



## Directions in bivalve feeding

Peter Beninger

► **To cite this version:**

Peter Beninger. Directions in bivalve feeding. Aquatic Biology, Inter-Research, 2009, 6, pp.175-180. <10.3354/ab00133>. <hal-00788680>

**HAL Id: hal-00788680**

**<http://hal.univ-brest.fr/hal-00788680>**

Submitted on 15 Feb 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



THEME SECTION

# Directions in bivalve feeding

*Idea and coordination: Peter G. Beninger*

CONTENTS

**Beninger PG**

Introduction ..... 175–180

**Pascoe PL, Parry HE, Hawkins AJS**

Observations on the measurement and interpretation of clearance rate variations in suspension-feeding bivalve shellfish ..... 181–190

**Robson AA, Thomas GR, Garcia de Leaniz C, Wilson RP**

Valve gape and exhalant pumping in bivalves: optimization of measurement ..... 191–200

**Mafra LL Jr, Bricelj VM, Ouellette C, Léger C, Bates SS**

Mechanisms contributing to low domoic acid uptake by oysters feeding on *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells. I. Filtration and pseudofeces production ..... 201–212

**Mafra LL Jr, Bricelj VM, Ward JE**

Mechanisms contributing to low domoic acid uptake by oysters feeding on *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells. II. Selective rejection ..... 213–226

**Persson A, Smith BC**

Grazing on a natural assemblage of ciliate and dinoflagellate cysts by the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* ..... 227–233

**Yahel G, Marie D, Beninger PG, Eckstein S, Genin A**

*In situ* evidence for pre-capture qualitative selection in the tropical bivalve *Lithophaga simplex* ..... 235–246

**Navarro E, Méndez S, Ibarrola I, Urrutia MB**

Comparative utilization of phytoplankton and vascular plant detritus by the cockle *Cerastoderma edule*: digestive responses during diet acclimation... 247–262

**Lonsdale DJ, Cerrato RM, Holland R, Mass A, Holt L, Schaffner RA, Pan J, Caron DA**

Influence of suspension-feeding bivalves on the pelagic food webs of shallow, coastal embayments ... 263–279

**Tezuka N, Ichisaki E, Kanematsu M, Usuki H, Hamaguchi M, Iseki K**

Particle retention efficiency of asari clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* larvae ..... 281–287

**Kang CK, Choy EJ, Hur YB, Myeong JI**

Isotopic evidence of particle size-dependent food partitioning in cocultured sea squirt *Halocynthia roretzi* and Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* ..... 289–302

Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher

## Introduction

**Peter G. Beninger\***

Laboratoire de Biologie Marine, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Nantes, 44322 Nantes, France

**ABSTRACT:** Manuscripts dealing with bivalve feeding and submitted to the Inter-Research journal Aquatic Biology over the course of 2008 were peer-reviewed in the standard manner, and the successful papers compiled for a Theme Issue entitled 'Directions in bivalve feeding'. The historical progression of research in this field is summarized, and the directions of current research highlighted in view of the compiled papers. Some suggestions for future work are presented.

**KEY WORDS:** Bivalve biology · Suspension feeding · Bivalve diet · Trophic ecology · Mechanisms · Measurements · Perspectives

Research in suspension feeding has been part of the landscape of bivalve biology since at least the early 20th century (Drew 1906, Dakin 1909, Kellogg 1915, Yonge

1923). There is, undeniably, a certain fascination with animals capable of providing for their metabolic needs by capturing microscopic particles, and which in conse-

\*Email: peter.beninger@univ-nantes.fr

quence have largely given up locomotion somewhere along the evolutionary road. At the same time, investigation of this process presents such formidable obstacles (scale differentials, particle visualization, cryptic capture and processing due to occlusive structures such as valves, gill and palp troughs, or appressed surfaces), that many researchers simply turn to more accessible aspects of the bivalve world. That temptation is all the more acute today, when population biology, physiological ecology, aquaculture, and of course molecular biology, to name a few, offer attractive alternatives.

