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SUMMARY

Spontaneous and induced abasic sites in hyperthermophiles DNA have long been suspected to occur at high frequency. Here, *P.abyssi* was used as an attractive model to analyse the impact of such lesions onto the maintenance of genome integrity. We demonstrated that endogenous AP sites persist at a slightly higher level in *P.abyssi* genome compared to *E.coli*. Then, the two replicative DNA polymerases, *Pab*polB and *Pab*polD, were characterized in presence of DNA containing abasic sites. Both *Pab*pols had abortive DNA synthesis upon encountering AP sites. Under running start conditions, *Pab*polB could incorporate in front of the damage and even replicate to the full-length oligonucleotides containing a specific AP site, but only when present at a molar excess. Conversely, bypassing activity of *Pab*polD was strictly inhibited. The tight regulation of nucleotide incorporation opposite the AP site was assigned to the efficiency of the proofreading function, because exonuclease-deficient enzymes exhibited effective TLS. Steady-state kinetics reinforced that *Pab*pols are high-fidelity DNA polymerases onto undamaged DNA. Moreover, *Pab*pols preferentially inserted dAMP opposite an AP site, albeit inefficiently. While the template sequence of the oligonucleotides did not influence the nucleotide insertion, the DNA topology could impact on the progression of *Pab*pols. Our results are interpreted in terms of DNA damage tolerance.
INTRODUCTION

The genome of a living cell continuously undergoes a plethora of both exogenous or endogenous genotoxic attacks. Among the myriad of DNA lesions, the abasic [apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP)] sites are one of the most common lesions arising at high steady-state levels, yielding up to 2,000-10,000 lesions per human cell per day by spontaneous hydrolysis of the N-glycosylic bond (Lindahl and Nyberg, 1972; Lindahl, 1993). These lesions can be generated by direct elimination of bases via free radical attacks, as a consequence of cells exposure to chemical and physical agents (Breen and Murphy, 1995; Cadet et al., 1999; Loeb et al., 1986). Furthermore, AP sites appear transiently as intermediates of Base Excision Repair (BER) by DNA N-glycosylases (Loeb et al., 1986; Scharer and Jiricny, 2001). Despite the fact that it could be considered as an attractive model, identification and determination of the mutagenic properties of AP sites in hyperthermophilic archaea (HA) remains poorly understood.

Presumably, life at high temperature inflicts additional stress to genomic DNA in each cell and very high rates of potentially mutagenic DNA lesions (deamination, depurination, oxidation by hydrolytic mechanisms and subsequent strand breakage) should be expected. However, and interestingly, it was demonstrated that the hyperthermophilic crenarchaeon Sulfolobus Acidocaldarius exhibits a modest rate of spontaneous mutations nearly close to that of Escherichia coli (E. coli), raising the question of how HA do to preserve their genome intact in such deleterious environmental conditions (Grogan et al., 2001; Jacobs and Grogan, 1997).

To cope with the huge spectrum of impediments that result in genome destabilizing lesions, multiple DNA repair mechanisms have evolved in all organisms to ensure genomic stability (Friedberg et al., 2006; Grogan, 2004; Hoeijmakers, 2001). However, situations can arise in which DNA damage escapes to DNA repair and persists into the genome. Cells have developed
DNA damage tolerance mechanisms to tolerate hurdles in DNA either by post-replicative gap filling, copy-choice DNA synthesis or translesion DNA synthesis (TLS) (Friedberg, 2005; Friedberg et al., 2006). Both bacteria and eukaryotes can tolerate arrested DNA replication by template switching, therefore avoiding accumulation of mutations (Courcelle et al., 2003; McGlynn and Lloyd, 2002). Whereas template switching systems remain unknown in archaea, TLS appears to be conserved within the three kingdoms of life (Boudsocq et al., 2001; Friedberg et al., 2000; Hubscher et al., 2002; Nohmi, 2006; Pages and Fuchs, 2002; Shimizu et al., 2003; Yang and Woodgate, 2007). Kinetically, this damage tolerance mechanism can be divided in two steps: (i) nucleotide insertion opposite the DNA lesion; (ii) extension beyond the lesion. Depending on the nature of the lesion, the bypass may involve a single or the concerted action of DNA polymerases (Friedberg, 2005; Friedberg et al., 2005). High-fidelity replicative DNA polymerases in crenarchaea, bacteria and eukaryotes are intrinsically severely blocked upon incorporation opposite a lesion such as an abasic site, thus recognizing the illegitimate formed base pair and entering into futile cycles of insertion/excision (Gruz et al., 2003; Pages et al., 2005; Tanguy Le Gac et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2004). This phenomenon called ‘idling’ is relevant to replicative DNA polymerases harbouring the proofreading 3’-5’ exonuclease and reflects the partitioning of a mispaired DNA template between the exonuclease/polymerase active sites (Villani et al., 1978). The exonuclease activity acts as a kinetic barrier to TLS by preventing the stable incorporation of bases opposite the DNA lesion and, therefore, confers the exquisite accuracy of replicative DNA polymerases to preserve the integrity of the genome (Khare and Eckert, 2002). In the absence of coding information due to the base loss, most of replicative DNA polymerases obey to the A-rule, preferentially incorporating a dAMP opposite the abasic site (Haracska et al., 2001; Lawrence et al., 1990; Shibutani et al., 1997).
Conceivably, the DNA sequence context, the structure of the DNA primer lesion and the replicative DNA polymerase examined can account for the preferential dAMP insertion opposite an abasic site. Currently, the A-rule for replicative DNA polymerases remains under intensive debates (Hogg et al., 2004; Kroeger et al., 2006; Taylor, 2002).

Here, we used Pyrococcus abyssi (P. abyssi), as a model for studying the genomic maintenance at high temperature. This euryarchaeote grows at an optimum of 95°C and is faced to environmental fluctuations imposed by hydrothermal vents (Erauso et al., 1993; Jolivet et al., 2003). Interestingly, P. abyssi is able to duplicate bidirectionally its 1.7 million base-pairs from a single origin as fast as 45 minutes (Myllykallio et al., 2000) and DNA replication is thought to be achieved by the two high-fidelity DNA polymerases (PabpolD and PabpolB) and their accessory factors (Henneke et al., 2005; Rouillon et al., 2007). Consistent with the existing translesional systems and the lack of specialised DNA polymerases in P. abyssi, we speculate that one or both Pabpol could be involved in damage tolerance. In this study, we determine the steady-state level of AP sites in E. coli and P. abyssi at different growth stages. Secondly, we examine the bypass properties of the exonuclease proficient and deficient replicative Pabpol across an abasic site by varying the DNA topology and sequence context. Finally, steady-state kinetic was employed to give substantial insights into the role of the proofreading activity of Pabpol for nucleotide incorporation on damaged in comparison with intact DNA templates. Potential mutagenicity of abasic sites and more generally genomic maintenance in P. abyssi are discussed.
RESULTS

