



Pertinent parameters for Kautz approximation

Riwal Morvan, Noël Tanguy, Pierre Vilbé, Léon-Claude Calvez

► **To cite this version:**

Riwal Morvan, Noël Tanguy, Pierre Vilbé, Léon-Claude Calvez. Pertinent parameters for Kautz approximation. Electronics Letters, IET, 2000, 36 (8), pp.769-771. hal-00488085

HAL Id: hal-00488085

<https://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-00488085>

Submitted on 21 Feb 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Pertinent parameters for Kautz approximation

R. Morvan, N. Tanguy, P.Vilbé, and L.C. Calvez.¹

Abstract

A procedure for determining two parameters to be used in Kautz approximation is presented. It is based on minimisation of an upper bound of the error energy.

Index terms: Orthonormal approximation, Signal representation, Modelling, Mathematical techniques.

Introduction:

Poorly damped systems are difficult to approximate with a reasonable number of Laguerre functions, so the so-called two-parameter Kautz functions which can approximate more efficiently signals with strong oscillatory behavior, have received much attention in the recent mathematical modelling and identification literature (see, e.g., [[1]] and the references therein). These functions can be defined by their Laplace transforms

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\varphi}_{2k}(s) &= \frac{\sqrt{2bc}}{s^2 + bs + c} \left(\frac{s^2 - bs + c}{s^2 + bs + c} \right)^k \\ \widehat{\varphi}_{2k+1}(s) &= \frac{s\sqrt{2b}}{s^2 + bs + c} \left(\frac{s^2 - bs + c}{s^2 + bs + c} \right)^k \\ b &> 0, c > 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\end{aligned}$$

where the numbering of the functions as defined in [[1]] has been slightly modified for suitability. The time functions are written $\varphi_n(t)$ or as $\varphi_n(t, b, c)$ whenever it is desirable to exhibit the parameters. The orthonormal set $\{\varphi_n\}$ is complete in $L^2[0, \infty[$, thus any finite energy real causal signal $f(t)$ can be approximated within any prescribed accuracy by truncating its infinite expansion $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(t)$ where $a_n = \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle$ is the $n + 1$ th Fourier coefficient. The N -term truncated expansion yields the best approximation to $f(t)$ of the form $\tilde{f}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n \varphi_n(t)$ in the sense of minimising the integrated squared error (ISE)

$$Q = \int_0^{\infty} [f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)]^2 dt = \|f\|^2 - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n^2 = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n^2. \quad (1)$$

Usually, since the a_n depend on b and c , Q can be reduced further by a proper choice of these parameters. Nice optimality conditions for Kautz approximation, generalizing that of the Laguerre case [[2], [3]], have been derived by Oliveira e Silva [[4]] and den Brinker [[5]]. However, these conditions of great theoretical interest can result in complicated computations in practical cases. For Laguerre functions [[6], [7]] and other classical functions [[8], [9]] an alternative easy-to-use and efficient approach, based on minimisation of an upper bound of the error energy, has been proposed. It is the purpose of this Letter to derive a somewhat similar procedure for the specific set of non-classical two-parameter Kautz functions.

Key relationship:

Recently [[1]], it has been shown that the coefficients a_n can be found from power series calculations in the following manner. Denoting by $\widehat{f}(s)$ the Laplace transform of $f(t)$, assumed to be analytic outside an appropriate region in the s -plane, let F_i , $i = 1, 2$, be defined by

$$F_1(s) = \left[s^2 \widehat{f}(s) - c \widehat{f}(c/s) \right] / (s^2 - c) \quad (2)$$

$$F_2(s) = \left[\widehat{f}(c/s) - \widehat{f}(s) \right] s\sqrt{c} / (s^2 - c). \quad (3)$$

Since $F_i(c/s) = F_i(s)$, both these functions are symmetric functions of c/s and s and so they can be represented as functions of $(c/s) + s$ and $(c/s)s = c$, whence

$$F_i(s) = \widehat{f}_i(s + c/s, c) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

¹Laboratoire d'Electronique et Systèmes de Télécommunications (LEST),
UMR CNRS n° 6616, Université de Bretagne Occidentale (UBO),
29285 BREST cedex, FRANCE {Riwal.Morvan, Noel.Tanguy, Pierre.Vilbe, Leon-Claude.Calvez}@univ-brest.fr

Notice that the transformation $s \rightarrow s + c/s$ is familiar in filter design where it is used to design a band-pass filter from a low-pass filter. The trick to relate Kautz coefficients and power series is to observe the remarkable relationship

$$\widehat{f}_i(s, c) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2n+2-i} \widehat{l}_n(s, b) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (5)$$

where $\widehat{l}_n(s, b) = \sqrt{2b}(s-b)^n / (s+b)^{n+1}$ denotes the Laplace transform of the normalised Laguerre function $l_n(bt)$. Thus, the Fourier coefficients associated with the expansion of $f(t)$ with respect to the orthonormal set $\{\varphi_n\}$ can be obtained via Laguerre expansions.