As a post-doc in the 1980s, I simply assumed, like everyone around me who had even thought about the question, that such a basic thing as feeding must be thoroughly understood by at least some researchers somewhere, in such an intensively-studied group as the bivalves. Wrong, of course. Bits and pieces of the most disparate and often contradictory sort were indeed available, but nothing anywhere close to an intelligible, coherent picture could be found. The pioneering work of the 19th and early 20th centuries was necessarily limited by the observational techniques of those times (although some of that work was remarkable despite these limitations, see especially Atkins 1936, 1937a,b,c, 1938a,b,c, 1943). Studies in the latter half of the 20th century tended to concentrate on aspects which did not require direct observation of the underlying mechanisms—a 'black box' approach—such as clearance and particle retention efficiencies (e.g. Møhlenberg & Riisgård 1978, Wright et al. 1982, Riisgård 1988a, Bacon et al. 1998, Hawkins et al. 1998). Outcome-based observational techniques such as automated particle counting, fluorimetry and flow cytometry were used to establish the basic characteristics of suspension feeding, and provided important clues to underlying mechanisms (e.g. Palmer & Williams 1980, Famme & Kofoed 1983, Cranford & Gordon 1992, Bayne et al. 1993, MacDonald & Ward 1994, Ward & MacDonald 1996, Barillé et al. 1997, Navarro & Widdows 1997, Newell et al. 2001, Filgueira et al. 2006, and see Ward & Shumway 2004 for a review of the voluminous literature on this subject). These studies yielded seminal information which would become at once a foundation and a prism through which we have continued to interpret the characteristics of bivalve feeding, and indeed the techniques are important tools in the panoply we use today. Adaptation of this approach to measurement in the field, either indirectly (via the biodeposition method, Cranford et al. 1998, Iglesias et al. 1998, Cranford & Hill 1999; or pumping from the field site to experimental chambers, MacDonald & Ward 1994) or directly (the InEx method, Yahel et al. 2003, 2005, 2006, 2009 this Theme Section) has allowed us to extend these observations much closer to the natural habitat. Simultaneously, applica-

tion of the physical principles of fluid mechanics has yielded important insights into the hydrodynamic principles of particle movement underlying suspension feeding in bivalves (Jørgensen 1981, 1982, 1983, Jørgensen et al. 1984, Nielsen et al. 1993, Riisgård & Larsen 2001, 2005, 2007).

The 20th to 21st century interface saw rapid progress in the study of bivalve feeding, with the development or adaptation of new observational techniques, in conjunction with the 'old faithful' methods already in use. Techniques such as cilia and mucocyte mapping (Beninger et al. 1993, 1999, 2003, 2005, Beninger & Dufour 1996, Beninger & Veniot 1999, Beninger & Decottignies 2008), *in vivo* video-endoscopy (Ward et al. 1991, 1994, Chaparro et al. 1993, Tankersley & Dimock 1993, Ward et al. 1994, Beninger et al. 1997a, Beninger & St-Jean 1997), associated sampling in gill particle tracts (Beninger et al. 1992, 2004, 2008, Beninger & Decottignies 2005, Ward et al. 1993a, 1998, Cognie et al. 2003), confocal laser microscopy (Silverman et al. 1996a,c, Beninger et al. 1997b, Silverman et al. 1999), and enhanced micro-structural characterization (Beninger et al. 1994, 2005, Silverman et al. 1996a,b,c, Veniot et al. 2003, Beninger & Cannuel 2006, Cannuel & Beninger 2006, 2007) have either lent strong support to hypotheses arising from the outcome-based techniques, allowed direct testing of these hypotheses, or shown new possibilities in the field.

In addition to the 'hows' of bivalve feeding, recent techniques of diet determination, such as stable isotope and fatty acid signatures, have given us unprecedented insight into the actual diets of bivalves in the field. These are powerful tools for analyzing their place in food webs, potential trophic competition, and position with respect to carrying capacity (Riera & Richard 1996, 1997, Riera et al. 1999, Sauriau & Kang 2000, Page & Lastra 2003, Kasai et al. 2004, Rossi et al. 2004, Decottignies et al. 2007a,b, Dubois et al. 2007a,b, Silina & Zhukova 2007, Compton et al. 2008, Leal et al. 2008, Kang et al. 2009 this Theme Section).

We thus now have in hand many pieces of this puzzle, and while there are still large gaps in the picture, our understanding of feeding processes has moved forward substantially in recent years: an appropriate time to devote a Theme Section to the topic. The standard approach for Theme Sections is to contact the most eminent researchers in the field and request a contribution. While this does ensure good coverage of the theme, it does not necessarily reflect the diversity of researchers and approaches, the actual 'pulse' of the field. We at Aquatic Biology therefore decided to present a Theme Section which drew upon all bivalve feeding papers submitted to Inter-Research journals over the course of a year, to obtain an on-the-ground view of the different approaches and directions in this field today, by researchers of all

horizons (worldwide, senior and junior). The papers have undergone thorough quality control through rigorous peer review (3 to 5 reviewers per manuscript), and nearly half of the submitted manuscripts did not receive enough support to allow inclusion. Papers accepted at the beginning of our 'sampling interval' had to wait longer than those at the end of the interval to appear in print, and we consequently transferred to regular issues several for which the authors had very strict time imperatives. Our appreciation goes to the authors who have been able to wait for publication, our apologies to the authors who have been more pressed for time.