Rate of endogenous AP sites in *P. abyssi* and *E. coli*

Before dissecting the *in vitro* behaviour of the *Pab*polS in the presence of abasic sites, we investigated whether such DNA lesions were present into the genome of *P. abyssi*. The mesophilic bacteria *E. coli* was used as a control. The steady-state level of abasic sites was evaluated during the exponential and stationary phases of growth (Figure 1). In the exponential phase, 2 and 25 abasic sites per 100,000 bp were calculated for *E. coli* and *P. abyssi*, respectively (Figure 1). This value moderately increased to reach the number of 4 and 42 abasic sites per 100,000 bp at the stationary phase, for *E. coli* and *P. abyssi*, respectively. Taken together, these data provided evidence for the first time that the genome of the hyperthermophile *P. abyssi* has to deal with the presence of abasic sites. Further, the level of AP sites in *P. abyssi* genome is approximately 10-fold higher than in *E. coli*.

Replication of AP sites containing M13mp18 DNA template by *Pab*polS

We first checked the capacity of *Pab*polS to duplicate a circular AP-containing heteropolymeric M13mp18 DNA template. Preparation of this damaged AP-M13mp18 templates is depicted in Figure 2A. Under the conditions employed, 11 apurinic (the predominant lesion) and apyrimidinic sites are introduced per molecules (Schaaper and Loeb, 1981). *Pab*polS were tested in a primer extension assay in the presence of either abasic or undamaged M13mp18 templates. DNA elongation of the 5'-end fluorescein labelled oligonucleotide 6 (Table 1) was visualized by product analysis on alkaline agarose gel. In the presence of undamaged DNA template, both *Pab*polS (wild-type or exonuclease-deficient, respectively, exo+ and exo-) could extend the primer but with distinct efficiencies. While *Pab*polB exo+/exo- carried out DNA
synthesis to the full-length of the unmodified M13mp18 (7,249-nt), *PabpolD* exo+/exo- did only extend the primer to 3,600-nt likely due to its sensitivity to secondary structures as already observed (Henneke *et al.*, 2005) (Figure 2B, compare lanes 2 and 4 to 7 and 9). However, when *PabpolD* exo- elongated the undamaged template, a faint band corresponding to the full-length product was observed (Figure 2B, lane 9), consistent with the lower sensitivity of the *PabpolD* exo- to secondary structures. DNA synthesis reactions of the wild-type *Pabpols* with AP templates gave patterns similar to those obtained with undamaged templates, but with a lower amount of elongated products (Figure 2B, lanes 3 and 8). Therefore, the presence of abasic sites has an inhibitory effect on *Pabpols* activities. The results obtained with *Pabpols* were compared to those of T4 DNA polymerase, used as a control. While the relative distribution of the products of DNA replication was different with damaged versus undamaged M13mp18 DNA template, the lower efficiency of the T4 DNA polymerase (family B) in the presence of DNA lesions was confirmed (Figure 2B, lanes 12 and 13), as already described (Blanca *et al.*, 2007; Tanguy Le Gac *et al.*, 2004). It should be noted that, when reactions were carried out in the presence of *Pabpols* exo- with damaged DNA, a higher amount of replicated DNA products appeared (Figure 2B, compare lanes 3 to 5 for *PabpolB* and lanes 8 to 10 for *PabpolD*) indicating that the proofreading activity of *Pabpols* acts as a kinetic barrier to translesion synthesis onto damaged M13mp18. To further address the inhibitory effect of AP sites onto the DNA polymerising activity of *Pabpols*, quantitative analyses were performed by acid precipitable assay as described under Experimental procedures. Figure 2 C shows the results of replicating these DNA templates by *Pabpols*. Both *Pabpols* could discriminate between damaged and intact M13mp18 DNA template. Indeed, reduced synthetic rates were observed for *Pabpols* independently of the proficient or deficient exonuclease activity, with damaged DNA templates. The presence of AP
sites caused a more pronounced inhibition of replication by *PabpolD*, showing a 183-fold and 55-fold reduction of synthetic rates, respectively, for *PabpolD* exo+ and *PabpolD* exo-. In the case of *PabpolB*, a 6-fold and 5-fold reduction of synthetic rates, respectively, for *PabpolB* exo+ and *PabpolB* exo-, were caused by AP sites. T4 DNA polymerase (family B), used as a control, exhibited a reduced replicating activity comparable to that of *PabpolB*. Taken together, these results argued that *Pabpol* discrimination between undamaged or damaged DNA templates, with distinct reduced polymerising activities, suggesting that the presence of AP sites is rate-limiting.

Replication of AP-containing mini-circular and linear oligonucleotides DNA templates by the wild-type or exonuclease-deficient *PabpolB*

Since we provided evidence that DNA synthesis was severely impaired on damaged M13mp18 for both *Pabpol*, we further investigated the insights of such reduced activities. Translesional synthesis of a unique AP site from wild-type or exonuclease-deficient *Pabpol* were examined under running start conditions using either linear or mini-circular oligonucleotides DNA templates. The presence of the AP site was controlled by using T4 DNA polymerase as already described (Blanca *et al.*, 2007). While *PabpolB* exo+ could bypass the abasic site with moderate efficiency (63%) at a ratio enzyme / DNA of 4:1, under these conditions *PabpolB* exo- displayed almost full translesion synthesis (93%) (Figure 3A, compare lanes 7 and lanes 14, respectively for *PabpolB* exo+ and *PabpolB* exo-). Moreover, *PabpolB* exo+ had an increased capacity to stall at the AP site, as showed by the more marked presence of pausing sites at the lesion (Figure 3A, lanes 3-7). The efficiency of the bypass was dependent on the amount of the DNA polymerase used and the presence or absence of the 3’- to 5’ exonuclease but, in all cases, a plateau could be reached near the equimolar enzyme / DNA
concentrations (Figure 3A, lanes 4-5 and lanes 11-12, respectively for *Pab*polB exo+ and
*Pab*polB exo-). The tolerance of the abasic site was reproducible and confirmed by using a linear
73-mer with a different DNA sequence context (data not shown) as already described (Tanguy
Le Gac *et al.*, 2004). When replicating the circular DNA template, the translesion ability of
*Pab*polB exo+/exo- was reduced at all enzyme concentrations tested. Interestingly, even at the
highest concentrations of *Pab*polB over the minicircle template, translesion synthesis across the
AP site for *Pab*polB exo+ only reached 32% at its peak (Figure 3B, lane 7) whereas it was much
more effective, reaching up to 86% for *Pab*polB exo- (Figure 3B, lane 13). In addition, under
these saturating conditions, there was a stimulation of strand displacement activity of the two
*Pab*polB versions, as indicated by the proportion of products longer than the 87-mer (Figure 3B,
lane 6-7 and 12-13). Taken together these results indicate that *Pab*polB has the capacity to
replicate past an AP site at high pol/DNA ratio. The proofreading activity of *Pab*polB influences
its translesion capacity and progression of both exonuclease-deficient and proficient *Pab*polB are
reduced in the presence of the mini-circular DNA template.