Proposed procedure for pertinent parameters:

Denoting by $f_i(t, c)$ the inverse Laplace transform of $\widehat{f}_i(s, c)$, let us define moments M_j by

$$M_0 = \int_0^{\infty} \left[(f_1)^2 + (f_2)^2 \right] dt \quad (6)$$

$$M_1(c) = \int_0^{\infty} t \left[(f_1)^2 + (f_2)^2 \right] dt \quad (7)$$

$$M_2(c) = \int_0^{\infty} t \left[\left(\frac{df_1}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{df_2}{dt} \right)^2 \right] dt \quad (8)$$

where we have used f_i as shorthand for $f_i(t, c)$. Since the Laguerre functions are orthonormal and the Kautz functions are orthonormal also, both M_0 and $\|f\|^2$ are equal to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2$, hence $M_0 = \|f\|^2$ is a constant. On the other hand, M_1 and M_2 depend on c but do not depend on b .

Theorem 1:

Let $q = Q / \|f\|^2$, $m_i(c) = M_i(c) / \|f\|^2$, $i = 1, 2$. Then, the normalised ISE associated with a $2K$ -term Kautz approximation is bounded by

$$q \leq B = \frac{1}{2K} \left[\frac{m_2(c)}{b} + bm_1(c) - 1 \right]. \quad (9)$$

This bound attains its minimum when $b = \sqrt{m_2(c)/m_1(c)}$. The minimum itself is $B_{min} = \left(2\sqrt{m_1(c)m_2(c)} - 1 \right) / (2K)$.

Proof:

Let $M_j = M_{j1} + M_{j2}$ where M_{ji} denotes the contribution of f_i ($M_{01} = \int_0^{\infty} (f_1)^2 dt$, ...). Then, the ISE $Q_i = \sum_{n=K}^{\infty} a_{2n+2-i}^2$ associated with the K -term Laguerre approximation of $f_i(t, c)$ (see eqn. 5) is known [[6]] to be bounded by $(M_{2i}/b + M_{1i}b - M_{0i}) / (2K)$, provided that $(2K+1) \geq (M_{2i}/b + M_{1i}b) / M_{0i}$, $i = 1, 2$, a condition which is assumed to hold in the following (K is sufficiently large). In view of eqn. 1 the ISE associated with the ($N = 2K$)-term Kautz approximation of $f(t)$ is $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ and can then be bounded as $Q \leq (M_2/b + M_1b - M_0) / (2K)$. Dividing throughout by $\|f\|^2 = M_0$ achieves the proof of eqn. 9. Writing $\partial B / \partial b = 0$, the last part of the theorem follows readily.

For a fixed $c > 0$, let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(c; m_1, m_2)$ denote the class of signals $f \in L^2[0, \infty[$ with given $m_1(c) = m_1$ and $m_2(c) = m_2$. There exist signals $f \in \mathbf{C}$ that achieve the bound in eqn. 9; as a simple example, consider $\mathbf{C}(5; 0.4, 1.6)$: it is a standard exercise to show that $f(t) = 3\varphi_0(t, 2, 5) + \varphi_6(t, 2, 5)$ is in this class. Clearly, the 6-term Kautz approximation using $\varphi_n(t, 2, 5)$ is $\tilde{f}(t) = 3\varphi_0(t, 2, 5)$ with $q = 0.1$ and $B = (1.6/2 + 2 \times 0.4 - 1) / 6 = 0.1$, whence $q = B$. Therefore, the bound in eqn. 9 is actually the maximum ISE for signals in \mathbf{C} and theorem 1 gives the best b , in the sense of minimising the maximum integrated squared error, that can be obtained with the knowledge of the signal limited to $m_1(c)$ and $m_2(c)$.

Now, suppose that $m_1(c)$ and $m_2(c)$ are known for more than one value of c , say for $c \in C$ where C represents a discrete or continuous set of positive numbers. Since the lowest $m_1(c)m_2(c)$ will result in the lowest B_{min} , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2:

Let c_0 denote that value of $c \in C$ at which the product $m_1(c)m_2(c)$ is minimum and let $b_0 = \sqrt{m_2(c_0)/m_1(c_0)}$. Then, a pertinent choice for the pair of Kautz parameters is (b_0, c_0) , which yields $(B_{min})_0 = \left(2\sqrt{m_1(c_0)m_2(c_0)} - 1 \right) / (2K)$.