The articles accepted for this Theme Section suggest that current directions in bivalve feeding might be mapped out as:

(1) Dynamics of feeding responses, and implications for laboratory measurement of these responses (Robson et al. 2009 this Theme Section, and Pascoe et al. 2009 this Theme Section — in fact, the latter paper was the original impetus for the Theme Section). Both of these papers highlight the importance of methodology in the measurement of feeding responses.

(2) Processing of toxic, or potentially toxic, particles, and underlying mechanisms (Mafra et al. 2009a,b this Theme Section and Persson & Smith 2009 this Theme Section) show that oysters can consume not only dinoflagellates but also their resting cysts, with the obvious implications this has for toxin accumulation and for introduction of harmful algal bloom species through transplant vectors. Mafra et al. reveal why, in contrast to other bivalves, oysters do not accumulate large quantities of domoic acid. These papers tie into several recent studies showing them to be particularly efficient in qualitative selection, both in the laboratory and in the field; this may be related to their very highly-developed and particular gill structure.

(3) Pre-capture selection (Yahel et al. 2009). Qualitative selection in suspension-feeding bivalves has been assumed to be exclusively post-capture, but in the tropical species studied by Yahel et al. 2009, qualitative selection is shown to occur prior to retention. This forces us to re-examine what is meant by 'retention' (certainly different mechanisms for different gill types and sub-types) and how qualitative selection might intervene in some of these scenarios.

(4) The link between food type and digestive enzymes (Navarro et al. 2009 this Theme Section). This is a fascinating, yet little-studied aspect of bivalve feeding. It is obviously one that should be pursued in the future, since it constitutes a potentially important level of regulation of energy and metabolite acquisition.

(5) The effects of suspension-feeding bivalve populations on the plankton community (Maar et al. 2008, Peterson et al. 2008, Lonsdale et al. 2009 this Theme Section). In these papers, the impact of suspension-

feeding bivalves on the plankton community are assessed, particularly with respect to culture operations. Such knowledge is obviously critical to both the understanding of benthic-pelagic coupling and the impact on plankton dynamics, and also to the effect of bivalve culture on these processes. Although Maar et al. (2008) and Peterson et al. (2008) have, due to author time constraints, been published in a previous issue of *Aquatic Biology*, they were originally processed for this Theme Section, and really do belong here.

(6) Particle clearance and retention in larvae (Tezuka et al. 2009 this Theme Section). There is a relative dearth of information concerning the characteristics and mechanisms of larval bivalve suspension feeding (Gerdes 1983, Gallagher 1988, MacDonald 1988, Riisgård 1988b, Way 1989, Widdows et al. 1989, Baldwin & Newell 1991, MacIsaac et al. 1992, Baker & Mann 1994, Gallagher et al. 1994, Baldwin 1995), compared to the numerous studies on adult bivalves. Information on retention efficiency and clearance in this cultured larval clam species is therefore welcome.

(7) Dietary fluctuations in field populations (Kang et al. 2009 this Theme Section). Although sea squirts are a little exotic for this Theme Section, it is interesting to see how field diets vary between co-cultured oysters and sea squirts. The two have very different anatomical equipment for suspension feeding, and oysters here show a capacity to actively modify their diets, probably through the selection mechanisms for which they are becoming increasingly known.

It would be wrong to think that we know a great deal about bivalve feeding at this point in time. We certainly know much more than we did two decades ago, but, to paraphrase Darwin, it is always wise to correctly perceive our own ignorance. Examples of the exciting problems awaiting present and future researchers:

- Direct field study of clearance and retention in turbid or light-limited waters
- The exact mechanism(s) of qualitative particle selection (follow-up to Newell & Jordan 1983 and Ward & Targett 1989), and its corollary, the 'secret' of sorting and processing thousands of particles simultaneously
- Demonstration of the mechanism of particle capture in the vast majority of bivalves (e.g. heterorhabdic filibranchs, eulamellibranchs, pseudolamellibranchs)
- Fine chemical characterization (the equivalent of protein or DNA sequencing) of the different types of mucus which fulfill different processing functions
- An understanding of how precise mucus composition and spatial configuration affect processing
- Capture and ingestion probabilities for individual particles with specific physical and chemical characteristics, under different conditions of satiation.