Replication of AP-containing mini-circular and linear oligonucleotides DNA template by
the wild-type or exonuclease-deficient *Pab*polD

The ability of *Pab*polD exo+/exo- to bypass an abasic site onto the linear and circular
oligonucleotides DNA templates was analysed and the results are presented in Figure 4. As it can
be seen, *Pab*polD exo+ could incorporate in front of the AP site but, contrary to *Pab*polB, could
not extend past the lesion both on linear and circular DNA templates at all the enzyme’s
concentrations tested (lanes 3-7 in Figure 4A, and in Figure 4B). In addition, accumulation of a
shorter product at position +32 could be detected, indicating the ‘idling’ activity of the *Pab*polD
exo+. PabpolD exo- was also blocked at the AP site in the presence of the linear template but longer products past the AP site at position +34, +35, and +36 could be detected, although they never reached the full-length of the 87-mer, even at saturating enzyme concentrations (Figure 4A, lanes 9-13). Interestingly, 35% of bypass could be measured at a ratio PabpolD exo- / DNA of 4:1 on the linear DNA template. Similarly, when the experiments were repeated in the presence of the minicircle DNA template, a strong block at the AP site (+33) could be observed for PabpolD exo- present at lower concentration to the DNA template (Figure 4B, lanes 9-10). Moreover, DNA synthesis continued past the AP site at position +34, +35, and +36, but was also able to reach up to the full-length 87-mer (Figure 4B, lanes 11-13), when the concentration of the enzyme was higher than that of the minicircle DNA template. Therefore, in the case of PabpolD, its exonuclease activity prevents translesion synthesis of an abasic site independently of the structure of DNA template, while the exo – mutant shows some bypass capacity that seems enhanced in the case of a mini-circular DNA.

Steady-state kinetic analysis of nucleotide incorporation of wild-type and exonuclease-deficient PabpolS opposite undamaged bases

PabpolS have been designated as replicative DNA polymerases (Henneke et al., 2005). This designation supposes that PabpolS must endow with high selectivity for each incoming nucleotide depending on the nature of the base-containing template. Nucleotide incorporation kinetics were measured in standing start reactions as described in Experimental procedures. Incorporation efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_m$) were measured for the wild-type and exonuclease-deficient PabpolS and the frequency of nucleotide misinsertion was calculated as the ratio of the efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_m$) of incorrect nucleotide incorporation to the efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_m$) of correct nucleotide
incorporation (Table 2). Both wild-type \textit{Pab} pols exclusively incorporated the correct dGMP opposite template C and no misincorporation could be detected. In these conditions, a 5-fold reduced incorporation efficiency for \textit{Pab} polD compared to \textit{Pab} polB was observed as indicated by the \( k_{cat}/K_m \) values. The results obtained with the exonuclease-deficient \textit{Pab} pols at template C showed that the correct dGMP was preferentially incorporated but their efficiencies were dramatically reduced compared to the wild-type enzymes, as judged from the dropped \( k_{cat}/K_m \) values, 55- and 103-fold, respectively, for \textit{Pab} polB and \textit{Pab} polD. In addition, misinsertion events by the exonuclease-deficient \textit{Pab} pols at template C were comparable, with preference for insertion of dTMP > dAMP > dCMP (Table 2). Taken together, these results demonstrate that, while wild-type \textit{Pab} pols monitor the instructional base of the template and discriminate between correct and incorrect nucleotides insertion, exonuclease-deficient \textit{Pab} pols are much less efficient.

\textbf{Steady-state kinetics analysis of nucleotide incorporation of wild-type and exonuclease-deficient \textit{Pab} pols opposite an AP site}

The efficiency for deoxynucleotide insertion opposite an abasic site for the wild-type \textit{Pab} polIB followed the order dAMP > dTMP and to a lesser extent dGMP. Interestingly, \textit{Pab} polIB exo + incorporated a dGMP 8355-fold less efficiently opposite an AP site than opposite the template C (Table 2). Similarly, as judged by the \( k_{cat}/K_m \) values, \textit{Pab} polD exo + incorporated preferentially a dAMP over a dTMP opposite the abasic site with a 20-fold higher magnitude efficiency. It is noteworthy that the apparent \( K_m \) values from the incorporation of nucleotides opposite the abasic site were always higher than from the insertion of a correct dGMP at template C. Thus, proficient proofreading \textit{Pab} pols are sensitive to abasic sites and are not
efficient at inserting a nucleotide opposite such a non-instructive lesion. As observed from the $k_{cat}/K_m$ values, Pabpols exo - inserted a dAMP more efficiently than other deoxynucleotides opposite the AP site. While incorporation efficiencies of dAMP, dGMP and dTMP were higher when the proofreading function of PabpolB was deficient, no striking difference was observed between the wild-type and exonuclease-deficient PabpolD. Therefore, PabpolB and PabpolD exonuclease-deficient resemble each other in their ability to insert nucleotides opposite an abasic site with higher efficiencies for dAMP incorporation. Taken together, the data show that, while wild-type PabpolB does not significantly discriminate among nucleotides for incorporation opposite an AP site, the exonuclease-deficient PabpolB and both wild-type and exonuclease-deficient PabpolD were much sensitive to a non-coding lesion that seems to govern the dAMP incorporation rather than other dNTPs.

