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Spatial heterogeneity in the food web of a heavily modified Mediterranean coastal lagoon: stable isotope evidence
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ABSTRACT: We investigated the food web structure of the Salses-Leucate Lagoon (northwestern Mediterranean) through δ13C and δ15N analysis of its benthic macrofauna and potential food sources. This lagoon was heavily human-modified during the 1970s, allowing permanent exchange with the open sea and an increase in salinity from that time. As a result, it exhibits a much less marked salinity gradient than the neighbouring lagoon ecosystems, which suggests a priori that its food web structure is more homogeneous. In this environmental context, we assessed spatial variability in the isotopic composition of non-vagrant macrofauna in Salses-Leucate in relation to degree of connection with the open sea, anthropogenic inputs and the presence of oyster aquaculture. Overall, the main trophic pathway is based on suspended particulate organic matter and sedimented organic matter. However, there were marked spatial differences (at different scales) in both δ13C and δ15N values of macrofauna, which suggests an important feeding plasticity within each category of primary consumers at a small spatial scale. δ13C data showed that the contributions of the different food sources to the diet of primary consumers changed depending on distance from continental inputs, connection with the open sea and local primary producer coverage. Small-scale δ15N variability revealed a very localised influence of anthropogenic nitrogen output. Regarding the possible effect of aquaculture, the isotopic ratios of sediment and macrobenthos were not modified underneath the oyster lines with respect to the neighbouring area. Conversely, the isotopic signature of consumers living on the oyster lines contrasted with those living underneath. Therefore, our results suggest that a decoupling exists between the trophic pathways that occur in the water column and on the soft bottom of this shallow water ecosystem.
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INTRODUCTION

Coastal lagoons are among the most productive marine areas (Nixon 1982) and play major ecological roles, such as providing shelter and nursery areas for local and migrant species (Levin et al. 2001). Because they are located at the land–ocean interface, coastal lagoons are especially vulnerable to anthropogenic disturbances associated with tourism, urbanization, industry and agriculture. It is therefore essential to bet-
ter assess changes in the functioning of these ecosystems in response to natural and/or man-induced changes.

The study of the trophic structure of coastal lagoons is essential in this context. Due to the high diversity of primary producers and other potential food sources, this still remains a challenging task. Stable isotopes have been widely used to identify the main food sources assimilated by consumers and to infer trophic relationships within both terrestrial and marine food webs (Fry 2006). Carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios change in a predictable way when transferred along trophic pathways: the trophic shift between a consumer and its diet is typically low for carbon (+0.4 to +1.3‰) compared to nitrogen (+2.0 to +3.4‰) (Vander Zanden & Rasmussen 2001, McCutchan et al. 2003, Vanderklift & Ponsard 2003). Moreover, stable isotopes present relevant advantages compared to conventional gut content analysis techniques: (1) their changes reflect the assimilation rather than the ingestion of food, and (2) they provide time-integrated instead of snapshot assessments of the utilization of different food sources (Tieszen et al. 1983).

Stable isotopes have already proved useful in inferring sound information regarding trophic networks in marine coastal lagoons (Kwak & Zedler 1997, Machas et al. 2003, Vizzini & Mazzola 2006). Lagoons occupy more than half of the coastline (40,000 ha) of the Languedoc-Roussillon region on the French Mediterranean coast. Although much less investigated than the emblematic Thau Lagoon, which supports an economically important shellfish aquaculture, the more southern lagoons offer great opportunities to study the functioning of lagoon ecosystems. Among them, Lapalme Lagoon is a well-preserved lagoon (Wilke & Boutière 2000, Ilremen & Vizzini 2006) whose food web has been recently investigated by Carlier et al. (2007a). Carlier et al (2007a) showed that continental inputs may significantly contribute to the food web, and there is a positive gradient in $^{13}$C-enrichment from the inner to the outer part of the lagoon. The Salses-Leucate Lagoon, located in the southwestern part of the French Mediterranean coast (Languedoc Roussillon) (Fig. 1). The climate is characterized by sporadic but heavy rainfall (500 mm yr$^{-1}$), intense dryness and sunshine. The lagoon is submitted to strong winds (>16 m s$^{-1}$, 150 d yr$^{-1}$) which play a major role in the hydrodynamics of the basin and generate strong resuspension events. The surface of the lagoon is 5400 ha, and its maximum water depth is 3.7 m. A shallow sill separates the lagoon into 2 basins. The northern basin is smaller (1540 ha) and shallower (mean depth = 1.5 m) than the southern basin (3860 ha, mean depth = 2.1 m); this results in 2 distinct water circulation systems with restricted exchanges between the 2 basins.