There is enough in these future directions to keep us happily occupied for some time to come.

**Acknowledgements.** I thank Editor Hans Heinrich Janssen most sincerely for helping to forge the idea of this Theme Section, and for his help in processing several of the submitted manuscripts when circumstances arose. I also thank all of the authors who submitted manuscripts, especially for their patience in waiting for the final compilation. Editorial assistant Ute Adam has been cheerfully helpful throughout.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Atkins D (1936) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. I. New observations on sorting mechanisms. *Q J Microsc Sci* 79:181–308
- Atkins D (1937a) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. II. Sorting devices on the gills. *Q J Microsc Sci* 79:339–373
- Atkins D (1937b) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. III. Types of lamellibranch gills and their food currents. *Q J Microsc Sci* 79:375–421
- Atkins D (1937c) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. IV. Cuticular fusion. *Q J Microsc Sci* 79:423–445
- Atkins D (1938a) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. V. Note on gills of *Amussium pleuronectes* L. *Q J Microsc Sci* 80:321–329
- Atkins D (1938b) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. VI. Pattern of the lateral ciliated cells of the gill filaments. *Q J Microsc Sci* 80:331–344
- Atkins D (1938c) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. VII. Latero-frontal cilia of the gill filaments and their phylogenetic value. *Q J Microsc Sci* 80:345–436
- Atkins D (1943) On the ciliary mechanisms and interrelationships of lamellibranchs. VIII. Notes on gill musculature in the microciliobranchia. *Q J Microsc Sci* 84:187–256
- Bacon GS, Macdonald BA, Ward JE (1998) Physiological responses of infaunal (*Mya arenaria*) and epifaunal (*Placopecten magellanicus*) bivalves to variations in the concentration and quality of suspended particles. I. Feeding activity and selection. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 219:105–125
- Baker SM, Mann R (1994) Feeding ability during settlement and metamorphosis in the oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791) and the effects of hypoxia on post-settlement ingestion rates. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 181:239–253
- Baldwin BS (1995) Selective particle ingestion by oyster larvae (*Crassostrea virginica*) feeding on natural seston and cultured algae. *Mar Biol* 123:95–107
- Baldwin BS, Newell RIE (1991) Omnivorous feeding by planktotrophic larvae of the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 78:285–301
- Barillé L, Prou J, Héral M, Razet D (1997) Effects of high natural seston concentrations on the feeding, selection, and absorption of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 212:149–172
- Bayne BL, Iglesias JIP, Hawkins AJS, Navarro E, Heral M, Deslous-Paoli JM (1993) Feeding behaviour of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis*: responses to variations in quantity and organic content of the seston. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK* 73:813–829
- Beninger PG, Cannuel R (2006) Acquisition of particle processing capability in the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: ontogeny of the mantle pseudofeces rejection tracts. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 325:153–163
- Beninger PG, Decottignies P (2005) What makes diatoms attractive for suspensivores? The organic casing and associated molecules of *Coscinodiscus perforatus* are quality cues for the bivalve *Pecten maximus*. *J Plankton Res* 27:11–17
- Beninger PG, Decottignies P (2008) Worth a second look: gill structure in *Hemipecten forbesianus* (Adams & Reeve, 1849) and taxonomic implications for the Pectinidae. *J Molluscan Stud* 74:137–142
- Beninger PG, Dufour SC (1996) Mucocyte distribution and relationship to particle transport on the pseudolamellibranch gill of *Crassostrea virginica* (Bivalvia: Ostreidae). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 137:133–138
- Beninger PG, StJean SD (1997) The role of mucus in particle processing by suspension-feeding marine bivalves: unifying principles. *Mar Biol* 129:389–397
- Beninger PG, Veniot A (1999) The oyster proves the rule: mechanisms of pseudofeces transport and rejection on the mantle of *Crassostrea virginica* and *C. gigas*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 190:179–188
- Beninger PG, Ward JE, Macdonald BA, Thompson RJ (1992) Gill function and particle transport in *Placopecten magellanicus* (Mollusca, Bivalvia) as revealed using video endoscopy. *Mar Biol* 114:281–288
- Beninger PG, St-Jean S, Poussart Y, Ward JE (1993) Gill function and mucocyte distribution in *Placopecten magellanicus* and *Mytilus edulis* (Mollusca: Bivalvia): the role of mucus in particle selection. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 98:275–282
- Beninger PG, Dwiono S, Le Pennec M (1994) Early development of the gill and implications for feeding in *Pecten maximus* (Bivalvia: Pectinidae). *Mar Biol* 119:405–412
- Beninger PG, Dufour SC, Bourque J (1997a) Particle processing mechanisms of the eulamellibranch bivalves *Spisula solidissima* and *Mya arenaria*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 150:157–169
- Beninger PG, Lynn JW, Dietz TH, Silverman H (1997b) Mucociliary transport in living tissue: the two-layer model confirmed in the mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. *Biol Bull* 193:4–7
- Beninger PG, Veniot A, Poussart Y (1999) Principles of pseudofeces rejection on the bivalve mantle: integration in particle processing. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 178:259–269
- Beninger PG, Dufour SC, Decottignies P, Le Pennec M (2003) Particle processing mechanisms in the archaic, perhydrothermal vent bivalve *Bathypecten vulcani*, inferred from cilia and mucocyte distributions on the gill. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 246:183–195
- Beninger PG, Decottignies P, Rincé Y (2004) Localization of qualitative particle selection sites in the heterorhabdic filibranch *Pecten maximus* (Bivalvia: Pectinidae). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 275:163–173
- Beninger PG, Cannuel R, Jaunet S (2005) Particle processing on the gill plicae of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: fine-scale mucocyte distribution and functional correlates. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 295:191–199
- Beninger PG, Valdizan A, Cognie B, Guiheneuf F, Decottignies P (2008) Wanted: alive and not dead: functioning diatom status is a quality cue for the suspension-feeder *Crassostrea gigas*. *J Plankton Res* 30:689–697
- Cannuel R, Beninger PG (2006) Gill development, functional and evolutionary implications in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Bivalvia: Ostreidae). *Mar Biol* 149:547–563
- Cannuel R, Beninger PG (2007) Acquisition of particle processing capability in juvenile oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: ontogeny of gill mucocytes. *Mar Biol* 151:897–905
- Chaparro OR, Thompson RJ, Ward JE (1993) *In vivo* observations of larval brooding in the Chilean oyster, *Ostrea chilensis* Philippi, 1845. *Biol Bull* 185:365–372
- Cognie B, Barillé L, Masse G, Beninger PG (2003) Selection and processing of large suspended algae in the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 250:145–152
- Compton TJ, Kentie R, Storey AW, Veltheim I, Pearson GB, Piersma T (2008) Carbon stable isotope signatures reveal