Effect of varying the downstream template base on nucleotide incorporation opposite an AP site by the Pabpols

The primer-templates used in these experiments were designed in order to examine the effect of changing the template base (N) at the 5’ side of the AP site (X) on the initiation of the extension of the primer. Nucleotide incorporation was measured in standing start reactions under standard Pabpols assay conditions. The 32-mer primer (oligonucleotide 6) was annealed to the four templates differing by the nature of the base N = A, T, G and C at the 5’ side of the AP site (Oligonucleotides 2, 1, 3, 4, respectively) (Figure 5). Extension of the primer in the four duplexes was conducted in the presence of each of the natural dNTPs. On the one hand, the wild-type Pabpols exclusively inserted a dAMP opposite the abasic lesion, independently of the sequence context (Figures 5A and 5B). While the percentages of incorporation of a dAMP
ranged from 46 to 58% for *Pab*polD exo+, they only reached 18% for *Pab*polB exo+. The *Pab*pols exo- also preferentially incorporated a dAMP opposite the AP site with a higher order of magnitude compared to the *Pab*pols exo+. For example, the percentages of incorporation of a dAMP ranged from 66 to 72% for *Pab*polD exo- and 46 to 58% for *Pab*polD exo+ (Figure 5D and Figure 5B, respectively). However, unlike *Pab*pols exo+, the *Pab*pols exo- also inserted other dNMPs opposite the non-instructional lesion, following the order: dAMP>dGMP>dTMP>dCMP for *Pab*polB exo- and dAMP>dTMP~dCMP>dGMP for *Pab*polD exo-, independently of the sequence context (Figures 5C and 5D, respectively). Thus, these results demonstrate that nucleotide incorporation opposite an AP site by the *Pab*pols exo+/exo- is not directed by the nature of the base located at the 5’ side of the AP site.
In hyperthermophiles, cellular and environmental stresses encountered by cells are likely
to be exacerbated by adaptation to extreme conditions. Cell survival is ensured by a complex
network of DNA events that contributes to the maintenance of the functional integrity of nucleic
acids at high temperature. Here, we have focused the study on *P. abyssi*, an anaerobe
hyperthermophilic euryarchaeota (HA) that thrives at elevated temperature in the immediate
surroundings of deep-sea hydrothermal vents. This is the first report that establishes a
relationship between the specific genomic level of abasic sites and the resulting impact on the
intrinsic properties of replicative DNA polymerases in archaea. These features show that *P.
abyssi* can be used as an informative model to analyse the biological relevance of DNA damage
accumulation in the hyperthermophilic chromosome and the underlying genomic maintenance
mechanisms.

The investigation presented here shows that the steady-state level of AP sites into the 1.7
million base-pairs of *P. abyssi* ranged from 25 to 42 AP sites per 100,000 bp during the
exponential and stationary phases, respectively. Similarly, a 2-fold increase of AP sites is
observed in *E. coli*. These findings corroborate the higher efficiency of DNA protection and
repair mechanisms in proliferating cells, compatible with a low level of AP sites. However, it is
important to precise that our expectation to detect a drastic increased number of abasic sites in
the hyperthermophilic chromosome was not fulfilled. Indeed, the level was only 10-fold higher
than in the mesophilic bacteria *E. coli*. Comparatively, the frequency of endogenous AP sites in
mammalian cells reached 10 to 12 AP sites per 100,000 bp (*Zhao et al.*, 2006). Indeed, in the
literature, the number of AP sites in the genome of thermophilic and hyperthermophilic
microorganisms is always suspected to increase drastically compared to the mesophilic
counterparts (Grogan, 1998; Grogan, 2000). This assumption takes into account of the intrinsic properties of the primary structure of DNA at elevated temperatures, corresponding to a 3,000-fold increase in DNA decay at 100°C (Lindahl, 1993). Conceivably, *P. abyssi* has evolved to adjust genetically the level of endogenous AP sites in its genome that could be detrimental for genome integrity of mesophiles. Accumulation of AP sites and, more generally, others DNA lesions can be envisaged, suggesting that hyperthermophiles are adapted to survive pre-existing mutations. Clearly, these results establish that the number of AP sites seems to evolve respect to the growth stage without affecting cell growth and viability. However, further studies are required to determine the threshold that hyperthermophiles can support to ensure cell survival.

Evolution has produced multiple DNA polymerases able to replicate undamaged or damaged DNA. Sixteen DNA polymerases have been described in human, nine in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, five in *E. coli* (Bebenek and Kunkel, 2004; Hubscher et al., 2002; Rothwell and Waksman, 2005) and up to five in archaea (Barry and Bell, 2006; Yang, 2005). Interestingly, the genome of the euryarchaeota *P. abyssi* encodes only two DNA polymerases, families B and D, required to faithfully duplicate the genetic information (Henneke et al., 2005).

In this study, steady-state kinetic analyses of nucleotide insertion show for the first time that *PabpolD* is endowed with high-fidelity onto undamaged DNA as its replicative counterpart, *PabpolB* (Table 2). Incorporation efficiency of *PabpolD* was reduced to five fold compared to *PabpolB*, demonstrating that *Pabpol* possesses distinct kinetic properties.

Our results give evidence that the presence of AP sites strongly inhibited the DNA polymerising activity of both *Pabpol* and that the absence of their proofreading function correlate with enhanced bypass of AP sites. The degree of inhibition of DNA synthesis was dependent upon the *Pabpol* examined. *PabpolD* could insert a nucleotidet opposite the AP site and, in all conditions
tested, was not able to extend beyond the 3’ primer lesion. *Pab*polB inserted opposite the AP site and extended the DNA template to the full-length, only when present at a molar excess over the template. This result is comparable to previous studies showing that molar excess of enzyme versus DNA template could enhance translesion synthesis by DNA polymerases past an abasic site (Blanca *et al.*, 2007; McCulloch and Kunkel, 2006; Tanguy Le Gac *et al.*, 2004). While translesion synthesis of both exonuclease-proficient and deficient *Pab*polBs and the exonuclease-deficient *Pab*polD were differently affected by the topology of the DNA template, the template sequence context did not significantly influence the bypass properties of *Pab*polDs. Further, both *Pab*polDs inserted dAMP opposite the AP site independently of the nature of the 5’ template base to the AP site. Despite this nucleotide selectivity, steady-state kinetics showed that dAMP incorporation was not efficient. These observations show for the first time that archaeal replicative (families B and D) DNA polymerases follow the ‘A-rule’ (Strauss, 1991; Taylor, 2002) like eukaryal and bacterial counterparts (Haracska *et al.*, 2001; Shibutani *et al.*, 1997). The physical basis of the ‘A-rule’ is still an intensive debate (Kool, 2002; Zahn *et al.*, 2007) and structural studies reported the molecular level of replication blockage that produced catalytically inactive DNA polymerases (Freisinger *et al.*, 2004; Hogg *et al.*, 2004). Whether the molecular and physical bases are conserved through archaeal replicative DNA polymerases would have to be unravelled. Accordingly, the ability of abasic sites to inhibit *Pab*polDs could reflect steric constraints imposed by the “tightness” of the active site. Furthermore, the capacity to partition the mispairs away from the polymerase domain into the exonuclease active site might exhibit structural rearrangements that are differentially influenced by the dynamic features of the DNA polymerase. To this point, the major distinction between the two *Pab*polDs is the subunits composition. While *Pab*polB is a monomeric enzyme with associated exonuclease and
polymerase activities, *Pab*polD is an heterodimeric enzyme with the large and the small subunits carrying, respectively, the polymerase and the exonuclease activities (Gueguen et al., 2001). Therefore, it is reasonable to suggest that the architecture of the two DNA polymerases may account for the subtle differences observed within the polymerase and exonuclease efficiencies. However, a complete detailed functional analysis must await the crystal structure of the individual *Pab*pols. The balance between polymerization and excision was recently described in B-family DNA polymerase in archaea (Kuroita et al., 2005) but never in D-family. The distinct kinetic partitioning of insertion and edition of mispairs observed within *Pab*pols corroborates with eukaryal and bacterial homologues properties (Jin et al., 2003; Jin et al., 2005; Pages et al., 2005) and confers that replicative DNA polymerases are high-fidelity enzymes (Bloom et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2000; Shimizu et al., 2002).

