

Quantifying the combined effects of the heating time, the temperature and the recovery medium pH on the regrowth lag time of Bacillus cereus spores after a heat treatment

Stéphane Gaillard, Ivan Leguérinel, Nicolas Savy, Pierre Mafart

▶ To cite this version:

Stéphane Gaillard, Ivan Leguérinel, Nicolas Savy, Pierre Mafart. Quantifying the combined effects of the heating time, the temperature and the recovery medium pH on the regrowth lag time of Bacillus cereus spores after a heat treatment. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2005, pp.1-6. hal-00653930

HAL Id: hal-00653930 https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-00653930v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	QUANTIFYING THE COMBINED EFFECTS OF THE HEATING TIME,
2	THE TEMPERATURE AND THE RECOVERY MEDIUM PH ON THE
3	REGROWTH LAG TIME OF BACILLUS CEREUS SPORES AFTER A
4	HEAT TREATMENT
5	
6	S. Gaillard ⁽¹⁾ , I. Leguérinel ⁽¹⁾ , N. Savy ⁽²⁾ , P. Mafart* ⁽¹⁾
7	
8	(1) : Laboratoire Universitaire de Microbiologie Appliquée de Quimper, Pôle Universitaire de Creach Gwen
9	29000 Quimper (France),
10	(2) : Institut de Recherche Mathématique de Rennes, Campus de Beaulieu 35042 Rennes cedex (France)
11	
12	Abstract
13	
14	The purpose of this study was to quantify the lag time of re-growth of heated spores of
15	Bacillus cereus as a function of the conditions of the heat treatment: temperature, duration
16	and pH of the recovery medium. For a given heating temperature, curves plotting lag times
17	versus time of heating show more or less complex patterns. However, under a heating time
18	corresponding to a decrease of 2 decimal logarithms of the surviving populations of spores, a
19	linear relationship between the lag time of growth and the time of the previous heat treatment
20	can be observed. The slope of this linear relationship followed itself a Bigelow type linear
21	relationship, the slope of which yielded a ζ - value very close to the observed conventional z-
22	value. It was then concluded that the slope of the regrowth lag time versus the heating time

heat treatment. A sharp effect of the pH of the medium which could be described by a simple
"secondary" model was observed. As expected, the observed intercept of the linear

followed a linear relationship with the sterilisation value reached in the course of the previous

- relationship between lag time and heating time (lag without previous heating) was dependent
 on only the pH of the medium and not on the heating temperature.
- 28

29 *Keywords: Bacillus cereus*, heat treatment, lag time, recovery.

30

31 **1. Introduction**

32

Bacillus cereus is a pathogen that produces two heat resistant toxins which are likely to cause more or less severe infections (Granum, 1994; Johnson,1984). The level of population which can generate infections is in the magnitude of 10^5 - 10^6 spores per gram (Granum and Lund, 1997). This bacteria belongs also to the spoilage flora of dairy products because of the action of its lecithinase on the globule membrane of milk fat (Jean Stone, 1952).

The heat resistance of *Bacillus cereus* spores is extremely variable, with a D-value (time of decimal reduction) ranging from 5 to 108 minutes at 85°C and from 0.3 to 27 minutes at 100°C respectively (Bergère and Cerf, 1992; Picoche *et al.*, 1993). Because of their relatively low heat resistance, most of strains are able to survive and grow after a pasteurisation .

It is well recognised that the lag time of growth of bacteria is dependent on the "history" of 42 the bacterial population, especially its previous thermal conditions. As an example, Kaufmann 43 et al., (1959) observed a lag time of growth of 25 hours for the strain of Micrococcus MS102 44 after a heat treatment at 82°C instead of 19 hours after a heating at 76°C. A few studies have 45 been published on modelling the influence of environmental recovery conditions on the 46 viability of injured spores (Mafart and Leguérinel, 1997; Baker and Griffiths, 1993). 47 However, the mathematical description of the growth after heat treatment as a function of the 48 duration and the intensity of the thermal stress was very scarcely studied. 49