- that diet is related to the relative sizes of the gills and palps in bivalves. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 361:104–110
- Cranford PJ, Gordon DC Jr (1992) The influence of dilute clay suspensions on sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) feeding activity and tissue growth. *Neth J Sea Res* 30: 107–120
  - Cranford PJ, Hill PS (1999) Seasonal variation in food utilization by the suspension-feeding bivalve molluscs *Mytilus edulis* and *Placopecten magellanicus*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 190:223–239
  - Cranford PJ, Emerson CW, Hargrave BT, Milligan TG (1998) *In situ* feeding and absorption responses of sea scallops *Placopecten magellanicus* (Gmelin) to storm-induced changes in the quantity and composition for the seston. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 219:45–90
  - Dakin WJ (1909) *Pecten*. Liverpool Marine Biology Committee Memoirs No. 17:146 p.
  - Decottignies P, Beninger PG, Rincé Y, Riera P (2007a) Trophic interactions between two introduced suspension-feeders, *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas*, are influenced by seasonal effects and qualitative selection capacity. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 342:231–241
  - Decottignies P, Beninger PG, Rincé Y, Robins RJ, Riera P (2007b) Exploitation of natural food sources by two sympatric, invasive suspension-feeders, *Crassostrea gigas* and *Crepidula fornicata*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 334:179–192
  - Drew GA (1906) The habits, anatomy, and embryology of the giant scallop (*Pecten tenuicostatus*, Mighels). Univ Maine Studies Series, No. 6, Orono. 89 pp.
  - Dubois S, Marin-Léal JC, Ropert M, Lefebvre S (2007a) Effects of oyster farming on macrofaunal assemblages associated with *Lanice conchilega* tubeworm populations: a trophic analysis using natural stable isotopes. *Aquaculture* 271:336–349
  - Dubois S, Orvain F, Marin-Léal JC, Ropert M, Lefebvre S (2007b) Small-scale spatial variability of food partitioning between cultivated oysters and associated suspension-feeding species, as revealed by stable isotopes. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 336:151–160
  - Famme P, Kofoed LH (1983) Shunt water flow through the mantle cavity in *Mytilus edulis* L. and its influence on particle retention. *Mar Biol Lett* 4:207–218
  - Filgueira R, Labarta U, Fernandez-Reiriz J (2006) Flow-through chamber method for clearance rate measurements in bivalves: design and validation of individual chambers and mesocosm. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods* 4:284–292
  - Gallager SM (1988) Visual observations of particle manipulation during feeding in larvae of a bivalve mollusc. *Bull Mar Sci* 43:344–365
  - Gallager SM, Waterbury JB, Stoecker DK (1994) Efficient grazing and utilization of the marine cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. by larvae of the bivalve *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *Mar Biol* 119:251–259
  - Gerdes D (1983) The Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. I. Feeding behaviour of larvae and adults. *Aquaculture* 31: 195–219
  - Hawkins AJS, Bayne BL, Bougrier S, Héral M and others (1998) Some general relationships in comparing the feeding physiology of suspension-feeding bivalve molluscs. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 219:87–103
  - Iglesias JIP, Urrutia M, Navarro E, Ibarrola I (1998) Measuring feeding and absorption in suspension-feeding bivalves: an appraisal of the biodeposition method. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 219:71–86
  - Jørgensen CB (1981) A hydromechanical principle for particle retention in *Mytilus edulis* and other ciliary suspension-feeders. *Mar Biol* 61:277–282