The down-regulation of the proofreading function of *Pab*polB could favour TLS in order to overcome the block imposed by AP sites. Bypass of AP sites could generate either single-base substitutions or frameshift mutations (Baynton and Fuchs, 2000). *Pab*polB appears to proceed through single-base substitution upon completion of DNA template containing an AP site. The molar excess of the enzyme over the DNA template accounted for TLS under our *in vitro* conditions. On the other hand, a replicative *Pab*pol idling at a DNA lesion could be a crucial factor to trigger cellular responses to DNA damage in *P. abyssi*. The mechanism by which proofreading activities of archaenal DNA polymerases could be regulated *in vivo* (dNTPs balance, role of accessory proteins and enzymes switching) and their contribution in some cases to counteract genomic DNA lesions has to be elucidated. Recently, it was described that *P. abyssi* has evolved with efficient DNA strategies to cope with ionizing radiations and elevated temperatures (Jolivet et al., 2003). Biochemical evidence for relevant DNA repair mechanisms
has not been demonstrated in *P. abyssi* yet. More striking is the lack of identification of any mismatch repair genes and the complete set of damage excision genes (Cohen *et al.*, 2003). Interestingly, homologous recombination genes (RecA/Rad51) have been identified into the genome sequence of *P. abyssi* together with the fact that exponentially growing thermophilic archaea contain several copies of the chromosome (Bernander and Poplawski, 1997; Breuert *et al.*, 2006). This might be particularly consistent for the repair of strand breaks. Furthermore, it is not excluded that the recently characterised primase from *P. abyssi* could also play a role in damage avoidance since it possesses sequence and structural similarities with the family X DNA polymerases (Le Breton *et al.*, 2007). Ultimately, the process of such DNA lesions would have to be explored by the *P. abyssi* replisome in the context of genomic mutagenicity.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Strains and cell culture techniques

*P. abyssi* GE5 (Brittany Culture Collection, http://www.ifremer.fr/souchotheque) were grown in 50 ml YPS medium under anaerobic conditions at 95°C (Erauso *et al.*, 1993). The *E. coli* CIP 54.8 strain (CRBIP) was cultivated in 1 L of Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl) at 37°C and pH 7.3, in a shaking incubator (170 rpm). Growth was monitored by density measurements with a cell Thoma counting chamber (0.02 mm depth). Samples for DNA extraction were collected in the exponential and stationary growth phases as indicated in Figure 1. The samples for DNA extraction were centrifuged at 6,000 g for 15 min at 4°C and the pellets were stored at –20°C.

Genomic DNA Isolation and Detection of AP sites

Genomic DNA from *P. abyssi* was isolated using the extraction method as described (Charbonnier *et al.*, 1995) and optimised in order to avoid the formation of additional AP sites. Briefly, cell pellets were suspended in 800 µl TE-Na-1 X lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). This was followed by successive additions of 50 µl proteinase K (20 mg/ml), 100 µl Sarcosyl (10%), 100 µl SDS (10%). The applied lysis treatment were performed at 37°C for 1.5 hours and isolation of the total DNA was accomplished by adding an equal volume of buffered (pH 8.0) PCI (Phenol/Chloroform/Isoamyl Alcohol: 25/24/1). The samples were gently mixed and the aqueous phases were collected by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. 10 µl RNase (10 mg/ml) were added and incubation was performed at 37°C for one hour. DNAs were purified with an equal volume of PCI and centrifuged. The upper phase was extracted with an equal volume of pure chloroform and centrifuged. DNA precipitation was
obtained by mixing the final aqueous phase with 0.7 volume of 100% isopropanol followed by
incubation for one hour at room temperature. After a 30 min centrifugation at 15,000 g at 4°C,
the DNA pellets were washed once with 0.5 ml of 70% ice cold ethanol. Finally, the DNA pellets
were air-dried during 1 hour before solubilization in TE-1X buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM
EDTA, pH 7.5).

Genomic DNA extractions from *E. coli* was performed following the CTAB (Hexadecyl
trimethyl-ammonium bromide) method for Gram-negative bacteria as described (Park, 2007).

The level of AP sites in genomic DNA was measured using the DNA damage
quantification –AP site Counting kit from Dojindo Molecular Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD).
DNA pellets were dissolved in TE buffer supplied by the kit and DNA concentrations were
exactly adjusted to 100 ng/µl. Briefly, DNA samples were incubated with the Aldehyde Reactive
Probe (ARP) reagent (N’-aminooxymethylcarbonylhydrazino-D-biotin) that specifically reacted
with the aldehydic ring-opened AP sites (Kow and Dare, 2000; Kubo *et al.*, 1992). The AP sites
tagged with biotin interacted with horseradish peroxidase-streptavidin and AP sites were
colorimetrically detected. For each condition, the average of three measurements per sample was
used in the statistical analyses.

Chemicals and Enzymes

Unlabelled dNTPs were from MP Biomedicals. T4 polynucleotide kinase, DNA ligase
and T4 DNA polymerase were from New England Biolabs. *Pabpol*D was cloned, expressed and
purified as described (Henneke *et al.*, 2005). *Pabpol*B (Isis DNA polymerase) and *Pabpol*B
exonuclease-deficient (Pyra DNA polymerase) were purchased from MP Biomedicals. 1 unit of
*Pabpol*s corresponds to the incorporation of 1 nmol of total dTMP into acid–precipitable
material per min at 65°C in a standard assay containing 0.5 μg (nucleotides) of poly(dA)/oligo(dT)\textsubscript{10:1}. All other reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Fluka.

