\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$	2s
BHVO-1	Hawaiian	49.9(a)	1.64(a); 1.55(c)	0.55	0.15	(g)	0.55	0.15
BHVO-2	Basalt	49.9(e)	1.53(k)	0.55	0.13	(k)	0.51	0.10
				0.54	0.13	(k)		
				0.52	0.13	(k)		
				0.43	0.19	(h)		
				0.53	0.15	(h)		
BIR-1	Icelandic Basalt	47.96(e), 47.77(a)	1.5(a); 1.45(b); 1.53(b); 1.49(c); 1.52(d); 1.52(i); 1.40(k)	0.74	0.13	(k)	0.62	0.13
				0.60	0.13	(k)		
				0.56	0.30	(h)		
				0.64	0.33	(g)		
				0.57	0.39	(g)		
				0.61	0.04	(i)		
BCR-1	Columbia River Basalt	54.11(e)	1.5(a); 1.42(b); 1.45(c); 1.36(k)	0.65	0.13	(k)	0.55	0.15
				0.54	0.19	(h)		
				0.47	0.39	(h)		
				0.54	0.22	(g)		
DNC-1	Dolerite	47.15(e), 47.04(a)	1.3(a); 1.26(b); 1.28(k)	0.56	0.13	(k)	0.67	0.19
				0.76	0.13	(k)		
				0.74	0.31	(g)		
				0.61	0.42	(g)		
G-2	Granite	69.1(a)	1.14(a); 0.94(b); 1.02(b); 0.92(k)	0.41	0.30	(h)	0.40	0.03
				0.39	0.34	(g)		
GH	Granite	75.8(e)	2.0(a,f); 2.18(c); 1.63(k)	0.74	0.13	(k)	0.68	0.22
				0.74	0.13	(k)		
				0.55	0.28	(g)		
DTS-1	Dunite	40.41(a)	0.88(a); 0.84(c); 0.83(i); 0.75(k)	0.76	0.13	(k)	0.64	0.26
				0.50	0.06	(i)		
				0.65	0.28	(g)		
PCC-1	Peridotite	41.71(a)	0.94(a); 0.82(k)	0.69	0.13	(k)	0.66	0.13
				0.64	0.13	(k)		
				0.59	0.30	(h)		
				0.74	0.13	(g)		
AN-G	Anorthosite	46.3(a)	0.8(a); 0.93(c); 0.84(k)	0.67	0.13	(k)	0.67	0.01
				0.66	0.13	(g)		
Mean Bulk Silicate Earth							0.60	0.18
GL-O	Glauconite	50.9(a)	4.5(a); 4.02(k)	2.49	0.13	(k)	2.44	0.15
				2.34	0.21	(h)		
				2.51	0.55	(g)		
				2.42	0.26	(g)		
IF-G	Iron Formation	41.2(a)	24(a); 22±0.2(f); 21.8(i); 23.06(k)	1.11	0.13	(k)	1.03	0.09
				1.03	0.13	(k)		
				1.01	0.25	(j)		
				1.00	0.27	(j)		
				1.01	0.17	(i)		

Table 4:

Sample Name	Ge ug/g	$\delta^{74/70}\text{Ge}$	2s	source
<i>Iron meteorite</i>				
Odessa (IAB)	288 (a)	0.96	0.07	<i>this study</i>
		0.98	0.09	Luais, 2007
Braunau (IIA)	183	1.47	0.24	Luais, 2007
<i>Navan PbZn deposit (b)</i>				
U12473	12	-3.86	0.25	<i>this study</i>
U12474	12	-3.95	0.22	<i>this study</i>
U12487	4	-3.36	0.27	<i>this study</i>
U12487	6	-2.82	0.28	<i>this study</i>
U12499	28	-4.28	0.14	<i>this study</i>
<i>Sphalerite (St Salvy mine, France)</i>				
62W	453	-1.05	0.15	Luais, 2007
64W	1047	-2.06	0.15	<i>Luais, submitted</i>
<i>Seafloor sulfide deposits (c)</i>				
FL-24-02	40	-3.26	0.15	<i>this study</i>
FL-19-08	45	-3.24	0.16	<i>this study</i>
ALV-2604-5-1A	159	-2.98	0.20	<i>this study</i>
FL-18-03/fond	200	-4.00	0.11	<i>this study</i>

Review Only




Figure 1




Figure 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3