The catchment area is 160 km$^2$ and continental inputs are limited, especially in the northern basin where temporary streams are dry most of the time (Wilke 1999). The southern basin receives most of the freshwater input via 2 main karstic springs (Font Estramar and Font Dame, 2.3 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ during summer) and from groundwater resurgences, and is then surrounded by extended areas of temporary inundated salt marshes. The lagoon communicates with the Mediterranean Sea by 3 permanent man-made connections (Fig. 1). The salinity is high (mean = 32) and fluctuates both seasonally and spatially between 27 and 41 (Wilke 1999). There is a general positive salinity gradient from the southwestern to the northwestern part of the lagoon (Boutière et al. 1982). Chlorophyll $a$ (chl $a$) concentration ranges between 2.0 and 4.0 µg l$^{-1}$

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area.** The present study was conducted in the Salses-Leucate Lagoon, located in the southwestern part of the French Mediterranean coast (Languedoc Roussillon) (Fig. 1). The climate is characterized by sporadic but heavy rainfall (500 mm yr$^{-1}$), intense dryness and sunshine. The lagoon is submitted to strong winds (>16 m s$^{-1}$, 150 d yr$^{-1}$) which play a major role in the hydrodynamics of the basin and generate strong resuspension events. The surface of the lagoon is 5400 ha, and its maximum water depth is 3.7 m. A shallow sill separates the lagoon into 2 basins. The northern basin is smaller (1540 ha) and shallower (mean depth = 1.5 m) than the southern basin (3860 ha, mean depth = 2.1 m); this results in 2 distinct water circulation systems with restricted exchanges between the 2 basins.

The catchment area is 160 km$^2$ and continental inputs are limited, especially in the northern basin where temporary streams are dry most of the time (Wilke 1999). The southern basin receives most of the freshwater input via 2 main karstic springs (Font Estramar and Font Dame, 2.3 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ during summer) and from groundwater resurgences, and is then surrounded by extended areas of temporary inundated salt marshes. The lagoon communicates with the Mediterranean Sea by 3 permanent man-made connections (Fig. 1). The salinity is high (mean = 32) and fluctuates both seasonally and spatially between 27 and 41 (Wilke 1999). There is a general positive salinity gradient from the southwestern to the northwestern part of the lagoon (Boutière et al. 1982). Chlorophyll $a$ (chl $a$) concentration ranges between 2.0 and 4.0 µg l$^{-1}$
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and is slightly higher in the southern than in the northern basin (Ifremer 2006). Macrophyte biomass is heterogeneous with values between 0 and 800 g DW m\(^{-2}\) (DW = dry weight) (Ifremer 2006). The dominant macrophytes are the seagrass Zostera noltii, Characeae and the macroalgae Chaetomorpha sp., Ulva sp. and Enteromorpha sp. Seven sewage treatment plants (representing an overall capacity of 200 000 inhabitants) are located all around the lagoon. The 3 main facilities (Leucate, Port-Leucate and Le Barcarès) are located on the east side of the lagoon. Treated wastewaters from Leucate and Port-Leucate are drained towards sand infiltration beds close to Site N4 (Fig. 1). Karstic spring water (8 l) and lagoon water (5 l) were collected about 10 cm below the surface. Sediment cores were hand-collected at 7 sites and the first cm was sliced and kept for the analysis of sediment organic matter (SOM). Benthic macrofauna were sampled at all sites. Benthic invertebrates were collected by sieving hand-shovelled sediment on a 1 mm mesh. Small invertebrates associated with the vegetation (Gammarus aequicauda and Idotea sp.) were collected with a hand-towed net (mesh size = 1.5 mm). At Site N3, macrofauna were sampled both on the oyster-rearing structures (i.e. suspended lines) and on the bottom underneath. Fish were caught with a hand-towed trawl net (mesh size = 1 cm) at all 5 shallow sites. Overall, 40 species of benthic invertebrates and 14 species of fish were collected. Care was taken to sample animals of similar size from the different locations since significant intraspecific isotopic changes are often linked to body size (Jennings et al. 2002). Isotopic data on salt marsh plants was obtained for the neighbouring Lapalme Lagoon between June 2004 and February 2005 (Carlier et al. 2007a).