Construction, expression and purification of the recombinant wild-type and exonuclease-deficient His tag PabpolD

The pET26b expression vector containing the PabpolD large subunit (DP2) (Gueguen \textit{et al.}, 2001) was digested with \textit{Nde}I and \textit{Sal}I and the resulting fragment was inserted into the pET28a expression vector (Novagen) in order to introduce a histidine tag (His tag) at the N-terminus. To render the PabpolD exonuclease-deficient, site-directed mutagenesis was carried out by introducing the H451A point mutation onto the PabpolD small subunit (DP1) (Gueguen \textit{et al.}, 2001). The two site-specific complementary primers, reverse H451A 5’-TGGCCTAGCGCATCGGCATTTCCTGGCCCTAT-3’ and forward H451A 5’-ATAGGGCCAGGAAATGCCGATGCCGCTAGGCCA-3’ were used to PCR amplify the pARHS expression vector containing DP1 according to the protocol of the Quick change Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). DNA sequencing was used to confirm that no spurious mutations had been introduced during PCR. The constructed expression vectors pET28a/DP2 and either the wild-type or the exonuclease-deficient pARHS/DP1 were co-introduced into host \textit{E. coli} HMS174 (DE3). The transformed cells were grown in 1.5 liters Luria Bertani (LB) medium containing ampicillin (100 μg/ml) and kanamycin (30 μg/ml) at 37 °C. When \(A_{600}\) reached 0.7, 1 mM isopropylthio-\(\beta\)-galactoside was added to induce expression of active DNA polymerases. After being cultured 4 hours at 37 °C with gentle shaking (160 rpm), the cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 25 ml buffer A (20 mM sodium
phosphate, pH 6.6, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM Imidazole) containing the protease inhibitor, disrupted on ice by French press and then heat-treated at 80°C for 15 min. Denatured host proteins were removed by centrifugation. The clarified supernatant was applied further onto Ni²⁺–HisTrap column (5 ml of bed volume) pre-equilibrated with buffer A. Proteins were eluted with buffer B (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.6, 1 mM DTT, 500 mM Imidazole) and active fractions were pooled and dialysed against buffer C (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.6, 1 mM DTT). The dialysate was loaded onto a heparin column (5 ml of bed volume) pre-equilibrated with buffer D (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.6, 1 mM DTT, 0.15 M NaCl). The column was developed with a linear gradient from buffer D to buffer E (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.6, 1 mM DTT, 1 M NaCl). Eluted protein showed over 98% purity. Pure His-PabpolD (wild-type and exonuclease-deficient) were dialyzed against storage buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, and 50% glycerol) and stored at −20 °C until use. We checked by acid precipitable assay, as described (Henneke et al., 2005), that the addition of the His tag at the N terminus of DP2 had no effect on the DNA polymerization activities. Moreover, the 3’-5’ exonuclease deficiency for the mutant H451A was confirmed (data not shown). Sequence alignment of exonuclease domain in representative euryarchaeal DNA polymerases highlighting residues critical for proofreading function is shown in Figure S1.

Nucleic Acid Substrates

Single-stranded (ss) M13mp18 was purchased (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare). In order to create AP sites into natural DNA templates, the ssM13mp18 viral DNA was incubated at a final concentration of 0.18 pmol/μl in 30 mM potassium chloride, 10 mM sodium citrate, pH 3.0 at 70°C for 45 min (Schaaper et al., 1983). These conditions introduced one AP
sites per molecule in 4 min, measured by survival (Schaaper and Loeb, 1981). After treatment, the damaged M13mp18 was purified with the QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit from Qiagen (Germany). The sequences of the DNA primers / templates used in the present study are depicted in Table 1. All oligonucleotides, including those containing a tetrahydrofuran moiety mimicking an abasic site, were chemically synthesized and gel-purified (Eurogentec, Belgium). Primers were labelled at their 5'-end by fluorescein with the 5' End Tag kit labelling system from Vector Laboratories (California). Free fluorescein was removed through the Microspin G-25 column (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare) and the labelled primers were hybridised to the respective templates at equimolar concentrations.

The minicircle template was prepared as described (Tanguy Le Gac et al., 2004). Briefly, the linear 5’-phosphorylated oligonucleotide 1 (intact or containing the tetrahydrofuran moiety) was intramolecularly ligated under dilute conditions using a scaffold 40-mer oligonucleotide (5'‐ATATTCCCTACCCCTCCCGATCTATCCACCATACTACCCTCC- 3’). Minicircles were gel-purified and their concentration was determined spectrophotometrically, followed by annealing with their complementary 5’-fluorescein labelled primer at equimolar concentration.

**Primer extension onto intact or damaged primed-oligonucleotides**

“Standing start” and “Running start” assays were catalysed into a final volume (15 μl) containing the following components: (i) for PabpolD: 8.3 nM of labelled primers / templates, 20 nM of PabpolD exo+/exo- unless otherwise specified, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 200 μM dNTPs; (ii) for PabpolB: 8.3 nM of labelled primers / templates, 13 nM of PabpolB exo+/exo- unless otherwise mentioned, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 50 mM KCl,
1 mM DTT, 2 mM MgCl₂ and 200 µM dNTPs. Reactions were performed at 55°C for 30 minutes and quenched by the addition of 15 µl of stop buffer (98% formamide, 10 mM EDTA). Samples were heated at 95°C for 5 minutes. The reactions products were resolved on 15% polyacrylamide, 7 M urea gels and visualized with a Mode Imager Typhoon 9400 (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare). Quantification of the results was performed using ImageQuant 5.2 software. The extent of the bypass reaction was calculated as the ratio of the intensity of the bands downstream of the AP site to the intensity of the bands opposite the lesion.

Effect of sequence context on AP site bypass was analysed under standing start conditions. The fluorescein-labelled primer (oligonucleotide 6) was annealed right before the template AP site that is indicated by X. Different template bases 5’ to the AP site are depicted by N (N=A, oligonucleotide 2; N=T, oligonucleotide 1; N=G, oligonucleotide 3; N=C, oligonucleotide 4) (Table 1). Bypass assays were performed as described above excepted that 16 nM of DNA templates were used when the template base 5’ to the AP site was: N=T and N=C for PabpolB exo-, N=T and N=G for PabpolD exo+, N=C for PabpolB exo+. Quantification of nucleotides insertion opposite the AP site are calculated for wild-type and exonuclease-deficient Pabpol in triplicate but only the more resolving gel was quantified.