  - Jørgensen CB (1982) Fluid mechanics of the mussel gill: the lateral cilia. *Mar Biol* 70:275–281
  - Jørgensen CB (1983) Fluid mechanical aspects of suspension-feeding. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 11:89–103
  - Jørgensen CB, Kjørboe T, Møhlenberg F, Riisgård HU (1984) Ciliary and mucus-net filter feeding, with special reference to fluid mechanical characteristics. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 15:283–292
  - Kang CK, Choy EJ, Hur YB, Myeong JI (2009) Isotopic evidence of particle size-dependent food partitioning in cocultured sea squirt *Halocynthia roretzi* and Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Aquat Biol* 6:289–302
  - Kasai A, Horie H, Sakamoto W (2004) Selection of food sources by *Ruditapes philippinarum* and *Macra veneriformis* (Bivalvia: Mollusca) determined from stable isotope analysis. *Fish Sci* 70:11–20
  - Kellogg JL (1915) Ciliary mechanisms of lamellibranchs with descriptions of anatomy. *J Morphol* 26:625–701
  - Lonsdale DJ, Cerrato RM, Holland R, Mass A, Holt L, Schaffner RA, Pan J, Caron DA (2009) Influence of suspension-feeding bivalves on the pelagic food webs of shallow, coastal embayments. *Aquat Biol* 6:263–279
  - Maar M, Nielsen TG, Petersen JK (2008) Depletion of plankton in a raft culture of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in Ria de Vigo, NW Spain. II. Zooplankton. *Aquat Biol* 4:127–141
  - MacDonald BA (1988) Physiological energetics of Japanese scallop *Patinopecten yessoensis* larvae. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 120:155–170
  - MacDonald BA, Ward JE (1994) Variation in food quality and particle selectivity in the sea scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 108: 251–264
  - MacIsaac HJ, Sprules G, Johannson OE, Leach JH (1992) Filtering impacts of larval and sessile zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) in western Lake Erie. *Oecologia* 92:30–39
  - Mafra LL Jr, Bricelj VM, Ouellette C, Léger C, Bates SS (2009) Mechanisms contributing to low domoic acid uptake by oysters feeding on *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells. I. Filtration and pseudofeces production. *Aquat Biol* 6:201–212
  - Mafra LL Jr, Bricelj VM, Ward JE (2009) Mechanisms contributing to low domoic acid uptake by oysters feeding on *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells. II. Selective rejection. *Aquat Biol* 6:213–226
  - Marín Leal JC, Dubois S, Orvain F, Galois R and others (2008) Stable isotopes ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) and modelling as tools to estimate the trophic ecology of cultivated oysters in two contrasting environments. *Mar Biol* 153:673–688
  - Møhlenberg F, Riisgård HU (1978) Efficiency of particle retention in 13 species of suspension feeding bivalves. *Ophelia* 17:239–246
  - Navarro JM, Widdows J (1997) Feeding physiology of *Cerastoderma edule* in response to a wide range of seston concentrations. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 152:175–186
  - Navarro E, Méndez S, Ibarrola I, Urrutia MB (2009) Comparative utilization of phytoplankton and vascular plant detritus by the cockle *Cerastoderma edule*: digestive responses during diet acclimation. *Aquat Biol* 6:247–262
  - Newell RIE, Jordan SJ (1983) Preferential ingestion of organic material by the American oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 13:47–53
  - Newell CR, Wildish DJ, MacDonald BA (2001) The effects of velocity and seston concentration on the exhalant siphon area, valve gape and filtration rate of the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 262:91–111
  - Nielsen NF, Larsen PS, Riisgård HU (1993) Fluid motion and particle retention in the gill of *Mytilus edulis*: video recordings and numerical modelling. *Mar Biol* 116:61–71
  - Page HM, Lastra M (2003) Diet of intertidal bivalves in the