**Sample processing.** Water samples were pre-filtered through a 200 µm mesh to remove zooplankton and large detritus. They were then filtered on pre-combusted (500°C, 4 h) glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/F) under moderate vacuum (~10 mbar) until clogging. Filters were acidified with 10% HCl, rinsed with distilled water, dried at 60°C and kept frozen at ~20°C until being analysed for POM. Epiphytes were resuspended in filtered (0.45 µm) lagoon water, collected on GF/F filters and then processed as described above for POM. SOM samples were freeze-dried and ground with a mortar and pestle. A subsample (~500 mg DW) was acidified with 10% HCl and dried at 50°C under a fume extractor to evaporate the acid. SOM samples were rinsed with distilled water and dried under the fume extractor to prevent any loss of dissolved organic matter (Riera et al. 1996). This procedure was repeated...
twice. SOM samples were then ground and kept frozen at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) until analysis. Invertebrates were starved overnight in filtered (0.45 µm) lagoon water and then killed. The flesh of molluscs and crustaceans was then separated from their calcareous shells or external cuticles. Each invertebrate specimen was then analyzed as a whole. Conversely, only the dorsal muscles of fishes were analyzed as their isotopic composition is less variable than that of other body parts (Pinnegar & Polunin 1999). All animal and plant samples were briefly acidified with 10% HCl, rinsed with distilled water, dried at 60°C, ground into fine powder and then kept at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) until analysis. Acidification of samples is a key step as it eliminates carbonates, which interfere with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measurements; its effect on $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values is still unclear. Some authors have reported that acidification significantly affects $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values to a degree that may lead to confounding interpretations (Bunn et al. 1995, Pinnegar & Polunin 1999), whereas others have found only weak (Jacob et al. 2005) or even no significant effect (Bosley & Wainright 1999). Overall, significant effects of acidification on $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values appear to be caused by a prolonged (from 1 to 3 h) incubation in acid (Carabel et al. 2006). Our own incubation times were much shorter (typically <10 min), and we are therefore confident in stating that our acidification procedure was appropriate for measuring $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Powdered samples were weighed according to sample type (15 mg DW for SOM, 1.0 mg DW for animals, 1.5 mg DW for macrophytes) and put into clean tin cups before stable isotope analysis.

**Stable isotope analysis.** Isotope analysis was carried out using a Europa Scientific ANCA-NT 20-20 Stable Isotope Analyser with an ANCA-NT Solid/Liquid Preparation Module (Europa Scientific). A 1:4 leucine:citric acid mixture was used as a standard. The isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ or $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) was expressed as the relative difference between isotopic ratios in the sample and in conventional standards (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite for carbon and atmospheric N$_2$ for nitrogen):

$$\delta^{13}\text{C} \text{ or } \delta^{15}\text{N} (\text{%o}) = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $R = \frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}} \text{ or } \frac{^{15}\text{N}}{^{14}\text{N}}$. The precision for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was \(-0.1\)\%o, and for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was \(-0.4\)\%o (for samples with N content >5\% DW).

**Data analysis.** In order to assess the level of heterogeneity of the diet of each category of primary consumers (suspension-, interface- and deposit-feeders), we compared the variances of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values obtained for each feeding guild in the entire lagoon using Bartlett’s test, and then we performed pairwise comparisons using F-tests. We used Mann-Whitney U-tests to assess between-basin differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of POM and SOM. We used Wilcoxon signed-rank tests to assess such differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of consumers. For these tests, we considered the mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of the 19 species of benthic invertebrates that were collected in both basins. We also assessed between-basin differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ variability for both suspension- and deposit-feeders by comparing variances using F-tests.

Since interface- and deposit-feeders did not significantly differ by their $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (t-test, $p = 0.203$ and $p = 0.658$, respectively), these 2 feeding guilds were later pooled and considered as deposit-feeders in Figs. 4 & 5, as well as when between-site differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of primary consumers were assessed within each basin of the lagoon. In the latter case, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests were used with all $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values instead of mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values per species, due to the low number of sampled species at some sites.