50 Mafart (1995) proposed a model describing the part of the lag corresponding to the activation 51 and the germination of spores. Bréand *et al.* (1997, 1999) studied the impact of a previous thermal stress on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Eschericia coli*. They observed a three-phases behaviour of the lag time *versus* the duration of the heating exposure. The lag first increased until a maximum before decreasing at a steady level. Similar observations regarding *Bacillus cereus* were reported by Laurent *et al.* (1999), with a decreasing phase which was less clear than that observed by Bréand *et al.*

57 The aim of our work was to get a quantitative approach of the effect the heating time, heating 58 temperature and pH of the recovery medium on the lag time of growth of *Bacillus cereus*.

59

60 **2. Material and methods**

61

62 2.1. Microorganism and spore production

63

The strain of B. cereus (Bce1), isolated from a dairy food line process, was supplied by 64 Danone (France). Spores were kept in distilled water at 4°C. Cells were precultivated at 37°C 65 for 24 h in Brain Heart Infusion (Difco). The preculture was used to inoculate nutrient agar 66 plates (Biokar Diagnostics BK021) added with MnSO₄ 40 mgl⁻¹ and CaCl₂ 100 mg⁻¹ on the 67 surface area. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 month. Spores were then collected by 68 scraping the surface of the agar and suspended in sterile Phosphate buffer M/15 at pH 7 and 69 washed three times by centrifugation (10 000 x g for 15 min) (Bioblok Scientific, model 70 Sigma 3K30). The pellet was then resuspended in 5 ml distilled water and 5 ml ethanol. The 71 suspension obtained was kept at 4°C for 12 h in order to eliminate vegetative nonsporulated 72 bacteria and washed again three time by centrifugation. Lastly, the final suspension (about 73 10^{10} spores ml⁻¹) was distributed in sterile Eppendorf micro-tubes and kept at 4°C. 74

75

76 2.2. Thermal treatment of spore suspension

First, 30 μ l of spore suspension was diluted in 3 ml heating medium (Biokar nutritive broth, 78 20g/L). Capillary tubes of 100 µl (vitrex) were filled with 100 µl of sample and submitted 79 to a thermal treatment in a thermostated bath. After heating, the tubes were cooled in 80 81 water/ice bath, washed in solution of soap and rinsed with sterile distilled water. Finally, ends were flamed with ethanol. The capillary tubes were broken at both ends and their contents 82 poured into a tube containing 9 ml of sterile tryptone salt broth (Biokar Diagnostics) for an 83 appropriated series of decimal dilutions, by rinsing with 1 ml broth contained in a needle-84 equipped syringe. 85

When the effect of the duration (up to 50 minutes) and the temperature (85, 90 and 95°C) of the heat treatment on the lag was investigated, the pH of the heating medium was fixed at 7. The investigated ranges of times and temperature correspond to those which are classically used in food process.

90

91 2.3. Growth conditions and measurements

92

Liquid tryptone salt broth was adjusted to the aimed pH by citric acid and distributed by 93 fractions of 10 ml in special flasks topped by a calibrated tube allowing measurements of 94 optical density with a spectrophotometer (600 nm). Each flask was inoculated with 1 ml from 95 the last decimal dilution tube and incubated at 25°C under shaking (150 rotations per minute). 96 A calibration of the spectrophotometer (optical density versus cellular concentration) was 97 previously carried out: spores were firstly submitted to a thermal activation (90°C for 1 min at 98 pH 7). The growth was then followed both by the optical density of the culture and the Most 99 100 Probable Number technique. Because spores and vegetative nonsporulated cells don't yield the same optical density, the calibration curve was fitted only after the growth time 101

102 corresponding to a sufficient cellular concentration $(3.10^6 \text{ cells per ml})$ that allowed the 103 concentration of spores to be neglected. The following equation was obtained:

104

105 Ln OD = 0.793 Ln N - 15.583 (R² = 0.991)

106

107 . The effect of the pH of the medium, ranging from 5.5 to 7, was investigated by fixing the
108 temperature of the heat treatment at 90°C.