**Steady-state Kinetic Analyses**

A 5’-fluorescein labelled primer, annealed to either a correct or damaged template, was extended in the presence of increasing concentrations of a single dNTP. Pabpol concentrations and reaction times were set so that maximal product formation was ≤ 20% of the substrate concentration. The linear primer-template (oligonucleotides 6 and 1) was extended with dNTP at 55°C in the presence of 6.6-33.3 nM enzyme for 1 or 5 min, depending on the proper utilization.
efficiency and substrate utilisation. All reactions (15 µl) were carried out at various dNTP concentrations (in triplicate) and quenched with 2 volumes of a solution of 20 mM EDTA in 95% formamide (v/v). Products were resolved using a 15% polyacrylamide (w/v) electrophoresis gel containing 7 M urea and visualized using a Mode Imager Typhoon 9400. Bands were quantified with ImageQuant 5.2 software (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare). The observed rates of deoxynucleotide incorporation as a function of dNTP concentration were firstly determined from Lineweaver-Burk plots. The data were fit by nonlinear regression using the Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm (EnzFitter 2.0, BioSoft) to the Michaelis-Menten equation describing a hyperbola, $v = (V_{\text{max}} \times [\text{dNTP}]/K_m + [\text{dNTP}])$ as already described (Le Breton et al., 2007). Apparent $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ kinetic parameters were obtained from the fit and were used to calculate the efficiency of deoxynucleotide incorporation ($k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$). The kinetics values are the average of at least triplicate determinations and are shown with standard deviations (SD). ND, means that no detectable incorporation was observed. Gel patterns and quantitation of single nucleotide incorporation reactions are shown in Figure S2.

Primer extension onto intact or damaged M13mp18 DNA template

Product analysis. The oligonucleotide 6 was annealed to either the damaged AP-M13mp18 or undamaged M13mp18 at a molar ratio 3:1. Standard Pabpols reactions (10 µl) were conducted into their respective buffer containing 200 µM each of dNTPs, 7 nM of DNA template and 2 pmol of Pabpols. Reactions were carried out at 60°C for 30 minutes. T4 DNA polymerase reactions were performed at 37°C for 30 minutes into the 1 X T4 pol buffer (according to the manufacturer’s protocol) with 7 nM of DNA template, 100 µM each of dNTPs and 2 pmol of T4 DNA polymerase. Reaction mixtures were stopped by the addition of 10 µl of 30 mM EDTA and
the samples were heated to 100°C for 10 min. Reactions mixtures were subjected to a 0.8% (w/v) denaturing alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis, and replication products were visualized with a Mode Imager Typhoon 9400 (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare). DNA ladders (Raoul markers, MP Biomedicals) were run into the same gel and revealed separately.

*Acid precipitable assay.* The reaction buffers composition were identical to those described in product analysis for *Pab* pols and T4 DNA pol. The final volume of 10 µl contained 200 µM of unlabeled dNTPs, 20 µM [³H]dTTP, 7 nM of DNA template (AP-M13mp18 or undamaged M13mp18) and 2 pmol of enzyme to be tested. Reactions were carried out at 60°C and 37°C, respectively, for *Pab* pols and T4 DNA pol for 30 minutes. DNA was precipitated with 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Insoluble radioactive material was determined by scintillation counting as described (Henneke *et al.*, 2005; Rouillon *et al.*, 2007).
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Table 1. Damaged or intact oligonucleotides used in this study. X represents the position of the correct base, template C, or a tetrahydrofuran moiety designed to functionally mimic an abasic site.

Table 2. Incorporation kinetics by wild-type and exonuclease-deficient Pabpol. Single nucleotide insertion assays were performed as described in Experimental procedures. The observed rates of deoxynucleotide incorporation as a function of dNTP concentration were firstly determined from Lineweaver-Burk plots. The data were fit by nonlinear regression using the Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm (EnzFitter 2.0, BioSoft) to the Michaelis-Menten equation describing a hyperbola, \( v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times [d\text{NTP}]}{K_m + [d\text{NTP}]} \) as already described (Le Breton et al., 2007). Apparent \( K_m \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \) kinetic parameters were obtained from the fit and were used to calculate the efficiency of deoxynucleotide incorporation (\( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \)). The kinetics values are the average of at least triplicate determinations and are shown with SD. The \( f \)(misinsertion frequency) is the ratio \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) for the incorrect nucleotide to \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) for the correct nucleotide. ND means no detectable incorporation observed.

Figure 1. Rate of endogenous AP sites into \( P. \) abyssi and \( E. \) coli genomes at different growth stages. Steady-state level of AP sites per 100,000 bp was calculated during the exponential and stationary phases of growth. The number of AP sites per 100,000 bp represents the mean of triplicate experiments and error bars show the standard deviations of each measurement.
Figure 2. Replication of AP sites containing M13mp18 DNA template by Pabpol. A, Chemical treatment to induced AP sites into M13mp18 DNA. B, Primer extension assays were performed with 5'-fluorescein end labelled primer (oligonucleotide 6) hybridised to either the damaged or undamaged M13mp18 DNA template, Pabpol and T4 DNA polymerase used as a control experiment. The elongated products were separated on a 0.8 % (w/v) denaturing alkaline agarose gel. Lanes 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 are the undamaged extended products; lanes 3, 5, 8, 10, 13 are the damaged extended products. C, dNTPs incorporation into the damaged and undamaged M13mp18 DNA primed-templates were tested by acid precipitation and incubation was performed according to the dependent polymerase reactions with [³H]dTTP as the substrate (as outlined in Experimental procedures).
Figure 3. Replication of AP-containing mini-circular and linear oligonucleotides DNA templates by the wild-type or exonuclease-deficient PabpolB. Primer extension assays were performed at the indicated PabpolB concentrations with 8.3 nM of primer-template (oligonucleotides 1 and 5), 200 µM dNTPs at 55°C for 30 min as described in the Experimental procedures. Quantifications of the extended products from the AP site are mentioned below the gels. The extent of the bypass reaction was calculated as the ratio of the intensity of the bands downstream of the AP site to the intensity of the bands opposite the lesion. A, Replication onto the AP site-containing linear template. The position of the abasic site is indicated by X. Lanes 2 and 9 correspond to the positive control with 8.3 nM of intact template (X=C). B, Replication of the AP site-containing circular template. Lanes 2 and 8 correspond to the positive control with 8.3 nM of intact template (X=C). 32 mer indicates the position of the base preceding the AP site, while 33 mer is the position of the AP site.