**RESULTS**

**Overall food web isotopic characterisation**

The mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of potential food sources are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of potential food sources ranged from \(-28\) to \(-10\)\%. Salt marsh plants and POM from the Font Estramar karstic spring showed the lowest $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, whereas the sea-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential food sources</th>
<th>Northern basin</th>
<th>Southern basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta^{13}\text{N} ($\text{%o}$)</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}\text{C} ($\text{%o}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seagrass</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zostera noltii</td>
<td>3 5.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-9.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seagrass epiphytes</td>
<td>1 5.8</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macroalgae 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaetomorpha sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulva sp.</td>
<td>1 7.2</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valonia sp.</td>
<td>3 3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-17.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhophyta</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macroalgae 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetabularia acetabulum</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characeae</td>
<td>1 -0.1</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagoon</td>
<td>4 4.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-18.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karstic spring</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM</td>
<td>5 4.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-17.8 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster culture area</td>
<td>3 5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-17.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
grass *Zostera noltii* and the macroalgae *Acetabularia acetabulum* and Characeae (Macroalgae 2) showed the highest $\delta^{13}C$ values. The macroalgae *Chaetomorpha* sp., *Ulva* sp., *Valonia* sp. and Rhodophyta (Macroalgae 1) and lagoon POM and SOM showed intermediate mean $\delta^{13}C$ values (~–19.1, ~–19.7 and ~–18.8‰, respectively). $\delta^{15}N$ values of potential food sources were more homogeneous than their $\delta^{13}C$ values. Except for *A. acetabulum* and Characeae, which were clearly more $^{15}N$-depleted than all other sources, mean $\delta^{15}N$ values ranged between 3.8‰ for *Valonia* sp. and 7.5‰ for both *Chaetomorpha* sp. and *Ulva* sp.

The mean $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values of consumers averaged over the entire lagoon are shown in Fig. 2. $\delta^{13}C$ values of the fish *Gasterosteus aculeatus* (~–33.2‰) and the bivalve *Loripes lacteus* (~–28.0‰), which bears endosymbiotic bacteria, were both clearly lower than those of other consumers. *G. aculeatus* ($\delta^{15}N$ = 15.8‰) and *L. lacteus* ($\delta^{15}N$ = –0.2‰) were, respectively, much more $^{15}N$-enriched and $^{15}N$-depleted than all other consumers. Except for these 2 species, consumers exhibited a continuum of $\delta^{13}C$ values that ranged from ~–22.1‰ for the polychaete *Perinereis cultrifera* to ~–12.0‰ for the gastropod *Haminoea navicula*. $\delta^{15}N$ values ranged from 4.7‰ for the bivalve *Nucula hanleyi* to 13.3‰ for the fish *Atherina boyeri*. Regarding primary consumers at the lagoon scale, suspension-feeders showed lower $\delta^{13}C$ values than interface- and deposit-feeders (Fig. 3). The 3 feeding guilds differed by their $\delta^{13}C$ variance (Bartlett’s test, $p = 0.024$). Suspension-feeders exhibited a lower $\delta^{13}C$ variance than interface- and deposit-feeders ($F$-test; $p = 0.012$ and 0.015), whereas $\delta^{13}C$ variances of the 2 latter feeding guilds were not significantly different ($p = 0.777$) (Fig. 3).
Table 2. Mean δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values (±SD) of consumers collected in both basins of Salses-Leucate Lagoon. Biv: bivalve; Pol: polychaete; Cr: crustacean; Ech: echinoderm; Gas: gastropod; F: fish; Cn: cnidarian. *: bears sulfur-oxidizing endosymbiotic bacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeding guilds</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Northern basin</th>
<th>Southern basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>δ¹³N (‰)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suspension-feeders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachidontes pharaonis (Biv)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-19.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum (Biv)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-17.8 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamys varia (Biv)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-19.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassostrea gigas (Biv)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-20.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modiolus adriaticus (Biv)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-19.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis (Biv)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-20.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paphia aurea (Biv)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-19.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapes decussata (Biv)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>-16.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loripes lacteus (Biv*)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-26.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megalomma vesiculosum (Pol)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psidia longicornis (Cr)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interface-feeders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrana fragilis (Biv)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-14.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitrite rubra (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-19.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupolymnia nebulosa (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-18.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thelopleus setosus (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-14.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphiura chiajei (Ech)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-17.6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposit-feeders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abra ovata (Biv)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucula hanleyi (Biv)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-17.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerithium vulgatum (Gas)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>-12.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirriformia tentaculata (Pol)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>-14.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naineris laevigata (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-14.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notomastus sp. (Pol)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>-14.8 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liza aurata (F)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-15.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mugil cephalus (F)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grazers/detritus-feeders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbula albida (Gas)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>-13.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haminoea navicula (Gas)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammarus aequicauda (Cr)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-18.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idotea sp. (Cr)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Omnivores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nereis diversicolor (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>-16.1 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinereis culttiera (Pol)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>-22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius reticulatus (Gas)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>-13.8 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceria alba (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-13.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marpheysa sanguinea (Pol)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-15.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephtys kensilvalensis (Pol)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-13.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crangon crangon (Cr)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>-13.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaemon adspersus (Cr)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-15.8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaemon serratus (Cr)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-14.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aiptasia mutabilis (Cn)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-16.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranemonea cinerea (Cn)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-17.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizostoma pulmo (Cn)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anguilla anguilla (F)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-17.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherina boyeri (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-16.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belone belone (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-17.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclope neritea (M)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>-14.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicentrarchus labrax (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-17.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplodus sargus (F)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasterosteus aculeatus (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-33.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomatoschistus spp. (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-14.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaria pavo (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-17.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solea solea (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>-13.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparus aurata (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-17.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syngnathus abaster (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-16.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syngnathus typhle (F)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-18.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Between-basin differences