Remark:

Notice that b_0 and c_0 do not depend on the number $N = 2K$ of functions to be used. Thus b_0 and c_0 can be computed in a first time and N can be chosen afterwards: for instance, one can choose N such that the upper bound $(B_{min})_0$ is small enough or such that the exact $q = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n^2 / \|f\|^2$ is small enough.

Illustrative example:

Consider the Laplace transform

$$\widehat{f}(s) = \frac{s^3 + 4s^2 + 8s + 1}{s^4 + 5s^3 + 13s^2 + 19s + 18}$$

with a view to deriving a second-order approximation ($N = 2$ Kautz functions). Letting for example $c = 4$, eqns. 2-4 yield

$$\widehat{f}_1(s, 4) = \frac{9s^3 + 72s^2 + 182s + 127}{9s^4 + 83s^3 + 267s^2 + 349s + 164}$$

$$\widehat{f}_2(s, 4) = \frac{s^3 + 19s^2 + 81s + 87}{9s^4 + 83s^3 + 267s^2 + 349s + 164}$$

Using one of the available techniques (e.g. [[10]]), the required moments are computed as $M_0 = \|f\|^2 = 0.5183$, $M_1(4) = 0.2531$, $M_2(4) = 0.3278$ and the error bound is minimised when $b = \sqrt{m_2(4)/m_1(4)} = \sqrt{M_2(4)/M_1(4)} = 1.138$. With $b = 1.138$ and $c = 4$, the first and second coefficients of the Kautz expansion are $a_0 = 0.2965$ and $a_1 = 0.6365$ from which the exact normalised ISE is obtained as $q = 4.878 \times 10^{-2}$.

The normalised moments computed by repeating the procedure for $c = 2$ and $c = 3$ are shown in Table 1. For $c \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, the product $m_1(c)m_2(c)$ is minimum if $c = 3$; therefore, in agreement with theorem 2, we select $b_o = \sqrt{0.4980/0.5138} = 0.9846$ and $c_o = 3$, improving the normalised ISE which becomes $q = q_o = 2.505 \times 10^{-3}$. It is worth noting that q_o obtained using limited knowledge of the signal (Table 1) is, for this example, very close to the best possible value $q_{opt} = 2.486 \times 10^{-3}$ that can be achieved with complete knowledge of the signal.

c	2	3	4
$m_1(c)$	0.5329	0.5138	0.4883
$m_2(c)$	0.6747	0.4980	0.6325
$m_1(c)m_2(c)$	0.3596	0.2559	0.3088

Table 1: Normalised moments for $c = 2, 3, 4$

Conclusion:

A procedure for improving a Kautz approximation, in the case of a limited number of expansion terms, by a proper choice of a pair of free parameters, has been presented. It possesses desirable features and can be readily adapted to the discrete time case. This work is underway.

REFERENCES

- [1] WAHLBERG, B., and MÄKILÄ, P.M.: 'On approximation of stable linear dynamical systems using Laguerre and Kautz functions', *Automatica*, 1996, **32**, (5), pp. 693-708
- [2] CLOWES, G.J.: 'Choice of the time-scaling factor for linear system approximations using orthonormal Laguerre functions', *IEEE Trans.*, 1965, **AC-10**, pp. 487-489
- [3] WANG, L., and CLUETT, W.R.: 'Optimal choice of time-scaling factor for linear system approximations using Laguerre models', *IEEE Trans.*, 1994, **AC-39**, (7), pp. 1463-1467
- [4] OLIVEIRA E SILVA, T.: 'Optimality conditions for truncated Kautz networks with two periodically repeating complex conjugate poles', *IEEE Trans.*, 1995, **AC-40**, (2), pp. 342-346
- [5] DEN BRINKER, A.C.: 'Optimality conditions for a specific class of truncated Kautz series', *IEEE Trans.*, 1996, **CASII-43**, (8), pp. 597-600
- [6] PARKS, T.W.: 'Choice of time scale in Laguerre approximations using signal measurements', *IEEE Trans.*, 1971, **AC-16**, pp. 511-513
- [7] FU, Y., and DUMONT, G.A.: 'An optimum time scale for discrete Laguerre network', *IEEE Trans.*, 1993, **AC-38**, (6), pp. 934-938
- [8] TANGUY, N., VILBE, P., and CALVEZ, L.C.: 'Optimum choice of free parameter in orthonormal approximations', *IEEE Trans.*, 1995, **AC-40**, (10), pp. 1811-1813
- [9] DEN BRINKER, A.C., and BELT, H.J.W.: 'Optimal free parameters in orthonormal approximations', *IEEE Trans.*, 1998, **SP-46**, (8), pp. 2081-2087
- [10] CALVEZ, L.C., VILBE, P., and SEVELLEC, M.: 'Efficient evaluation of model-reduction related integrals via polynomial arithmetic', *Electron. Lett.*, 1992, **28**, (7), pp. 659-661