- Ría de Arosa (NW Spain): evidence from stable C and N isotope analysis. *Mar Biol* 143:519–532
- Palmer RE, Williams LG (1980) Effect of particle concentration on filtration efficiency of the bay scallop *Argopecten irradians* and the oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Ophelia* 19: 163–174
- Pascoe PL, Parry HE, Hawkins AJS (2009) Observations on the measurement and interpretation of clearance rate variations in suspension-feeding bivalve shellfish. *Aquat Biol* 6: 181–190
- Persson A, Smith BC (2009) Grazing on a natural assemblage of ciliate and dinoflagellate cysts by the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Aquat Biol* 6:227–233
- Petersen JK, Nielsen TG, van Duren L, Maar M (2008) Depletion of plankton in a raft culture of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in Ría de Vigo, NW Spain. I. Phytoplankton. *Aquat Biol* 4:113–125
- Riera P, Richard P (1996) Isotopic determination of food sources of *Crassostrea gigas* along a trophic gradient in the estuarine bay of Marennes-Oléron. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 42: 347–360
- Riera P, Richard P (1997) Temporal variation of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in particulate organic matter and oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in Marennes-Oléron Bay (France): effect of freshwater inflow. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 147:105–115
- Riera P, Stal LJ, Nieuwenhuize J, Richard P, Blanchard G, Gentil F (1999) Determination of food sources for benthic invertebrates in a salt marsh (Aiguillon Bay, France) by carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes: importance of locally produced sources. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 187:301–307
- Riisgård HU (1988a) Efficiency of particle retention and filtration rate in 6 species of Northeast American bivalves. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 45:217–223
- Riisgård HU (1988b) Feeding rates in hard clam (*Mercenaria mercenaria*) veliger larvae as a function of algal (*Isochrysis galbana*) concentration. *J Shellfish Res* 7:377–380
- Riisgård HU, Larsen PS (2001) Minireview: ciliary filter feeding and bio-fluid mechanics—present understanding and unsolved problems. *Limnol Oceanogr* 46:882–891
- Riisgård HU, Larsen PS (2005) Water flow analysis and particle capture in ciliary suspension-feeding scallops (Pectinidae). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 303:177–193
- Riisgård HU, Larsen PS (2007) Viscosity of seawater controls beat frequency of water-pumping cilia and filtration rate of mussels *Mytilus edulis*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 343:141–150
- Robson AA, Thomas GR, Garcia de Leaniz C, Wilson RP (2009) Valve gape and exhalant pumping in bivalves: optimization of measurement. *Aquat Biol* 6:191–200
- Rossi F, Herman PMJ, Middelburg JJ (2004) Interspecific and intraspecific variation of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  in deposit- and suspension-feeding bivalves (*Macoma balthica* and *Cerastoderma edule*): evidence of ontogenetic changes in feeding mode of *Macoma balthica*. *Limnol Oceanogr* 49:408–414
- Sauriau PG, Kang CK (2000) Stable isotope evidence of benthic microalgae-based growth and secondary production in the suspension feeder *Cerastoderma edule* (Mollusca, Bivalvia) in the Marennes-Oléron Bay. *Hydrobiologia* 440: 317–329
- Silina AV, Zhukova NV (2007) Growth variability and feeding of scallop *Patinopecten yessoensis* on different bottom sediments: evidence from fatty acid analysis. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 348:46–59
- Silverman H, Lynn JW, Dietz TH (1996a) Particle capture by the gills of *Dreissena polymorpha*: structure and function of latero-frontal cirri. *Biol Bull* 191:42–54