Lagoon POM was significantly more $^{13}$C-depleted in the southern than in the northern basin (Mann-Whitney U-test, p = 0.042). In the same way, *Zostera noltii* and seagrass epiphytes showed lower $^{13}$C values in the southern than in the northern basin. SOM exhibited slightly lower $^{13}$C values in the southern basin, although that difference between basins was not significant (p = 0.377). It should be stressed that these $^{13}$C values were heterogeneous throughout the lagoon. They ranged from −21.6 to −16.5‰ in the north and from −21.5 to −18.2‰ in the south. $^{13}$C values of SOM collected at site N3 (i.e. under the oyster lines) (−17.0 ± 0.3‰) was similar to $^{13}$C values obtained at the neighbouring site N2 (−16.6 ± 0.1‰). $^{15}$N values of both POM and SOM did not differ significantly between the 2 basins (p = 0.470 and 0.052, respectively).

For each basin of the Salses-Leucate Lagoon, the mean $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N values of consumers are shown in Table 2. The 19 species collected in both basins were significantly more $^{13}$C-depleted in the southern than in the northern basin (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p < 0.001). Conversely, their $^{15}$N values did not significantly change between basins (p = 0.140). The $^{13}$C values of suspension-feeders (including *Cerastoderma glaucum*) and those of interface- and deposit feeders (including *Cirriformia tentaculata*) were more heterogeneous in the northern basin than in the southern basin ($F$-test; p < 0.001, p = 0.021 and p < 0.001, respectively) (Fig. 4). In the same way, the $^{15}$N values of suspension-feeders and those of interface- and deposit-feeders were more heterogeneous in the northern basin than in the southern basin (p = 0.007 and p < 0.001, respectively).
Small-scale spatial variability

In the southern basin, the δ\(^{13}\)C values of suspension-feeders did not significantly differ between sites (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.075). Conversely, δ\(^{13}\)C of deposit-feeders significantly differed between sites (p < 0.001), with slightly higher values at Sites S5 and S7 than at Sites S6 and S8. The δ\(^{15}\)N values of suspension-feeders did not significantly differ between sites (p = 0.058), whereas δ\(^{15}\)N values of deposit-feeders were slightly higher at Sites S7 and S8 than at Sites S5 and S6 (p < 0.001).

In the northern basin, δ\(^{13}\)C of suspension- and deposit-feeders differed between sites (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.001 and p < 0.001), with much higher values at Sites N1 and N4 than at Sites N2 and N3. The δ\(^{15}\)N of suspension- and deposit-feeders significantly differed between sites as well (p = 0.008 and p < 0.001), with much higher values at Site N4 than at Sites N1, N2 and N3.

The consumers collected at Site N3 (oyster culture area) showed very distinct δ\(^{13}\)C and δ\(^{15}\)N values depending on sampling location (Fig. 6). Consumers in general, and interface- and deposit-feeders in particular, were significantly more 13C-enriched underneath than on the oyster lines (Mann-Whitney U-test, p < 0.001 and p = 0.001, respectively). Interface- and deposit-feeders were significantly more 15N-enriched on than underneath the oyster ropes (p < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

Overall food web structure

The different categories of potential food sources exhibited a wide range of δ\(^{13}\)C values. In particular, lagoon POM and SOM showed similar δ\(^{13}\)C values, both falling in the middle of the δ\(^{13}\)C range of living primary producers (i.e. salt marsh plants, macroalgae, seagrass and their epiphytes). This δ\(^{13}\)C pattern was observed in the neighbouring Lapalme Lagoon across all seasons (Carlier et al. 2007a) and is consistent with the well-recognized idea that the SOM pool of estuarine and lagoon ecosystems generally consists of a complex mixture of several sources of organic matter (Nichols & Allen 1981, Deegan & Garritt 1997). The range in δ\(^{13}\)C values found for SOM (−21.6 to −16.6‰) indicates that the different sources contributed in varying proportions to the SOM pool, depending on the location in the lagoon. For instance, the low δ\(^{13}\)C value of SOM obtained at Site S7 (−21.5‰) is likely indicative of the high proportion of organic matter supplied by the abundant and temporarily inundated C\(_3\) plant *Phragmites communis* which grow in the southwestern shore of the lagoon.