- 109
- 110

```
111 2.4. Lag time estimation
```

112

The lag time was defined as the intersection point of the straight line describing the exponential growth expressed as logarithm of the bacterial concentration versus time, with the horizontal line at the logarithm of the initial bacterial concentration. It was checked that the size of the inoculum had no effect on the lag time (data not shown).

- 117
- 118 2.5. Parameters estimation
- 119

The estimations of the parameters of the models are obtained by the least squares method *via* the Matlab© function `*nlcurvefit*'. The criterion of Beale (Beale, 1960) provides us a tool allowing construction, by numerical simulation (technic near to that of Lobry et al. (Lobry, 1991)), of a hypervolume of confidence to the risk 5% which is conventionally retained . Projection on each axis of the space of the parameters gives us an interval of confidence of this parameter.

- 127 **3. Results**
- 128
- 129 3.1. General pattern of curves
- 130

The figure 1 shows the effect of the time of heating at 90°C and different pH, on the lag time of growth after the thermal treatment. As expected, it can be seen that roughly, the lag increases with the time of heating exposure, but patterns of curves are more or less complex. Under pH 6, a three- phase behaviour of the lag versus treatment time which recalls that observed by Bréand *et al.*,1997 can be observed: an increasing phase followed by a short steady or decreasing phase and, lastly, an increasing phase again, with a higher slope than that of the first one. The minimum lag times were observed at pH 6.5.

When duplicates or triplicates were carried out, the repetability of data was relatively fair for 138 short times of heat treatments, but, in proportion as the exposure time increased, curves 139 became more and more divergent (see fig. 2). On the other hand, the observed three-phase 140 patterns, when they existed, were less clear than those observed by Bréand et al., and were 141 always suggested by a single point of curves. For these reasons, it was decided to fit only the 142 first linear phases of curves which was limited to the interval ranging from the initial time to 143 the time corresponding to the decimal destruction ratio n = 2 ($n = \log N_0/N$ herein N_0 and N 144 represents the initial number of spore and the number of surviving bacteria after the heating 145 respectively). It was then assumed that in this restricted range, the lag of growth versus the 146 147 previous heating time followed a linear relationship:

148

149
$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + mt$$
 (1)

Data corresponding to the previously limited range (0 < n < 2) were fitted according to a 153 simple linear regression. The logarithm of the slope (log m) was then plotted versus 154 temperature at pH 7 (fig 3) and versus pH at a heating temperature of 90°C (fig 4). A Bigelow 155 type linear relationship between log m and the heating temperature could be observed. 156 Regarding the effect of pH on the slope m, the pattern of the curve recalled that which was 157 previously observed by plotting logarithm of D-values of spores versus pH (Mafart and 158 Leguérinel, 1998). Assuming a priori that interactions between the heating temperature and 159 the pH of the medium could be neglected, we tried to fit the slope of Eq 1 according to the 160 161 following four parameters equation:

162

 $\log m = \log m^* + \frac{T - T^*}{\zeta_T} + \left(\frac{pH - pH_{opt}}{\zeta_{pH}}\right)^2$ (2)163

herein T* represents the reference temperature, while pHopt is a parameter to be estimated 164 which corresponds to the pH at which the m-value is minimum. Parameters ζ_T and ζ_{pH} play 165 the same rules than the conventional z-value and the z_{pH} value which is included in the 166 previous Mafart model respectively. Lastly, m* represents the slope of Eq 1 at the reference 167 temperature and the optimal pH. Parameters estimates are indicated in table 1. 168

Despite the difficulty of getting accuracy data related to lag times, the adjusted R^2 (0.889) 169 indicates a fair goodness of fit of the model. 170

171

Figures 5 and 6 represent the plotting of the logarithm of the intercept λ_0 of the linear Eq 1 172 versus the heating temperature and pH respectively. As λ_0 corresponds to the lag time of 173 174 growth without any heat treatment, the absence of effect of the heating temperature on this parameter was expected. A variance analysis (not shown) detected a slight but significant effect of pH on the value of λ_0 . However, the lack of accuracy of results (see fig 6) did not allow any fitting of the data to a relevant model. Rough data allow only to get approximate magnitudes of λ_0 ranging from around 2.5 h at pH 6.5 to 6.3 h at pH 5.5.