- Silverman H, Lynn JW, Achberger EC, Dietz TH (1996b) Gill structure in zebra mussels: bacterial-sized particle filtration. *Am Zool* 36:373–384
- Silverman H, Lynn JW, Dietz TH (1996c) Particle capture by the gills of *Dreissena polymorpha*: structure and function of latero-frontal cirri. *Biol Bull* 191:42–54
- Silverman H, Lynn JW, Beninger PG, Dietz TH (1999) The role of latero-frontal cirri in particle capture by the gills of *Mytilus edulis*. *Biol Bull* 197:368–376
- Tankersley RA, Dimock RV (1993) Endoscopic visualization of the functional morphology of the ttenidia of the unionid mussel *Pyganodon cataracta*. *Can J Zool* 71:811–819
- Tezuka N, Ichisaki E, Kanematsu M, Usuki H, Hamaguchi M, Iseki K (2009) Particle retention efficiency of asari clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* larvae. *Aquat Biol* 6:281–287
- Veniot A, Bricelj VM, Beninger PG (2003) Ontogenetic changes in gill morphology and potential significance for food acquisition in the scallop *Placopecten magellanicus*. *Mar Biol* 142:123–131
- Ward JE, MacDonald BA (1996) Pre-ingestive feeding behaviors of two sub-tropical bivalves (*Pinctada imbricata* and *Arca zebra*): Responses to an acute increase in suspended sediment concentration. *Bull Mar Sci* 59:417–432
- Ward JE, Shumway SE (2004) Separating the grain from the chaff: particle selection in suspension- and deposit-feeding bivalves. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 300:83–130
- Ward JE, Targett NM (1989) Influence of marine microalgal metabolites on the feeding behaviour of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Mar Biol* 101:313–321
- Ward JE, Beninger PG, Macdonald BA, Thompson RJ (1991) Direct observations of feeding structures and mechanisms in bivalve mollusks using endoscopic examination and video image analysis. *Mar Biol* 111:287–291
- Ward JE, Macdonald BA, Thompson RJ, Beninger PG (1993a) Mechanisms of suspension feeding in bivalves—resolution of current controversies by means of endoscopy. *Limnol Oceanogr* 38:265–272
- Ward JE, Newell RIE, Thompson RJ, Macdonald BA (1994) In vivo studies of suspension-feeding processes in the Eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). *Biol Bull* 186: 221–240
- Ward JE, Levinton JS, Shumway SE, Cucci T (1998) Particle sorting in bivalves: in vivo determination of the pallial organs of selection. *Mar Biol* 131:283–292
- Way CM (1989) Dynamics of filter-feeding in *Musculum transversum* (Bivalvia: Sphaeriidae). *J N Am Benthol Soc* 8: 243–249
- Widdows J, Newell RIE, Mann R (1989) Effects of hypoxia and anoxia on survival, energy metabolism, and feeding of oyster larvae (*Crassostrea virginica*, Gmelin). *Biol Bull* 177:154–166
- Wright RT, Coffin RB, Ersing CP, Pearson D (1982) Field and laboratory measurements of bivalve filtration of natural-marine bacterioplankton. *Limnol Oceanogr* 27:91–98
- Yahel G, Sharp JH, Marie D, Hase C, Genin A (2003) In situ feeding and element removal in the symbiont-bearing sponge *Theonella swinhoei*: bulk DOC is the major source for carbon. *Limnol Oceanogr* 48:141–149
- Yahel G, Marie D, Genin A (2005) InEx—a direct in situ method to measure filtration rates, nutrition, and metabolism of active suspension feeders. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods* 3:46–58
- Yahel G, Eerkes-Medrano DI, Leys SP (2006) Size-independent selective filtration of ultraplankton by hexactinellid glass sponges. *Aquat Microb Ecol* 45:181–194
- Yahel G, Marie D, Beninger PG, Eckstein S, Genin A (2009) In situ evidence for pre-capture qualitative selection in the tropical bivalve *Lithophaga simplex*. *Aquat Biol* 6:235–246
- Yonge CM (1923) Studies on the comparative physiology of digestion. I. The mechanism of feeding, digestion, and assimilation in the lamellibranch *Mya*. *J Exp Biol* 1:15–64