Taking into account the small 13C-enrichment and the larger 15N-enrichment between a consumer and
its diet (Vander Zanden & Rasmussen 2001, McCutchan et al. 2003, Vanderklift & Ponsard 2003). δ13C and δ15N values of consumers suggest that lagoon POM and SOM play a major role in the diet of most benthic organisms in the Salses-Leucate Lagoon (Fig. 2). A similar prevalent trophic role of POM/SOM pools has been shown in the close marine open bay of Banyuls-sur-Mer (Carlier et al. 2007b), as well as in many nearshore ecosystems (Newell 1982). The wide range of δ13C values obtained for interface- and deposit-feeders suggests they rely on different components of the SOM pool and in varying proportion (see following sections). Most of the suspension-feeders exhibited tight δ13C values around −20‰, consistent with a diet mainly based on marine phytoplankton. Given that POM of coastal inputs (including salt marsh plant) that are 13C-depleted relative to marine inputs (Fry & Sherr 1984). Indeed, karstic springs (the dominant freshwater inputs) and salt marshes are both located along the southwestern shore of the lagoon. A similar land–sea δ13C trend has been found for primary producers and consumers in Lapalme Lagoon across all seasons (Carlier et al. 2007a), as well as in many other estuarine and lagoon ecosystems (Riera & Richard 1996, Deegan & Garritt 1997, Vizzini et al. 2005). The seaward increasing δ13C values of aquatic primary producers are most likely due to the fact that the dissolved inorganic carbon fixed during photosynthesis is usually less 13C-enriched in freshwater (−10 to −5‰) than in seawater (−0‰) (Fry & Sherr 1984, Cloern et al. 2002). As a result, the northward increasing δ13C values of consumers may: (1) reflect the δ13C gradient of submerged primary producers via the assimilation of their detritus, and/or (2) correspond to a greater contribution of continental organic matter to their diet in the inner parts of the lagoon. Concerning the second hypothesis, tributaries probably contribute little to continental carbon inputs since the Salses-Leucate Lagoon (like others in Languedoc-Roussillon) is mostly fuelled by karstic springs with very low POM loads (Kiener & Petit 1968). Alternatively, 13C-depleted C3 salt marsh plants, which grow on the edges of the lagoons and close to freshwater inputs, probably contributed more than karstic springs to the 13C-depletion of the food web components in the southern basin. When decaying, they supply important quantities of organic matter (Curran et al. 2003) that may be assimilated via a detritic trophic pathway.

δ13C and δ15N spatial variability of consumers

Between-basin differences

We investigated spatial changes in the isotopic composition of non-vagrant consumers in order to determine whether or not the general food-web pattern described above was representative of the entire lagoon. Our results showed important isotopic variability at several spatial scales. Spatial heterogeneity in isotopic ratios was first observed between the northern and the southern basins of the Salses-Leucate Lagoon, which are separated by a shallow sill. Overall, POM, seagrasses, SOM and consumers were more 13C-depleted in the southern basin, probably reflecting a northward decreasing gradient in continental carbon inputs (including salt marsh plant) that are 13C-depleted relative to marine inputs (Fry & Sherr 1984). Indeed, karstic springs (the dominant freshwater inputs) and salt marshes are both located along the southwestern shore of the lagoon. A similar land–sea δ13C trend has been found for primary producers and consumers in Lapalme Lagoon across all seasons (Carlier et al. 2007a), as well as in many other estuarine and lagoon ecosystems (Riera & Richard 1996, Deegan & Garritt 1997, Vizzini et al. 2005). The seaward increasing δ13C values of aquatic primary producers are most likely due to the fact that the dissolved inorganic carbon fixed during photosynthesis is usually less 13C-enriched in freshwater (−10 to −5‰) than in seawater (−0‰) (Fry & Sherr 1984, Cloern et al. 2002). As a result, the northward increasing δ13C values of consumers may: (1) reflect the δ13C gradient of submerged primary producers via the assimilation of their detritus, and/or (2) correspond to a greater contribution of continental organic matter to their diet in the inner parts of the lagoon. Concerning the second hypothesis, tributaries probably contribute little to continental carbon inputs since the Salses-Leucate Lagoon (like others in Languedoc-Roussillon) is mostly fuelled by karstic springs with very low POM loads (Kiener & Petit 1968). Alternatively, 13C-depleted C3 salt marsh plants, which grow on the edges of the lagoons and close to freshwater inputs, probably contributed more than karstic springs to the 13C-depletion of the food web components in the southern basin. When decaying, they supply important quantities of organic matter (Curran et al. 2003) that may be assimilated via a detritic trophic pathway.