- 179
- 180

181 **4. Discussion**

182

The overall lag time of growth of spores includes both the time of germination of spores and 183 the time of first division of outgrown cells. Moreover, the overall observed lag time decreases 184 as the maximum germination ratio of the population of spores increases (Mafart, 1995). It is 185 then clear that the measured lag is narrowly linked to the conditions of activation and 186 germination of spores, as well as to the lethal effect of heating: the resulting counteracting 187 factors could then explain the relative complexity of the patterns of curves (figure 1). Powell 188 and Hunter (1955) observed, at temperatures ranging from 50° C to 80° C, that the ratio of 189 germination of Bacillus spores decreased when temperatures increased. In the case of Bacillus 190 megaterium, Levinson and Hyatt (1970) found an effect of temperature, with an optimal 191 value, both on the rate of activation of spores and on the maximum ratio of germination. 192 Above 68°C, they observed a decrease of the ratio of germination and an increase of the lag 193 time of germination. This last observation is in agreement with our data which point out an 194 195 increase of the lag with heating temperature.

The low value of ζ_{pH} (0.98) indicates a high sensitivity of the lag time toward the pH of the heating medium: a difference of about 1 pH unit with the optimum pH, inside the investigated range, causes a ten-fold increase of the lag.

The z-value of the strain was previously estimated: it can be seen that this value ($z = 8.55^{\circ}C$ with a confidence interval of 7.30- 10.29°C, unpublished datum) is close to the ζ_{T} estimate ($\zeta_{T} = 7.06^{\circ}C$ with a confidence interval of 4.94- 12.38°C). This comparison allows to consider that, in the case of the studied strain, the assumption of an equality between z and ζ_{T} values could not be rejected. In these conditions, for a fixed pH of the heating, Eq 2 could be expressed as follows:

207

$$\log m = \log m^* + \frac{T - T^*}{z}$$
208 Or (3)

$$m = m * 10^{\frac{T - T^*}{z}}$$
(4)

209

210

211 The combination of Eq 1 and 4 yields:

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + m * 10^{\frac{T - T^*}{z}} t = \lambda_0 + m * F$$
212
(5)

213

214

herein F represents the well known sterilisation value (F-value) which is defined as the time (in minutes) of a heat treatment at the constant reference temperature (generally, $T^* =$ 121.1°C°), or as any equivalent heat treatment which would cause the same destruction ratio. Then, Eq 5 points out an interesting significance of m* which would correspond to the slope of a linear relationship between the lag time of growth and the sterilisation value submitted by spores before growing.

The high sensitivity of the overall lag time toward the pH can be explained both by the well 222 known effect of this factor on the lag time of outgrown of cells and by its effect on the 223 germination of spores. Blocher and Busta (1985) observed a progressive inhibition of the 224 germination of *Clostridium botulinum* when pH decreased from 7 to 5.5. Regarding *Bacillus* 225 cereus, Vas and Proszt (1957) noted a decrease of the rate and of the maximum ratio of 226 germination when pH was decreasing, regardless of the type of organic acid implemented for 227 controlling pH, except acetic acid which caused a total inhibition of germination at pH as high 228 than 5.5. 229 230 231 232 233 References 234 235 Baker, J., Griggiths, M. 1993. Predictive modeling of psychrotrophic Bacillus cereus. J. Food 236 Protect. 56, 684-688. 237 238 Beale, E. M. 1960. Confidence regions in non-linear estimation. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. 22,41-88. Bergère, J. L., Cerf, O. 1992. Heat resistance of Bacillus cereus spores. Bull. Int. Dairy 239 Federation. ,275, 23-25. 240 Blocher, J., Busta, F. 1983. Bacterial spores resistance to acid. Food Technol. 37, 87-99. 241 Bréand, S., Fardel, G., Flandrois, J.L., Rosso, L., Tomassone, R. 1997. A model describing 242 the relationship between lag time and mild temperature increase duration. Int. J. Food 243 Microbiol.38, 157-167. 244