Figure 4. Replication of AP-containing mini-circular and linear oligonucleotides DNA templates by the wild-type or exonuclease-deficient PabpolD. Primer extension assays were performed at the indicated PabpolD concentrations with 8.3 nM of primer-template (oligonucleotides 1 and 5), 200 µM dNTPs at 55°C for 30 min as described in the Experimental procedures. Quantification of the extended products from the AP site is mentioned below the gels. The extent of the bypass reaction was calculated as the ratio of the intensity of the bands downstream of the AP site to the intensity of the bands opposite the lesion. A, Replication onto the AP site-containing linear template. The position of the abasic site is indicated by X. Lanes 2 and 8 correspond to the positive control with 8.3 nM of template (X=C). B, Replication of the AP site-containing circular template. Lanes 2 and 8 correspond to the positive control with 8.3 nM of template (X=C).
nM of template (X=C). 32 mer indicates the position of the base preceding the AP site, while 33 mer is the position of the AP site.

Figure 5. Effect of varying the downstream template base on nucleotide incorporation opposite an AP site by Pabpols. Standing start reactions were performed with four DNA templates that varied by the nature of the 5’ template base. The fluorescein-labelled primer (oligonucleotide 6) was annealed right before the template AP site that is indicated by X. Different template bases 5’ to the AP site are depicted by N (N=A, oligonucleotide 2; N=T, oligonucleotide 1; N=G, oligonucleotide 3; N=C, oligonucleotide 4) (Table 1). Single nucleotide incorporations were carried out as described in Experimental procedures with the different primed-templates, 13 nM of PabpolB exo+/exo-, 20 nM of PabpolD exo+/exo-, 200 µM of each dNTP at 55°C for 30 min. A. Reaction with 13 nM PabpolB exo+. B. Reaction with 20 nM PabpolD exo+. C. Reaction with 13 nM PabpolB exo-. D. Reaction with 20 nM PabpolD exo+. 
Oligonucleotide 1
5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATTAGAGCTGCTACGAGCTGGTACCCGGGGATCC
TAGAGTGCCTGCAAGCTTGGCA-3'

Oligonucleotide 2
5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATTAGAGCTGCTACGAGCTGGTACCCGGGGATCC
TAGAGTGCCTGCAAGCTTGGCA-3'

Oligonucleotide 3
5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATTAGAGCTGCTACGAGCTGGTACCCGGGGATCC
TAGAGTGCCTGCAAGCTTGGCA-3'

Oligonucleotide 4
5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATTAGAGCTGCTACGAGCTGGTACCCGGGGATCC
TAGAGTGCCTGCAAGCTTGGCA-3'

Oligonucleotide 5
5'-TGCCAAGCTTGCTGCAAGCTTGGCA-3'

Oligonucleotide 6
5'-TGCCAAGCTTGCTGCAAGCTTGGCA-3'

Table 1: Damaged or intact oligonucleotides used in this study
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA polymerase</th>
<th>dNTP</th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ (µM⁻¹ min⁻¹)</th>
<th>$f$(misinsertion frequency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$PabpolB$ exo⁺</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>0.25±0.01</td>
<td>425.27±0.12</td>
<td>1671.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PabpolD$ exo⁺</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>0.19±0.02</td>
<td>66.70±0.69</td>
<td>346.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion opposite C</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>18.70±1.23</td>
<td>61.09±0.43</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>5.46±0.05</td>
<td>35.05±0.86</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>1.98±0.35</td>
<td>60.29±0.15</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>32.01±2.11</td>
<td>23.07±0.37</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PabpolB$ exo⁻</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>74.47±9.16</td>
<td>39.71±1.28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>17.00±3.50</td>
<td>32.50±1.30</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>13.93±1.08</td>
<td>46.81±8.60</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>374.69±129.32</td>
<td>10.41±8.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PabpolD$ exo⁻</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>100±9</td>
<td>87±4</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>121±7</td>
<td>91±4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>610±40</td>
<td>120±8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion opposite AP site</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>36±3</td>
<td>114±4</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>650±20</td>
<td>102±2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PabpolB$ exo⁻</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>4.19±0.31</td>
<td>89.42±1.23</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>15.02±0.18</td>
<td>62.92±0.11</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>8.88±2.35</td>
<td>73.68±4.71</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PabpolD$ exo⁻</td>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>12.00±2.41</td>
<td>29.03±1.32</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>76.19±0.85</td>
<td>25.55±0.07</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>70.41±7.61</td>
<td>12.43±0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>82.08±6.12</td>
<td>30.72±0.54</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Incorporation kinetics by wild-type and exonuclease-deficient Pabpol
Figure 1
Figure 2

A. M13mpl8 DNA
   without lesions → 45 min, 70°C → Apurinic
   Sodium citrate (10 mM) → M13mpl8 DNA
   Potassium chloride (30 mM, pH 3)

B.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M13mpl8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP-M13mpl8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4DNA pol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PabpolD exo+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PabpolD exo-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PabpolB exo+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PabpolB exo-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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[Graph showing enzymatic activity (p mol/30 min)]

[Image of gel electrophoresis with bands indicating enzyme activity]
Figure 3
Figure 4: Replication of AP-containing mini-circular and linear oligonucleotides DNA templates by the wild-type or exonuclease-deficient PabpolD
Figure 5
**Figure S1**: Mapping of conserved residues involved in 3’-5’ exonuclease activity among representative euryarchaeal DNA polymerases.
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5'-CAGAAAAGCTATGACCATGTATTACGAATTTCGAGCTCCGGATCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTTGGCA-3' 
ATCTCAGCTGAGCTCCGTACGTCGAACCGTGTTTACCGGATG-5'
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B.

5’-CAGAAACAGCTATGACCATGATTACGAGCTCGTACCCTGGGATCTTAGAGTCGACCTGCAAGGATGCAAGCTTGGCA-3’
ATCTCAGCTGGACGTCCGTACGTTCGAACCGT-5’
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Figure S2: Steady-state kinetics analyses of deoxynucleotide incorporation by Pabpols.

A, Incorporation of dNTP opposite undamaged C. The primer-template duplex (8.3 nM) was incubated individually with PabpolB exo+ (6.6 nM), PabpolD exo+ (20 nM), PabpolB exo- (13 nM) or PabpolD exo- (16 nM), in the presence of a single dNTP at the indicated concentrations. B, Incorporation of dNTP opposite an AP site. The primer-template duplex (8.3 nM) was incubated individually with PabpolB exo+ (24 nM), PabpolD exo+ (20 nM), PabpolB exo- (13.3 nM) or PabpolD exo- (33.3 nM), in the presence of a single dNTP at the indicated concentrations. Reactions were carried out at 55°C under standard Pabpols assay conditions and the products were resolved by denaturing PAGE. The rate of incorporation was graphed as a function of dNTP concentration and the data were fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation. The \( k_{cat} \) et \( K_m \) parameters obtained from the fit are listed in Table 2.