Spatial heterogeneity within the northern basin

We also found spatial changes in the stable isotope ratios of non-vagrant primary consumers within each
basin of the Salses-Leucate Lagoon. Unexpectedly, consumers of the southern basin, which is larger and receives both terrestrial and seawater inputs, exhibited more homogeneous $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values than their northern counterparts (Figs. 4 & 5). Therefore, we will only discuss the results obtained in the northern basin, where important isotopic changes were found at small spatial scales (i.e. only a few km). In this sense they confirmed previous results regarding other marine coastal ecosystems. For instance, Vizzini & Mazzola (2006) found that the mean $\delta^{13}C$ value of polychaetes differed by $\sim 4\%$ at stations located only 3 km apart in the Stagnone di Marsala (Western Sicily), and $\delta^{13}C$ values of the filter-feeder *Chione stutchburyi* has been shown to vary by 6.8\% over <4 km in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary, New Zealand (Stephenson & Lyon 1982). High $\delta^{13}C$ values measured in Salses-Leucate at Sites N1 and N4 suggest that the contribution of $^{13}C$-enriched food sources to the diet of primary consumers was higher at these locations than in the rest of the basin. These potential food sources could be seagrass epiphytes, seagrasses, Macroalgae 2 and microphytobenthos. Suspension- and deposit-feeders are not likely to feed on seagrass epiphytes, and the trophic role of seagrasses themselves has been shown to be minor in many coastal ecosystems (Fry 1984, Sullivan & Moncreiff 1990, Lepoint et al. 2000) but is still debated (Fry 2006). Indeed, when seagrasses are abundant and located in areas with a low hydrodynamism, they can be exploited by consumers via a detritic pathway such as in the Stagnone di Marsala (Vizzini & Mazzola 2006). Site N1 is located in a confined area of the lagoon, where *Zostera noltii* meadows are abundant (Ifremer 2005). However, the $\delta^{13}C$ value of SOM at this site ($\sim 21.6\%$) was much lower than in the Stagnone di Marsala (from $\sim 15.1$ to $\sim 12.6\%$; Vizzini & Mazzola 2006), thereby suggesting that seagrass detritus did not contribute significantly to SOM and thus to the diet of benthic primary consumers at Site N1. Conversely, primary consumers collected at Site N1 may have assimilated substantial amounts of macroalgae detritus including the two $^{13}C$-enriched components *Acetabularia acetabulum* and Characeae, which both have been reported to colonize the northwestern part of the lagoon (Boutière et al. 1982) and are known to be much more digestible than seagrasses (Carlier et al. 2007a). Finally, microphytobenthos often constitutes an abundant and highly digestible food source in shallow coastal ecosystems (Miller et al. 1996) and may thus account for the high $\delta^{13}C$ values of primary consumers at Sites N1 and N4. Vizzini & Mazzola (2003, 2006) showed that benthic microalgae of a Mediterranean bay are as $^{13}C$-enriched ($\sim 15\%$) as in intertidal mud flats (Currin et al. 1995). Therefore, interface-feeders such as the tentacle-bearing worm *Cirriformia tentaculata* may have assimilated significant amounts of microphytobenthos. Moreover, since resuspension is frequent in the Salses-Leucate Lagoon (Wilke 2001), suspension-feeders may assimilate significant amounts of resuspended benthic microalgae (Miller et al. 1996). Overall, the important between-site differences in the $\delta^{13}C$ values of primary consumers support the idea that most consumers in marine coastal ecosystems feature a strong trophic plasticity (Jennings et al. 1997, Pinnegar & Polunin 2000). The capacity of primary consumers to adapt their diet to available food resources could be particularly important in ecosystems such as coastal lagoons, which exhibit a large panel of local and allochthonous sources of organic matter (Vizzini & Mazzola 2006). Hence the stable isotope approach has been shown suitable to point out web food differences among heterogeneous adjacent habitats within a single coastal lagoon.