- Bréand, S., Fardel, G., Flandrois, J.L., Rosso, L., Tomassone, R. 1999. A model describing
 the relationship between regrowth lag time and mild temperature increase for *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int. J. Food Microbiol .46, 251-261.
- Granum, P. 1994. *Bacillus cereus* and its toxins. J. of App. Bacteriol. 76, 61-66.
- Granum, P., Lund, T. 1997. *Bacillus cereus* and its food poisoning toxins. FEMS Microbiol.
- Lett. 157, 223-228.
- Jean Stone, M. 1952. The action of lecithinase of *Bacillus cereus* on the globule membrane of milk fat. J. Dairy Res. 19, 311.315.
- Johnson, K. 1984. *Bacillus cereus* foodborne illness- An update. J. Food Protect. 47, 145-153.
- Kaufmann, O., Harmon, L., Pailthorp, O., Pflug, I. 1959. Effect of heat treatment on thegrowth of surviving cells. J. Bacteriol. 78, 834-838.
- Laurent, Y., Arino, S., Rosso, L. 1999. A quantitative approach for studying the effect of heat
- treatment conditions on resistance and recovery of *Bacillus cereus* spores. Int. J Food
 Microbiol.48, 149-157.
- Levinson H. S., Hyayy, M. 1970. Effects of temperature on activation, germination and outgrowth of *Bacillus megaterium* spores. J. bacteriol. 101, 58-64.
- Lobry, J., Rosso, L., Flandrois J. 1991. A fortran subroutine for the determination of parameter confidence limit in non-linear models. Binary 3, 86-93.
- 263 Mafart, P. 1995. Modelling germination kinetics of spores of *Clostridium tyrobutyricum*: a
- tool for predictive microbiology. J. Appl. Microbiol. 78, 477-480.
- Mafart, P., Leguérinel, I. 1997. Modelling the heat stress and the recovery of bacterial spores.
- 266 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 37, 131-135.
- 267 Mafart, P. Leguérinel, I. 1998. Modeling combined effect of temperature and pH on the heat
- resistance of spores by a linear-Bigelow equation. J. . Food Sci. 63, 6-8.
- Picoche, B., Denis, C., Pichon, P. 1993. Industries Alimentaires et Agricoles. 200, 454-459.

270	Powell, J. F., Hunter, J. R. 1955. Spore germination in the genus Bacillus : the modification				
271	of germination requirements as a result of preheating. J. Gen. Microbiol. 13, 59-67.				
272	Vas, K., Proszt, G. 1957. Effect of temperature and hydrogen-ions concentration on the				
273	germination of Bacillus cereus. Nature. 179, 1301-1302.				
274					
275					
276					
277					
278					
279					
280	Legend Table				
281					
282	Parameters estimates of equation 2.				
283					
284	Legend Figure				
285					
286	Fig 1 : λ values for different pH (\circ pH 7, \bullet pH 6.5, \triangleright pH 6, \blacksquare pH 5.78, Δ pH 5.75, pH 5.5)				
287	Heating temperature 90°C				
288					
289	Fig 2 a&b : λ values versus heating time at pH 7 (a) and pH 5.5 (b)				
290					
291	Fig 3 : log m values versus heating temperature at pH 7				
292					
293	Fig 4 : log m values versus pH at 90°C				
294					
295	Fig 5 : log λ_0 values versus heating temperature at pH 7				

296	
297	Fig 6 : log λ_0 values variation versus pH at 90°C
298	
299	
300	

-	m*	ζ_{T}	ζ_{pH}	pH_{opt}	R ² _{aj}
-	0.207 h ⁻¹	7.060°C	0.977	6.59	0.889
	(0.117 – 0.373)	(4.944 – 12.385)	(0.734 – 2.080)	(6.406 – 7.660)	
306					
307					
308					
309					
310					
311					
312					









7,0

:

6,0

8

6,5

pН

7,0

- 422
- 423
- 424
- 425
- 426
- 427

428

429 Fig 6