In marine coastal ecosystems, $\delta^{15}N$ measurement in consumers is an efficient method to detect and trace anthropogenic nitrogen, which is generally much more $^{15}N$-enriched than natural nitrogen (McClelland & Valiela 1998, Riera et al. 2000). High $\delta^{15}N$ values were measured for consumers at Site N4. This was probably linked to the presence of treated wastewater effluents, since no high $\delta^{15}N$ value was associated with any natural potential food source. Infiltration beds located on the sandy barrier in the immediate vicinity of Site N4 receive outputs from 2 sewage treatment plants (Leucate and Port-Leucate; Ifremer 2006). The $^{15}N$-enriched dissolved inorganic nitrogen pool may thus percolate through the sand and mix with the lagoon water. As $\delta^{15}N$ was measured in macroinvertebrates whose tissue isotopic compositions have a relatively low turnover rate (typically several weeks or months; Hesslein et al. 1993), this anthropogenically derived nitrogen input seemed not restricted to summer months. However, it does appear to be spatially limited to the area in the immediate vicinity of the infiltration beds, since no $^{15}N$-consumer-enrichment was detected at the adjacent sites N1 and N3.

**Oyster aquaculture area**

Trophic activity of cultured shellfish is known to enhance the transfer of suspended particles from the water column to the bottom, and to enrich the underlying sediment with organic matter through biodeposition (Hatcher et al. 1994). The oyster culture facilities in Salses-Leucate could thus contribute to the spatial heterogeneity of isotopic values of the macrobenthos in the northern basin. There are few data available about the isotopic shift occurring between bivalves and their biodeposits. Mazzola & Sara (2001) have
reported faeces and pseudofaeces of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Tapes* sp. to be $^{13}$C-depleted by 2 to 3% relative to bivalve tissues. The faeces of many other species have $\delta^{13}$C values very similar to those of animal tissues (DeNiro & Epstein 1978, Codron et al. 2005). As a result, if biodeposits of oysters had a significant impact on the isotopic composition of the underlying fauna, we would have observed a $^{13}$C-depletion of SOM and benthic consumers at Site N3 compared to the adjacent Site N2. Conversely, $\delta^{13}$C of SOM did not differ between Sites N3 and N2, whereas SOM was $^{13}$C-enriched at Site N3 (~17.0‰) compared to all other sites. Here again, seagrasses were scarce under the oyster culture area, but benthic microalgae may have contributed substantially to the SOM pool. Large biomasses of microphytobenthos (annual mean value = 179 mg chl a m$^{-2}$) have indeed been reported under oyster lines in the Thau Lagoon (Barranguet et al. 1994). As noted for SOM, $\delta^{13}$C values of the deposit-feeder *Nucula hanleyi* did not differ between Sites N3 and N2. At Site N3, bottom primary consumers (*Nucula hanleyi*, *Gastrana fragilis* and *Notomastus* sp.) relied mainly on SOM as indicated by their $\delta^{13}$C values. Suspension-feeders living on the oyster lines had $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values which were similar and ~2‰, respectively, than those of POM. Taking into account the isotopic trophic shift between a consumer and its diet, these organisms seem to rely mostly on lagoon POM. Conversely, both interface- and deposit-feeders living on the oyster lines showed high $\delta^{13}$C values, which does not support a direct exploitation of lagoon POM. Alternatively, their $\delta^{15}$N values could result from the assimilation of biodeposits produced by oysters and associated suspension-feeders. This hypothesis is consistent with the fact that oyster and mussel lines are known to act as traps for POM and biodeposits (Nizzoli et al. 2005). Further research should compare the $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values of selected suspension-feeders and their biodeposits.

Overall, data obtained at Site N3 suggest no major effect of biodeposition on the isotopic values of the underlying benthic macrofauna. Our results further support the existence of 2 important trophic pathways as already put forward for the entire Salses-Leucate Lagoon: one based on POM and fuelling suspension-feeders and other consumers on the oyster lines, and another based on SOM fuelling the deposit-feeder underneath. Although benthic–pelagic coupling is known to be a key feature in coastal areas (Graf 1992), data collected at Site N3 thus suggest that this coupling remains weak at least in the central, deeper area of the Salses-Leucate Lagoon.

In conclusion, the present study highlights the need to pay particular attention to small-scale spatial variability before assessing the structure and functioning of land–ocean interface ecosystems by the way of stable isotope analysis. This point is crucial for primary consumers, as they are often (1) considered as a suitable baseline to estimate the trophic level of omnivorous and carnivorous species and/or (2) used in mixing models for the assessment of relative contribution of potential food sources.
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